PUBLIC HEARING BY THE PIERCE COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

In Re:)
Administrative Appeal:	AA16-07)
Taylor Resources, Inc.		Ď
)

Transcript of Proceeding

Before Terrence F. McCarthy

Thursday, December 13, 2007

APPEARANCES

For the Appellant: SAMUEL W. PLAUCHE, IV

TADAS KISIELIUS

GordonDerr

2025 First Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98121

For Pierce County: JILL GUERNSEY

Office of the Pierce County

Prosecutor

955 Tacoma Avenue South, Suite

301

Tacoma, Washington 98402

For the Intervener Coalition to Preserve Puget Sound Habitat:

DAVID A. BRICKLIN

Bricklin Newman Dold, LLP 1001 4th Avenue, Suite 3303 Seattle, Washington 98154

```
1
                           APPEARANCES - (continuing)
    For the Intervener North Bay Partners:
 3
                                     JERRY R. KIMBALL
                                    Attorney at Law
                                    1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2020
4
                                    Seattle, Washington 98154
 5
 6
    Also present: Sherry Cox, Clerk
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
     Reported by: Linda M. Grotefendt, CCR
                   License No. 3013
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS:	PAGE:LINE
3	JOHN MCCORMICK	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Bricklin	7:5
	Cross-examination by Mr. Kisielius	10:22
5	Cross-examination by Mr. Kimball	13:25
6	ROBERT PARADISE	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Bricklin	18:6
	Cross-examination by Mr. Kisielius	24:16
8	Cross-examination by Mr. Kimball	29:17
9	JANE PINNEO	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Bricklin	35:16
	Cross-examination by Mr. Kisielius	53:14
11	Voir Dire Examination by the Hearing Examiner	58:1
	Cross-examination by Mr. Kimball	59:4
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Bricklin	65:5
	Recross-examination by Mr. Kimball	67:8
13	Further Voir Dire Examination by the Hearing Examiner	70:15
	Recross-examination by Mr. Kisielius	71:20
14	Further Recross-examination by Mr. Kimball	75:1
15	LAURA HENDRICKS	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Bricklin	77:4
17	BRIAN PHIPPS	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Kisielius	96:1
	Cross-examination by Mr. Kimball	124:19
19	Cross-examination by Mr. Bricklin	125:3
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Kisielius	127:8
20		
	BILL DEWEY	
21		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Plauche	128:20
22	•	
	DR. JEFFREY FISHER	
23		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Plauche	131:5
24	Cross-examination by Mr. Bricklin	157:5
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Plauche	171:1
25	Voir Dire Examination by the Hearing Examiner	172:3

1		TNDEY OF EVANTUATION (contin	oud na)		
	WITTHESS	INDEX OF EXAMINATION - (contin	iu i ng)	DACE.	
2	WITNESS			PAGE:	LINE
3		FREY FISHER - (continued)		470	_
4		Redirect Examination by Mr. Plauche		173:	1
5		IATHAN P. DAVIS		4=4	
6		Examination by Mr. Plauche		174:	
		examination by Mr. Bricklin		185:	
7	Redirec	t Examination by Mr. Plauche		195:	8
8					
	LYNN GO	OODWIN			
9					
	Direct	Examination by Mr. Plauche		198:	1
10	Cross-e	examination by Mr. Bricklin		202:	12
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16		INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
17	NO	DESCRIPTION	ID'D	OF'D	AD'D
18	4	"Sustainable Shellfish: Recommend-	90	89	91
		ations For Responsible Aquaculture.	•		
19					
	9	Earlier study by Bindal-Young	160	160	160
20					
	18	Resume of Lynn Goodwin	199	199	200
21		-			
	21	"Calculation of Fill Comprised of	82	82	82
22		Plastic PVC Tubing In Tidelands For			
		A One-Acre Geoduck Operation."			
23		5 55555 5 5ps. 35.5			
-	26	"Material Removal From Beach"	81	80	81
24			-		-
25					
_ 5					

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS			_
2	NO	DESCRIPTION		OF'D	
3	43	Partial listing of studies used by Protect Our Shorelines	85		86
4					
5	91	"Draft Programmatic Biological Evaluation of Potential Impacts of Intertidal Geoduck Culture Facilitie	144	145	145
6		On Endangered Species and Essential Fish Habitat."	3		
7					
8	114	"Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group Molluskan Study, Final Report, 10/30/2006."	175		
9		10/30/2000.			
	126	Study by Dr. Newell	183	183	183
)	407	a	100	100	100
L	127	Study by Dr. Newell	183	183	183
_	142	"Ecological Implications of	136	137	137
2		Intertidal Mariculture, Observed Differences In Bivalve Community			
3		Structure Between Farm and Reference Sites."			
4	145	CV of Dr. Davis	174	174	174
5	143	CV OI DI. Davis	1/4	1/4	1/4
	150	Photographs 1 through 44	41	50	50
6		Photograph 40	125	125	125
7		Photograph 49	125	125	125
•	153	Email from Jane Pinneo dated 7/8/07	66	65	66
8		·			
_	154	Large aerial photos		103	103
9					
0					
1 2					
3					
4					
5					

```
1
                    BE IT REMEMBERED that the continuation of the
        Hearing of Administrative Appeal AA16-07 was held on
3
        Thursday, December 13, 2007, at 9:11 a.m., at Pierce County
4
         Public Services Building, Public Meeting Room, 2401 South
5
         35th Street, Tacoma, Washington, before Linda M.
6
         Grotefendt, Notary Public in and for the State of
7
        Washington.
8
9
10
                   THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning. Well, we're
11
         ready to start again on the Taylor Shellfish case, Cause
        Number AA16-07. So where are we?
12
13
                   MR. PLAUCHE: I believe Mr. Bricklin was about
        midway through presenting his case.
14
15
                   MR. BRICKLIN: That's accurate. Call John
16
        McCormick.
17
                   THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. McCormick.
18
19
                         JOHN McCORMICK, having been first duly
20
         sworn upon oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as
         follows:
21
22
23
                   THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please state your name for
24
        the record.
25
                   MR. MCCORMICK: John McCormick.
```

```
1
                     THE CLERK: Oh, you need the microphone. You're
          going to have to hold it.
3
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Thank you.
4
 5
                               DIRECT EXAMINATION
6
    BY MR. BRICKLIN:
7
    Q
           Mr. McCormick, my name is Dave Bricklin. We know each
8
           other. Just for the record. This is going to be a little
9
           awkward, because I'm going to ask you the questions and I'm
10
           over here, to your left, but you need to answer speaking to
11
          the Examiner, Mr. McCarthy. So it's going to require you
12
          to be swiveling back and forth. I'm sorry about the
13
          logistics.
                Could you start out by telling the Examiner where you
14
           live?
15
16
          We're at 2316 215th Avenue, KPS.
    Α
17
          And where is that in relation to the Foss geoduck site?
    Q
18
    Α
          We're right next-door to them.
          And is that to the north?
19
    Q
20
          They would be to the south of us.
    Α
21
    Q
          They're to the south. You're to the north of them?
           Right.
22
    Α
23
          Could you describe your property a little bit?
    Q
24
          Sitting on a bluff, overlooking --
25
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not hearing you.
```

```
1
                     THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. We're sitting on a bluff,
           overlooking the farm.
3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Overlooking the geoduck
4
           farm?
 5
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
6
    Q
           Okay. And does your property include some beach?
7
    Α
           Yes, sir.
8
           And how much beachfront do you have?
    Q
9
           Can't tell you that.
           Several hundred feet at least?
10
    Q
           Maybe 80 or 100 feet.
11
    Α
12
    0
           Okay. And what's the condition of your beach? And, maybe,
13
           as part of that, have you observed any changes in the
           character of the beach in the time that the geoduck farm
14
15
           has been operating next-door to you?
16
           Yes, we have.
    Α
           Okay. And describe how the beach used to be and how it's
17
    Q
18
           changed since the operation took place.
19
           My partner and I started coming up here about 10 years ago,
20
           staying with family. Our families own the first three
21
           homes next to the geoduck farm.
22
                The ground out there used to be nice and solid. We'd
23
           run up and down the beach. It was just fine. Then we went
24
           through this planting and then the harvesting period.
25
           now, when we walk along that beach area that has been
```

```
1
           harvested, you sink anywhere from six inches to a foot and
           a half, immediately. So it's changed the beach in that
3
           way. And plus, the wildlife and stuff that used to be on
4
           the beach is no longer there. It was taken away when the
 5
           nets were removed.
 6
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. Again, if you
7
           don't face me, then the sound is projecting out the other
8
           way.
9
                     THE WITNESS: All right. Our deal is --
10
    Q
          What about the wildlife?
          The wildlife, the crab -- and I can't name them all. Being
11
12
           an Arizona boy, I don't know the names of all your species
13
           up here. We just noticed that everything that was under a
           net has been cleaned away where they've taken the nets off
14
15
           the ground.
16
                Now we're looking at bowl shape where we used to look
17
           at flatland. We've lost sand, from our house to past the
18
           attorneys who live next-door to our third house down, which
           is 2312 215th Avenue, KPS.
19
20
           So would that be an area of several hundred feet, then?
    Q
21
           Yes.
           And how large of an area is it where you are describing
22
    Q
23
           that, what used to be firm beach, you now sink in six to 18
24
           inches? How large of an area is that?
           well, we haven't been able to go out to the farthest point,
25
```

1		because, during the winter, the tides aren't as low as they
2 .		are during the summer. But we can get 20 to 40 yards from
3		the area I'm speaking at, directly adjacent to our
4		property, in front of our bluff.
5	Q	Have the changes in the beach impacted your use of the
6		waters, your ability to reach those waters and recreate out
7		there?
8	A	Well, we don't let the the reason we bought this
9		property is my partner's grandkids all live up here, and we
10		wanted it as a place where they could come out and hang out
11		and play. We did that for the first eight, nine years.
12		Last couple years, we can't let them run around on the
13		beach by themselves. We have to keep an eye on them, as
14		far as where they step, so they don't sink and get stuck.
15		MR. BRICKLIN: Thank you. That's all I have for
16		this witness.
17		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anybody?
18		MR. BRICKLIN: Mr. McCormick, the other attorneys
19		may have some questions for you.
20		MS. GUERNSEY: No.
21		
22		CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MI	R. KISIELIUS:
24	Q	Mr. McCormick, my name is Tadas Kisielius, on behalf of
25		Taylor Shellfish. I have a few questions for you. You

- were describing some changes to the condition of the beach.
- 2 Can you describe, a little bit more specifically, where you
- 3 witnessed those? Was that to your beach that's immediately
- 4 in front of your house?
- 5 A we've lost almost all the sand in front of our house, yes.
- 6 Q And that's where you're noticing the sinking that you
- described, is in the beach immediately in front of your
- 8 house?
- 9 A Directly in front of our home, yes. Because we come out --
- our property line comes at an angle, so that puts the farm
- directly in front of us.
- 12 Q And I'm sorry. You might have testified to this, but I
- want to make sure I'm clear. How long of a stretch of the
- 14 beach is in that condition?
- 15 A Well, the whole beach, all the way down to the end,
- wherever they've harvested. But it's only the 20 to 40
- 17 yards in front of my house.
- 18 Q And what is your understanding of the area that they have
- 19 harvested?
- 20 A You sink.
- 21 Q No. I'm sorry. In respect to the location of that area.
- 22 A It's right in front of my place.
- 23 Q If I could, I'm going to put up an aerial photograph, and
- if you could point to that, please.
- 25 A You bet.

```
1
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Mr. Examiner, this is Exhibit
 2 .
           Number 53, that was previously admitted into the record.
 3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
 4
                           (Discussion off the record.)
 5
           So just for clarity, again, if you could point to -- well,
     Q
 6
           first of all, do you recognize the aerial photograph?
 7
     Α
           Yes, I do.
 8
           Could you point out your property?
     Q
 9
           Our property is right here (indicating).
10
           Now, you were just testifying to the area that you believe
     Q
           to have been harvested. Could you show us where that is?
11
12
     Α
           This area directly in front of the house (indicating).
           Were you present on November 1st and 2nd, for the earlier
13
     Q
           parts of this hearing?
14
15
           No, sir.
16
                     THE CLERK: Make sure to --
17
                     THE WITNESS: No, sir.
18
     0
           Dr. Parsons had testified that you had taken him out to
           view the harvested area. Is that the area that you
19
20
           directed Dr. Parsons to?
21
           Yes.
     Α
           Okay. You had testified, just now, to the condition of the
22
     Q
23
           property following planting and harvesting. Approximately
24
           when, in your understanding, did the planting and
           harvesting occur that led to this condition?
25
```

1 The planting started in 2000 -- or a little after 2000, I 2 . think. And the harvesting was just this last year. Well, Mr. Taylor can tell you when they harvested. 3 4 Q But I was asking your understanding, because you testified 5 that you noticed the condition start after the harvesting. 6 Α Right. 7 Q And I'm trying to identify when you noticed the condition to have started. 8 9 Just right after the harvesting. 10 Q And a ballpark figure, in your estimation, when that was. Immediately after. There was no -- it didn't settle back, 11 Α 12 like I was -- explained. 13 But in terms of the time of year: the month? The date? Q Partner, you're asking the wrong guy. I'm retired, so I 14 Α can barely tell you what today is. I don't keep track of 15 16 dates. 17 Okay. But within the last year is when you --Q 18 Α Oh, yes. 19 MR. KISIELIUS: Thank you. I have no further 20 questions. 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Nothing further? 22 23 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 24 BY MR. KIMBALL: Good morning, Mr. McCormick. My name is Jerry Kimball. I 25

25

1 represent the Foss North Bay Partners, the owners of --2 . THE CLERK: Mr. Kimball, you have to get right up 3 on it. 4 MR. KIMBALL: Hello. Will that work? 5 THE CLERK: It's working, but it's very weak. I have a couple of questions for you. The harvest you're 6 Q 7 referring to -- were you in residence there when that occurred? 8 9 Yes. I watched them do it. 10 So you don't live full-time --Q No, sir. We travel. 11 Α 12 Q So do you recall what portions of 2007 you were in 13 residence at --Oh, we've been here the whole year this year. We started 14 Α 15 remodeling one of the properties, just up from the geoduck farm. 16 Now, how high is the bluff where your house sits? 17 Q 18 Α we're at 39 feet, directly 90-degree vertical. And is there bluff behind you also? 19 Q Yes, sir. 20 Α 21 Q Have you put in a bulkhead at the bottom of that bluff? No, sir. 22 Α 23 Done anything to stabilize it? Q 24 Α No, sir.

It's a feeder bluff, isn't it? Do you know what a feeder

1 bluff is? Partner, I couldn't tell you. 3 Q That's how sand gets to be bluff. Do you understand that? 4 Α The feeder part would be down from us, because we're a solid bluff. 5 6 Q That sand would come from the Foss property? 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 And you are north of the Foss property. On the incoming Q 9 tide, the tide comes along the shore and deposits sand; 10 correct? Yes, sir. With the exception of the north property and the 11 12 next three properties. Only, sand no longer deposits 13 there. THE CLERK: Speak up, Mr. McCormick. 14 15 Q So it is only as to four properties that the sand no longer 16 deposits? 17 Sir, it goes from this area now. You can see the 18 difference in this area (indicating). 19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're going to have to 20 point it out to me, because I can't see. 21 THE WITNESS: Right here is our home (indicating). 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: A 90-degree vertical bluff. So from 24 this point to the fourth house, that I know of, all the sand has now left the beach and has been deposited on this spit, 25

- which has grown quite dramatically.
- 2 Q How long have you been coming to this property?
- A A little over 10 years, we've been coming to the big house,
- 4 we call it.
- 5 Q Were you on the property in 2001, when we had the February
- 6 **2001 earthquake?**
- 7 A No, sir.
- 8 Q Did you notice any changes to the beach coincident to that
- 9 earthquake?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q You've not done any study or measurement of sand quantity,
- 12 percentage of the sediment on the beach in front of your
- house and the three or four adjoining northward lots?
- 14 A No, sir. I've had marine biologists out, geologists out.
- 15 Nobody seems to want to explain how this is happening. Or
- they use big words that kind of don't explain anything.
- 17 Q Mr. Parsons is a morphogeologist, I believe, that was out
- on the property, correct?
- 19 A I've had a few out there, and if you want me to remember
- their names, it's not going to happen.
- 21 Q Did you take anybody, an expert-type person, onto the Foss
- 22 **property?**
- 23 A Yes, sir. We -- after hearing that we have permission to
- 24 walk the property, we went all the way up to the corner and
- the spit.

```
1
          And who did you hear you had permission from?
    Q
          Through our homeowners' association. And at a meeting, I
2 · A
          heard some gentleman from Taylor -- I can't tell you which
3
          one that was, but, at this meeting, saying that they
4
          welcomed people to walk the farm and show that it's not
5
6
          causing any problems.
7
    Q
          When was that meeting, to the best of your recollection?
8
          Partner, I have no clue.
    Α
9
    Q
          I want to ask whether you ride horses in Arizona.
10
    Α
          Yes.
11
                    MR. KIMBALL: Okay. I don't have anything further.
12
                    MR. BRICKLIN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
13
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, sir. I
          appreciate your coming forward. Call your next witness.
14
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Bob Paradise.
15
16
                           ROBERT PARADISE, having been first duly sworn
17
18
          upon oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as follows:
19
20
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you state your name
21
          for the record, please?
                    MR. PARADISE: Robert Paradise.
22
23
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you spell your last
24
          name for the record, please?
25
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Pick up the microphone, please.
```

```
1
                           (Discussion off the record.)
 2 .
                     MR. PARADISE: My name is spelled P-a-r-a-d-i-s-e.
 3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Just the way it sounds, huh?
 4
           Thank you.
 5
 6
                               DIRECT EXAMINATION
 7
     BY MR. BRICKLIN:
 8
           Mr. Paradise, same routine for you. I'm going to ask the
 9
           questions and, then, if you could pivot and answer in the
           direction of the Examiner.
10
           very well.
11
     Α
12
     Q
           Where do you live, Mr. Paradise?
13
           In Gig Harbor.
     Α
           And among your recreational pursuits, do you include diving
14
     Q
           and sailboarding?
15
           Yes, I do.
16
     Α
           And how long have you been diving?
17
     Q
           I've been diving for approximately 30 years; 20 years in
18
19
           Puget Sound.
20
           How about sailboarding?
     Q
21
     Α
           I've been sailboarding about 12 years, in Puget Sound.
           Let's talk first about diving and the potential impacts of
22
     Q
23
           geoduck aquaculture on your diving. Have you ever had
24
           experiences where geoduck aquaculture has impacted your
           diving?
25
```

```
1
           Yes, I have. It was on a geoduck farm in Henderson Bay.
 2 .
           On one scuba diving outing, I became entangled in a bunch
3
           of rope.
                     MR. KISIELIUS: I'm going to object. This is
4
 5
           irrelevant. This is testimony about a different operation
           that's not the subject of this hearing.
6
7
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's this being offered
           for?
8
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Well, I don't know that the risks of
9
           geoduck operations are different. Well, it relates to the
10
           legal issue of whether the operation interferes with the use
11
           of the waters. That's the legal issue. And the nature of
12
13
           geoduck operations are not that unique that the impacts from
           one site can't also occur in another site, unless there's
14
15
           going to be -- well, unless --
16
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm going to allow
17
           it. Overruled. Go ahead.
           Mr. Paradise, can you answer, then, please, the type of
18
    Q
           impact you were describing at that other site?
19
20
           Okay. I did become entangled in some ropes that were part
21
           of that geoduck farm in that area; was able to free myself;
           was close to drowning.
22
23
           Pardon?
    Q
24
           I was close to drowning at that time; nearly ran out of
           air.
25
```

- 1 Q The geoduck operation at this site, on the Foss property,
- 2 has been stated to include the insertion of tubes into the
- 3 sand and then the area is covered with a net. Are you
- 4 familiar with that kind of operation?
- 5 A Yes, I am.
- 6 Q All right. And what would the dangers be for a diver
- 7 diving close to an operation like that?
- 8 A Nets would be a hazard. It's one of the main concerns of
- 9 divers in Puget Sound, is becoming entangled in fishing
- gear and the type of things -- a lot of diving happens at
- 11 night, where you possibly could swim underneath a net that
- was loose or rope nets and something like that.
- 13 Q And what's the danger of swimming under a net?
- 14 A You become entangled, in the dark.
- 15 Q All right.
- 16 A Divers have drowned in Puget Sound when they became
- 17 entangled in things.
- 18 Q And is this a risk just with nets that have come free, or
- 19 would this also be nets that have been secured in place to
- cap the tubes?
- 21 A I could envision, if a net was partially loose, a diver
- 22 could swim underneath.
- 23 Q Okay. When you dive, you dive from boats; is that right?
- Or do you approach the water from the beach?
- 25 A we do both. I dive primarily from the shore.

```
1
           All right. When you do dive from a boat, have you ever had
    0
 2 .
           problems with anchoring boats for your dives in close
3
           proximity to geoduck operations?
4
           I was approached, while diving in Henderson Bay again, by
           the operator there, who told me I could not moor in that
 5
6
           area for fear that my anchor would entangle his nets and
7
           threatened me with sending me a bill or something if any
8
           damage was done.
9
                     MR. KISIELIUS: I'll just state my continuing
10
           objection to this testimony as irrelevant and not related to
           the Foss farm that's the subject of this hearing or the
11
12
           operations that are the subject of this hearing.
13
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: You can have a continuing
           objection.
14
15
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Thank you.
16
           And given that the operations at the Foss farm include nets
    Q
17
           over the tubes, would those same limitations apply in that
18
           area?
           I could easily see an anchor becoming entangled in this
19
20
           area.
21
    Q
          You've dived in this area on occasion; is that right?
          Yes, I have.
22
    Α
23
           All right. Have you noticed any loose tubes on the bottom
    Q
24
           of the water, on the sediment?
           Yes, I have. I've noticed dozens of broken tubes washed
25
```

```
1
           out in the deep water; maybe 30 or 40 feet deep.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is that at this site, or
3
           just generally?
                     THE WITNESS: That was at this site, diving from
 5
           Joemma Park, which is, I believe, adjacent to the property.
6
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: And these are at the bottom
7
           of the Sound, you say?
                     THE WITNESS: Yeah. The tubes.
8
9
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: The tubes?
10
                     THE WITNESS: They don't float, I think. And
           they've blown up on the shore; but when the current and the
11
12
           wind takes them into the water, they sink. They're commonly
13
           found in Henderson Bay. There are, I don't know, maybe
           thousands of them out in Henderson Bay. But I've also found
14
15
           numerous tubes in the Joemma area.
16
           When you dove in the vicinity of this Foss property, did
17
           you notice anything about sediment in the water or the
           cloudiness of the water?
18
19
           I had the opportunity, one day, to dive both south and
20
           north sides, very close together, while the current was
21
           from south to north. The visibility was good maybe 10 or
           15 feet on the south, but visibility was terrible, maybe
22
23
           two or three feet, on the north.
24
           How are the winds in that area for windsurfing?
     Q
           This would be a very good area to windsurf. It's exposed
25
```

```
1
           to the south. Strong winds usually come from that
           direction.
3
     Q
          And what are the impacts of an operation like the one at
4
           the Foss property on windsurfers?
           Windsurfers commonly surf at the Purdy spit and have had
 5
           numerous injuries, equipment damaged, from striking
6
7
           against nets, tubes.
8
                     MR. KIMBALL: Objection. Move to strike. That's
9
           nonresponsive. He was asked about the Foss property, and
           he's answering about Washington Shellfish.
10
11
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sustained.
12
     Q
           What are the types of hazards that a geoduck operation
13
           poses to a wind surfer?
14
           Any obstruction in the water is a hazard to windsurfing.
           In Puget Sound, that's primarily the only way of being
15
16
           injured, is hitting something in the water.
           Is this a theoretical concern, or is this based in some
17
18
           real occurrences that have transpired?
19
           Several people were injured in Henderson Bay, striking
20
           rogue equipment.
21
     Q
           And from what you know about the Foss operation, would
           those same hazards be associated with this operation?
22
23
           They have the same equipment, I guess.
24
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: You guess?
25
                     THE WITNESS: I guess.
```

```
1
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you know?
                    THE WITNESS: I -- I wouldn't dare go on their
3
          property and look around.
4
    Q
          Well, let me --
          I've seen the nets from a distance.
 5
6
    Q
          Okay. So you're not guessing about that?
7
    Α
          Right.
8
          And do you know that there are tubes under those nets?
    Q
9
          I have seen tubes.
10
    Q
          So we can take that out of the guesswork category, right?
          Yes. There is equipment out there that would pose a
11
12
          hazard.
13
                    MR. BRICKLIN: All right. That's all I have for
          this witness. Thank you very much.
14
15
16
                                CROSS-EXAMINATION
17
    BY MR. KISIELIUS:
18
          Mr. Paradise, my name is Tadas Kisielius. I'm here on
          behalf of Taylor Shellfish, and I'll have just a few
19
20
          questions for you.
21
    Α
          Sure.
          You were testifying about operations in various locations,
22
    Q
23
          and I think, at one point, you said you had dived in this
24
          area. Had you been to the Foss site before?
          Could you clarify "this area"?
25
```

```
1
           Well, I guess I'd ask you to describe where you've actually
     Q
 2 .
           been diving in the vicinity of the Foss farm.
3
           The homeowners have invited me out to scuba dive. It's a
4
           place I can get to the beach easily. So I dove directly
 5
           north. I believe it would be on John -- he just testified.
6
           I don't -- McCormick's property. I've also dove, several
7
           times, from Joemma Park, which I believe is adjacent, to
8
           the south of the Foss property.
9
    Q
           Could you show us, on the aerial photograph, the areas
10
           where you were in the water?
           (Witness peruses photograph.)
11
12
                     MR. BRICKLIN: I don't think the witness normally
13
           has this view.
                     THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't see the bird's-eye
14
15
           view much. I believe this may be the -- the pier at Joemma,
16
           so I'd be diving near the pier, in this area (indicating),
           and off the boat moorings. And John's property is, I
17
18
           believe, in this area, but I can't pick anything out of --
           But your testimony is that, at least at Joemma, you dove
19
    Q
20
           between the pier and the buoys?
21
           There's mooring buoys for boats that stay at the park, and
           I dove in that area, yes.
22
           And then, to the north, you were in front of the
23
24
           residential properties?
25
           Correct.
```

- $1 \quad Q \quad Okay. \quad Did \ you \ ever \ dive \ in \ the \ vicinity \ of \ the \ actual$
- 2 aquacultural gear at the Foss farm?
- 3 A I never dove directly over the top of that area.
- 4 Q You had testified that, at Henderson Bay, you had had an
- 5 experience of getting entangled in ropes and that you were
- 6 close to drowning. Have you had a similar experience in
- 7 your diving here?
- 8 A I have not at the Foss property, at Joemma, no.
- 9 Q You testified to the possibility of the dangers of nets.
- 10 Have you ever personally been entangled in a net or --
- generally, first.
- 12 A Yes, I have been tangled in nets.
- 13 Q Of geoduck gear net?
- 14 A Geoduck nets, yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And have you done that, ever, at the Foss farm?
- 16 A I have not encountered a geoduck net in that area. It's
- something we try to avoid.
- 18 Q You testified that you have seen the nets, from a distance,
- 19 at the Foss site?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Are you aware that there are different types of netting
- 22 that are used by geoduck operations?
- 23 A I'm not an expert at geoduck farming, no.
- Q Okay. So you wouldn't be able to distinguish between the
- 25 types of nets that are being used on any particular

1 operation? I've not had my hands on the nets at the Foss area. I've 3 pulled many of them out of the water at Henderson Bay. 4 Q You testified to seeing some loose tubes on the bottom of 5 the water. 6 Α Correct. 7 Q Could you show us where, exactly, you saw those? 8 Again, am I correct that this is the (indicating to aerial 9 photo) -- okay. So this is Joemma Park, and this would be 10 the pier, and I would be diving out in this area, to the north of the pier. 11 12 Q Diving in this vicinity, is that the only place that you saw loose tubes on the bottom? 13 That's the only place I dove in that area. 14 Α 15 Q I'm going to ask you to take a look at an exhibit that was 16 previously admitted into the record. It is Exhibit 150, 17 one, five, zero. And I'm going to direct you to Photograph 18 30 of that set. 19 And, Mr. Examiner, for your reference, these are 20 exhibits that were added. I don't know if they were 21 accumulated into a binder, but they were added by the Interveners. It's a series of photographs. 22 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's Exhibit Number 50? 24 MR. KISIELIUS: One, five, zero, 150. 25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. I misunderstood.

```
1
           Number 150, right?
                     THE CLERK: It's listed here.
 3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'll take a look at
 4
           this one.
 5
           Mr. Paradise, do you need to look at a copy for yourself,
     Q
 6
           for your testimony?
 7
           Possibly.
                           (Discussion off the record.)
 8
 9
     Q
           Mr. Paradise, is that what you saw when you were diving?
10
     Α
           This would be typical of a broken tube, yes.
           Okay. Mr. Paradise, I still have a couple more questions,
11
     Q
           but Mr. Kimball is wondering if he could have his exhibit
12
13
           back.
           (Witness complies.)
14
     Α
           A couple more questions, to clarify your testimony. You
15
     Q
           testified to some observations about the condition of the
16
17
           sediment during your dives. Can you, again, show us where
18
           you noticed the sediment differences?
           I was diving -- there's no scale on here, but in this area
19
20
           (indicating), probably to, maybe, a third of a mile from
21
           the shore, and then again, on diving from John's property,
           and John's property must be in this area; is that correct?
22
23
           Okay. So...
24
           And just to clarify again, your testimony was you were
           clear at one location, and there was some sediments in
25
```

1 another. Could you describe again where that happened? Where you noticed the distinctions in sediment. 2 . 3 Sure. Seemed to me that the tide was moving in a 4 northwesterly direction and, this area, the water was quite 5 clear; and up here, at John's, the water was quite cloudy. 6 Q And do you remember the day on which you were doing these 7 dives? 8 I can't remember, but I have notes. Possibly on or about 9 May 17th, 2007. 10 Okay. You had testified about windsurfing at this 11 location. Have you ever windsurfed at this location? 12 I have not. 13 MR. KISIELIUS: Those are all the questions I have for you. Thank you. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 17 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 18 BY MR. KIMBALL: 19 Mr. Paradise, my name is Jerry Kimball. I represent the Q 20 North Bay Partners, the owners of the property on which 21 Taylor is farming geoducks. Have you testified, at any prior hearings, regarding other geoduck operations? 22 23 I testified at a hearing regarding Washington Shellfish and 24 Henderson Bay. Any others? 25 Q

- 1 A I testified briefly at a hearing in front of the Pierce
- 2 County Council, and I believe it was regarding interim
- 3 regulations for geoduck farming in Pierce County.
- 4 Q You oppose geoduck farming in Pierce County generally?
- 5 A I believe that geoduck farming should be regulated. I've
- 6 seen the unregulated mess that happened on Henderson Bay,
- 7 and I believe that there should be some oversight.
- 8 Q Henderson Bay was a major problem, correct?
- 9 A Henderson Bay was a major problem.
- 10 Q Okay. You live at Point Fosdick?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q In the development down there, new development, Point
- 13 **Fosdick Estates?**
- 14 A Is my exact address relevant? I live near Tacoma Community
- 15 College, Gig Harbor branch campus. Is that close enough?
- 16 Q That's close enough. What caused you to make this dive on
- 17 **May 17th of 2007?**
- 18 A It's possible that John had asked me to come out and look
- 19 around in his area and see if I found rogue equipment. I
- do dive a lot, recreationally. I dive several times a
- week.
- 22 Q Had you ever made a dive at Joemma Park prior to May 17th?
- 23 A Yes, I have.
- 24 Q How frequently? How many times?
- 25 A Infrequently. I would say maybe 10 dives, total.

1 Pretty uninteresting place to dive, correct? Q It's a very good place to take beginners. The bay is very Α 3 enclosed, it's safe, it doesn't get deep very quickly, so we take newly certified divers. It's a good place. 4 There's kind of a sand bottom, not a lot of sea life? 5 Q There's some life in the area. It's not a top dive site in 6 7 the Sound, no. 8 who maintains the mooring buoys in that area? Q 9 I don't know. I would guess the Parks Department, but I 10 don't know. And those mooring buoys are a float at the top of the water 11 Q 12 connected by a chain or rope to an anchor? 13 To an anchor, correct. Α And go --14 Q 15 MR. BRICKLIN: Objection -- well, go on and ask 16 your question. There's slack in that chain so that the buoy can stay at 17 18 the surface of the water during the intertidal changes, correct? 19 20 MR. BRICKLIN: Relevance, Your Honor. 21 THE WITNESS: Correct. Do divers ever get tangled up with buoys? 22 Q 23 MR. BRICKLIN: Relevance, Your Honor. 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Overruled. 25 MR. BRICKLIN: We're not seeking to permit buoys

```
1
           here.
 2
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Overruled.
3
                     THE WITNESS: We clearly know where those are at,
4
           and it's simply one rope that would come up to, maybe, a
 5
           primary float. And in Henderson Bay, I was tangled in rope,
6
           and I got it out and measured it. It was over an eighth of
7
           a mile.
8
           You took that rope out of Henderson Bay?
     Q
9
           Yes, I did.
10
           And that was what you described as "a real mess"?
     Q
           There were also nets that were a problem.
11
     Α
12
     Q
           Uh-huh. You did not encounter any floating rope or
13
           submerged rope in Case Inlet adjacent to the North Bay
           property?
14
15
           There was one small piece of rope. I believe it was
16
           probably an old boat anchor or something, but just a small
17
           piece.
18
     Q
           And that was down by the park?
           I think that would have been off John's property. I don't
19
     Α
20
           recall.
21
           And it could have been an anchor from one of John's boats
           or one of his neighbors' boats that was lost over the
22
23
           years?
24
           I have no idea where it came from. None of the equipment
           is marked.
25
```

1 The tubes are marked, aren't they? Q To my knowledge, the tubes are not marked. Α 3 Q Did you recover any tubes? 4 Α I have recovered many tubes. I've never seen one that was 5 marked. 6 Q Specifically on the May 17th dive, did you recover any 7 tubes? 8 I did not recover any tubes on May 17th. Α You could have? 9 Q 10 Α I could have picked up a tube. So John asked you to come out and dive both ends of this 11 Q for purposes of seeing what was there? 12 13 John asked me to come out and dive near his property. I was going to make a second dive in the area. I thought I'd 14 dive Joemma, look around, and see if tubes I'd previously 15 seen in that area were still there. 16 17 Which place did you dive first: John's property, or Q 18 Joemma? I could not guarantee. I believe I dove Joemma first. I 19 20 don't know. 21 Okay. How much time would elapse between when you did the Joemma dive --22 23 THE CLERK: Speak into the microphone, please. 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Kimball, she needs you to get closer to the microphone. 25

1 Q How much time elapsed between when you dove at Joemma and 2 . when you dove at John McCormick's? 3 I would say about an hour. Okay. What was the state of the tide at the time you 4 Q 5 entered the water at Joemma? 6 Α I think it was slightly incoming. 7 Q And it had increased in incoming velocity by the time you got down to John's? 8 9 I do not recall exactly. 10 Q Okay. You have no educational training regarding geoduck 11 aquaculture? 12 Α No, sir. 13 What is your vocational background? Q I'm a math teacher. 14 15 MR. KIMBALL: Okay. I don't have anything further. 16 Thank you, Mr. Paradise. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. BRICKLIN: Mr. Paradise, I just have one more 18 question. My son, last night, had this quadratic equation 19 20 he couldn't solve, and I couldn't help him. 21 (Laughter.) 22 MR. BRICKLIN: I don't have anything further, Your 23 Honor. 24 THE WITNESS: That's something I know about. 25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: May this witness be excused?

```
1
           You are excused. Thank you for coming forward, Mr.
           Paradise.
3
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Call Janie Pinneo.
4
5
                           JANE PINNEO, having been first duly sworn
           upon oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as follows:
6
7
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: State your name for the
8
9
           record, please.
10
                     MS. PINNEO: Jane Pinneo. I go by Janie.
11
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: How do you spell your last
12
           name?
13
                     MS. PINNEO: P-i-n-n-e-o.
14
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
15
16
                                DIRECT EXAMINATION
17
     BY MR. BRICKLIN:
           Thank you, Ms. Pinneo. Same routine here, with the pivot
18
     Q
19
           required.
20
           Uh-huh.
     Α
21
     Q
           Where do you live, Ms. Pinneo?
           Our permanent residence is in Issaquah, but we own a beach
22
23
           house along the strip of homes here (indicating).
24
           So that's just north of Mr. McCormick's property?
     Q
25
           Yes.
```

```
1
           All right. And how often do you get out to your beach
     Q
 2 .
           property?
3
           As often as we can, which is limited by our -- as often as
4
           we can, which is limited by our jobs, but we are in the
 5
           process of rebuilding for retirement-type -- something more
6
           than 676 square feet, Ty.
7
    Q
           All right. And you're getting all the County's permits
8
           required?
9
           We are, absolutely.
10
                           (Laughter.)
                     THE WITNESS: That's why I know Ty very well.
11
12
    Q
           I just wanted to have you testify regarding a few things
           that were mentioned during the testimony last time we all
13
           got together. There was testimony last time, I believe,
14
15
           from one of Taylor's witnesses, that they have had few, or
16
           maybe no, complaints about operations at this site. Is
           that consistent with your recollection?
17
18
    Α
           No. No, it is not.
           And could you elaborate on that, please?
19
    Q
20
           Yeah. I don't know how many complaints they've had, but I
21
           do know that Sherilee Luedtke has had contact with, I
           believe, Diane Cooper. She's made calls and that. And
22
23
           then I actually had an email that I sent out after the
24
           experience I had down on the property in July, that I
           emailed to Diane. And I spoke to the foreman at the site.
25
```

```
1
           And that was my compliant, so I was surprised to hear that
 2 .
           there have been no complaints.
3
    Q
          And what were you complaining about at that time?
4
           well, at that time -- I had been at the interim-regulation
 5
           hearings. Not all of them. But I was under the
6
           impression, ignorantly, that they had been approved, so I
7
           was surprised, when I was kayaking down there, that they
8
           were planting, number one, because I was under the
9
           impression the permit was expired. And I also was looking
10
           for markings on the tubes, that I thought had been
           approved, and did not find any of that.
11
12
     Q
           And there was testimony last time about a large net that
13
           was found -- a loose net found on the beach. Do you have
           any knowledge about that?
14
15
    Α
           That was my photo.
16
           That was your photo. Okay. And was it also your photo,
     Q
17
           the one --
18
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Objection. I'm not sure that
19
          that's what the testimony was. This is lack of foundation.
20
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: What are you talking about?
21
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Mr. Bricklin just described the
           situation that there was testimony that a net washed up
22
23
           somewhere along the beach, and I don't recall that--
24
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't either.
25
                     MR. KISIELIUS: --in the least.
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Truthfully, I don't recall
 2 .
           that testimony.
3
    Q
           well, have you ever discovered a net on the beach?
    Α
           Yes.
 5
    Q
           Why don't you tell the Examiner about that.
           well, many of the small nets that used to be over the
6
7
           individual PVC pipes. But the one that Dave is referring
8
           to was this long -- it was actually a different company's
9
           label on it. I was the one that took the photo and
10
           reported it and called the number on it.
11
                And then I also, that same day that's in my email,
12
           found a large canvas sack that had four numbers on it but
13
           no company name. And it was the sacks that they used, that
           full -- I believe they're the sacks that hold all the PVC
14
15
           pipes when they're installing them. And that was about a
           mile north of Camp Gallagher.
16
17
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: And where is that in
18
           relation to Joemma Park?
19
                     THE WITNESS: Well, Joemma is down here, we are all
20
           here (indicating). The Catholic Camp is here, and it was
21
           about --
22
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
23
                     THE WITNESS: That's how far the net had floated.
24
           It was actually caught up in a tree, and I had photos of
25
           that.
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I know the area.
2 .
           Caught up in a tree that overhangs the beach?
    0
3
     Α
           Well, it was caught on the driftwood debris, the natural
4
           stuff.
 5
           Okay. I'm looking to see if we have a picture of that in
    Q
           the stuff we submitted. Let me go on for a moment. You
6
7
           then said it was your picture of the sea otter?
8
           Yes. It was on my lawn.
9
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Really?
10
                     THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
          And just so we can make a record on that --
11
    Q
12
                     THE CLERK: You know, we can't hear you.
13
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: And also, I don't know what
           exhibit you're referring to.
14
15
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes. That's just what I was going
16
           to do.
17
           Exhibit 150, Photograph Number 9. Is that the picture of
18
           the otter that you were referring to?
19
           Yes, it is.
    Α
20
          All right. And because the photo is not particularly
     Q
21
           clear, could you describe what you saw that day?
22
           We were down at our property with another couple, and it
23
           was a cool, stormy night, and we saw something out on the
24
           lawn, in the moonlight, kind of squirming around and all
           that. So I -- we went to check what it was, and we could
25
```

```
1
           see this baby otter that was rolling around and trying to
           get something -- like it was trying to get something off of
3
           it. And you could see the band. I'm a nurse. It was like
           a tourniquet around his torso.
 5
                And, at first, we didn't know what it was, and then we
           realized it was one of those black bands that he had to
 6
7
           have gotten on when he was smaller and then grown. That's
           the only thing I can assume. I don't know that for a fact,
8
9
           but, otherwise, it couldn't have gotten over his shoulders.
10
          And it was tight around his torso, so he must have gotten
11
          it on when he was smaller and then grew, and it was tight,
12
           and he was squirming around, and he wouldn't let us get
13
           near him.
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Mr. Examiner, if I recall, last
14
15
          time, when we attempted to submit our photo package, Exhibit
16
           150, there was an objection, and you said you were going to
17
           only admit them on a photo-by-photo basis, so I'm going to
18
           actually ask this witness to identify some of these
           photographs since there was an -- am I right about that, Ms.
19
20
          Guernsey?
21
                     MS. GUERNSEY: I think so.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have to tell you, I do not
22
23
           have Exhibit 150 with me.
24
                     MR. BRICKLIN: I have an extra copy.
25
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Excellent.
```

```
1
                     MR. BRICKLIN: (Produces exhibit to Hearing
 2 .
           Examiner.)
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thanks. I should tell all
3
4
           of you, I must have left them at the office. My exhibits
 5
           stop at Number 148. So over lunch, I'll see if I can get
6
           another book.
7
    Q
          So, Ms. Pinneo, I'm just going to quickly take you through
8
           these, because there has been an objection as to, sort of,
9
           the identification and authenticity of these. So on
10
           Exhibit 150, on the cover sheet, Page 1, do you recognize
           that view?
11
12
    Α
          Yes.
13
         And where is that taken?
    Q
          That is just south of that -- that point is just south of
14
           -- it's part of Foss. Actually, I should probably say I
15
16
           don't know this exact part here. That's Hartstene Island.
17
           That's our island. Not ours, but...
18
    Q
          And on Page 2, do you recognize that scene?
          That's our photo. My husband took that from our kayak, and
19
    Α
20
           our house is the littlest one there.
21
    Q
           The gray one, about a third of the way and on the left?
           Yes.
22
    Α
           All right. Photograph 3. Do you recognize that scene?
23
    Q
24
    Α
           Absolutely. It's not my photo, but I recognize it.
           And what is that?
25
     0
```

- 1 A That is the Foss/Taylor farm.
- 2 Q All right. Looking north, towards your property?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Photograph 4. Do you recognize that?
- 5 A Same spot.
- 6 Q All right. Closer shot?
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q Photograph 5. The same?
- 9 A I -- this looks the same to me, from that point up on the
- right. But, again, that's not my photo.
- 11 Q All right. Skip ahead. Photograph 7. Let me ask you:
- 12 Have you had an opportunity to observe wildlife entangled
- in the nets?
- 14 A Absolutely. The day that I was there, when I wrote this
- email, I was speaking to Anthony, who was at the site, and
- there were little things. I was commenting to him how it
- wasn't secured tight, so things could get in and get
- trapped and not find their way out. But I didn't sit there
- 19 all day and watch to see if the crabs got out. I just
- 20 noted that it wasn't secured.
- 21 Q Meaning the net?
- 22 A Meaning the net.
- Q Okay. And is Photograph 7 an example of that sort of a
- scene that you observed?
- 25 A Yeah. I actually meant more under the net, because there

- are places where it's seamed, but it's not totally seamed.
- 2 Q How about -- more like Photograph 8?
- 3 **A Yes.**
- 4 Q Okay. Then Photograph 9 is the otter we talked about?
- 5 A Uh-huh.
- 6 Q Have you ever observed Taylor workers out there on site?
- 7 A Absolutely.
- 8 Q And is Photograph 10 typical of what you've seen?
- 9 A Yeah. I've seen that.
- 10 Q All right. And are Photographs 11 and 12 also typical of
- what you've seen on the site?
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q And 13 as well?
- 14 A Uh-huh.
- 15 Q And just do these all -- 14, 15, 16, 17, 18. Are those all
- 16 typical of what you see?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q All right. And then 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24. This will
- probably make a great transcript. 25. Let's stop at 24.
- 20 So those are all typical of what you see at the site?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q All right. When you've been on the beach, have you noticed
- 23 differences in the beach after the geoduck harvest has been
- taking place?
- 25 A Yes. You definitely do sink down more there. I don't -- I

```
1
           have not seen a harvest. I actually would like to see one.
           I don't know the exact dates and I have missed it, but it
           would be interesting to compare right after that.
3
                That's my neighbor's leg (indicating to photo).
4
 5
           26?
     Q
6
           It's Mark Kettering.
7
     Q
           I'm not going to ask how you know that.
8
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there a 25?
9
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yeah, I'm going to skip by it.
10
                     THE WITNESS: I believe that's their photo also.
          All right. And what was the consistency of the beach at
11
     Q
12
           this time that you took the --
13
           I was not there when they took that, but you can see
           it's --
14
15
     Q
          Soft?
16
          Yeah.
     Α
17
     Q
           Okay.
18
     Α
           That's --
19
          Yes?
     Q
20
          That's just one of our neighbor's dogs.
     Α
           27? Not sure how he earned his debut there. Do you
21
     Q
           recognize the scene in Exhibit 28?
22
23
           Uh-huh.
     Α
24
     Q
          And what is that?
           That's also the Foss netting, and that's an example of the
25
```

1 -- how there are gaps where little creatures can get in and maybe not out. 3 Q All right. The prior witness talked about 29 and 30. Do 4 you recognize 31? 5 Not that specific rebar, but I have seen that down there, 6 and that's one our neighbor's boats. 7 Q You've seen rebar like that sticking out like that? 8 Yes. Α 9 Q And do you recognize 32? 10 I recognize the photo. I have not seen those. I can only assume they were there, because I doubt that my neighbor 11 12 carried them there. 13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Your neighbor carried them there? 14 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. I said I doubt that our 15 16 neighbor carried them there, but I have not seen those. 17 who is your neighbor, by the way, that you're referring to? Q 18 Mr. Kettering? I believe these were Sherilee's. 19 Α 20 Sherilee Luedtke? Q 21 Α Yeah. And same thing as in 33? 22 Q 23 Uh-huh. Α 34 shows a dead fish left in the net after tube removal. 24 Q Have you seen things like that, yourself, out there? 25

1 I have not seen a dead fish lying on top of the net. Α All right. 36 is another --2 . Q 3 Α That, I've definitely seen. You've seen that? Meaning a crab, dead, under the net? 4 Q 5 Under the net. Α And 37 as well? 6 Q (Witness nods head affirmatively.) 7 Α 8 THE CLERK: You need to answer. I'm sorry. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. That was an example of loose netting that could drift up and things could get under 10 11 it. You're looking at 39 now? 12 Q 13 39. Α All right. 14 Q I don't know whose photo that is, but I think that was--15 Α 40? 16 Q --to show the distancing of the tubing. 17 Α 18 Q Meaning at --The placements. 19 Α 20 -- at one-foot intervals? Q 21 Α Uh-huh. All right. 22 Q 23 That's my photo. Α 41 is? 24 Q Uh-huh. 25

- 1 Q All right. And is this taken close to your property there,
- or on the Foss property?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q On the Foss property, not far from your property?
- 5 A (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
- 6 Q All right. Is 42 your photo also?
- 7 A I'm pretty sure those are mine. They all start looking the
- 8 same, but I know that date is my date.
- 9 Q Right. Okay. And is this, again, a typical depiction of
- what it looks like out there?
- 11 A (Witness nods head affirmatively.) That's actually tidier.
- 12 That's an earlier placement.
- 13 Q What do you mean: "Tidier"?
- 14 A I would guess that that's a newer planting, because there's
- 15 vertical -- tidier.
- 16 Q Okay. And, in fact, is 43 also a photograph that you took,
- do you believe?
- 18 A No. I think that those dates are -- I can't say that on
- 19 that, because I don't remember that big grouping.
- 20 Q Okay. Regardless of whether it's your photograph or not,
- is that typical of what things look like?
- 22 A That's what you see when they're doing the big planting.
- 23 Q And is 44 typical of that as well?
- 24 A Uh-huh.
- 25 Q And 45. Did you have a question?

```
1
                     MR. KIMBALL: Objection.
                     THE WITNESS: I have not seen that boat, that I --
3
           I don't know if I've seen that boat.
4
     Q
          Okay. 45?
 5
          Uh-huh.
     Α
6
     Q
          All right.
7
     Α
          One of my neighbors found one of these orange crates.
          That is shown on 46?
8
     Q
9
           Uh-huh.
10
           And have you seen operations like that underway?
     Q
11
           I have not. I would like to witness that.
     Α
12
     Q
          You have not been there when they were planting?
13
           No. I have not witnessed that.
     Α
           We'll skip those last few there. All right. Thank you
14
     Q
           for --
15
16
           Well, those were -- no, never mind. That's --
17
           All right. Thank you for helping us out with that.
     Q
18
           Uh-huh.
19
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Your Honor, we'd move the admission
20
           of those photographs.
21
                     MR. KIMBALL: Which?
22
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Well, if you're going to continue
23
           to --
24
                     MR. KIMBALL: Up to 44?
25
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes, up to 44.
```

```
1
                    MR. KIMBALL: Except for 29 and 30, that --
                    THE CLERK: I can't hear you. Will you please make
3
          it clear? I'm sorry.
                    MR. KIMBALL: You're offering up to 44, except for
5
          29 and 30 that the doctor just spoke about?
6
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Correct, and I'm offering those,
7
          too, since the doctor spoke about those.
8
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, he didn't identify 6.
9
                    MR. BRICKLIN: 6?
10
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Photograph Number 6 wasn't
11
          discussed.
12
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Then -- well, let me see.
13
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's what my notes
          reflect.
14
15
    Q
          Let me ask -- when you have been on the beach, have you
16
          observed scenes like what is depicted in Number 6?
17
          Yes.
    Α
18
    Q
          All right. Thank you.
19
                    MS. GUERNSEY: And, Mr. Bricklin, we'd just like a
20
          copy, if you have one.
21
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Yes.
22
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibit 150?
                    MS. GUERNSEY: Yes.
23
24
                    MR. BRICKLIN: 150. I gave my extra one to the
          Examiner. Maybe we could --
25
```

```
1
                     MS. GUERNSEY: After lunch?
 2
                     MR. BRICKLIN: After lunch, get that sorted out.
                     MS. GUERNSEY: Okay.
3
4
                     MR. BRICKLIN: So again, Your Honor, I'd move
5
          everything up to 44.
6
                     MR. KISIELIUS: We have no objection. Thank you
7
           for explaining those.
8
                     MR. KIMBALL: No objection, now that they're
           identified.
9
10
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibit 150, through 44,
           will be admitted into evidence; through 44 only. And the
11
12
           record should reflect that there are 53 photos.
13
           Ms. Pinneo, you mentioned, earlier, that some of those
    Q
           pictures were taken when you'd been kayaking?
14
15
    Α
           Yes.
16
           So do you kayak along that area?
    Q
           Yes. That's a new thing for us. Yes.
17
18
    Q
          And does the geoduck operation interfere with your ability
           to use any part of the water?
19
20
           The rebar would, definitely, and the netting. I mean -- or
21
           the paddle wouldn't get caught in the net, but it's
           definitely different than -- the thing that it does affect
22
23
           is that you can't push off the sand -- push off the same as
24
           you would on a normal beach.
25
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have a kayak, because I
```

1 kayak. THE WITNESS: Yeah. And we are newer kayakers. 3 Especially if I'm alone, my husband doesn't want me out in 4 the middle. He wants me along the shore. So that's the 5 effect. 6 Q When you've been out there, have you seen windsurfers? 7 I have not seen windsurfers. So I hope to have 8 grandchildren who would do that. 9 Q Has Taylor ever invited you--generally, you, as it were; 10 the public--onto their property to inspect their operation? Absolutely. It was Bill Dewey, at the interim-regulation 11 12 hearings, had a direct invitation to all of us to come 13 anytime, onto the site, as long as we didn't destroy it. And in turn, have you opened your property to Taylor, to 14 Q 15 come up to pick up litter and debris? 16 I have not given them an invitation, but I know that that's 17 part of their -- I would welcome them picking up the 18 debris. And I've never said, to anybody walking on my beach, that "you can't walk on my beach"; a horse or 19 20 anything. I've never said that. 21 Q All right. Did you have a conversation with Mr. Kingman where you talked about the purpose of the netting? 22 23 Yes. Α 24 Q Do you recall what he told you? Is he somebody who works for Taylor? 25

```
1
           I don't believe he works for him. I think he's, like -- I
 2 .
           call him "the dealmaker."
3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which means?
4
                     THE WITNESS: Which means that he's the one that
 5
           made -- I don't know who approached Foss -- the Foss family,
6
           but he's, I believe, the one that was the dealmaker with --
7
           somebody else referred to him too -- where he is the liaison
8
           with Taylor and...
9
    Q
           So maybe he's an agent or a broker or something?
           I don't know how it works. I know that he's working on
10
           this type of thing on his own property and doing lots of
11
12
           experimenting.
13
           With geoduck aquaculture?
    Q
           (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Yes.
14
     Α
           You have to answer orally. What did he describe, the
15
    Q
16
           purpose of the nets?
17
           You're talking about the blanket net?
18
                     MR. KIMBALL: Objection; seems to me we're pretty
19
           far afield from the Foss operation at this point.
20
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sustained.
21
     Q
           Does he use blanket netting the way the Foss operation
           does?
22
23
          At his own property?
24
    Q
          Yes.
25
                     MR. KISIELIUS: I'm going to object. This is
```

```
1
          testimony about a different operation, not the Foss farm
          that's the subject of this hearing.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're getting a little bit
3
4
          removed here. I don't know who this gentleman is, and it
5
          seems to me like it's hearsay.
                    MR. BRICKLIN: That's fine.
6
7
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Unless you can tie it in a
8
          little better, because it's pretty remote here.
9
                    MR. BRICKLIN: That's fine. Then I have nothing
          further for this witness.
10
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anybody else got any cross?
11
12
                    MS. GUERNSEY: No.
13
                                CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15
    BY MR. KISIELIUS:
16
          Ms. Pinneo, my name is Tadas Kisielius, on behalf of
17
          Taylor. I have just a few questions for you.
18
    Α
          Okay.
          You had testified to some nets that you had discovered
19
    Q
20
          north of the residential properties and that one of them --
21
          excuse me. One net that you testified to finding. Could
          you say again -- was there a company name on that net?
22
23
          Yeah. I specified it was not your company, and I called
24
          the company.
          Do you remember which company that was?
25
```

- 1 A I can say it. Is that relevant?
- 2 Q It's not. The sacks -- and again, I'm just trying to
- 3 clarify, make sure I caught it. One sack? And did you say
- 4 that was similarly marked with some identification?
- 5 A Four numbers.
- 6 **Q** Four numbers.
- 7 A Yes. I have it in my email, because I came home and logged
- 8 the whole thing. But I believe it was four numbers. I can
- 9 take a second and look here. No company name. And I also
- was under the impression, from the interim regulations,
- that all those things were supposed to be marked with a
- name. And the barge didn't have a marking. There were no
- markings on anything.
- 14 Q You just talked about a barge.
- 15 A I'm sorry. The same day, when I was down there, talking to
- the foreman, I also went north and found the bag.
- 17 Q Got it. Okay. So now a couple questions about that. This
- is now, I think you testified, July of this year--
- 19 **A** Yes.
- 20 Q --that you had a conversation with the foreman?
- 21 A Yes. Anthony.
- 22 Q How did you approach the workers?
- 23 A I was in the kayak.
- 24 Q And so you paddled up to them where they were working?
- 25 A Yeah. Oh, and I got out and I was talking to them.

2 .

Q

Actually, I was just looking for that new identity that I thought was supposed to be there, but I was wrong. And then I was questioning -- actually, I just observed that on the way down to Joemma.

Then, on my way back, Anthony was there. He wasn't there the first time when I was there. And then Anthony was there, and I asked him -- first of all, "I thought this was an expired permit, and why are you still planting?"

Because I thought this was all in the process. And he said, to his knowledge, it wasn't.

And then I asked about the tubes being marked, and he said he didn't believe -- he thought that it was for new permits, not existing permits, which I said I didn't think this was an existing permit, being expired.

And then, he was on the phone with Brian Phipps at the time, and so he relayed the questions to Brian. And I asked -- I'm going to look at my notes here. I asked him why he was planting, and then he relayed that to Brian.

And then Brian told him he was supposed to ask me to leave the premises. And I said that "I don't have to. I've had an invitation to observe down here anytime, by Bill Dewey."

And so you had this whole conversation with them. You kayaked up, and did you say you got out of the kayak?

Yeah. I was talking to him on the farm; not hurting anything.

1 Let me ask you a quick question about Photograph Number 26 Q 2 . of the packet. 3 MR. BRICKLIN: (Produces photo to witness.) 4 Q Did you testify that you had taken that photo? No. My friend did. 5 6 Q Okay. Do you know where that photo was taken, exactly? 7 That is -- no, but it's -- they were down by the Foss farm, so it's not in front of our beach. Our beach does not do 8 9 this. 10 Q Okay. I'm just trying to get some clarity on the location. "By the Foss farm" means on the Foss property? 11 I could call her on the break and find out. I do not know 12 13 where this was, exactly, taken. I know they took it for this purpose. And she got sick this morning or she would 14 be here to tell you herself. 15 16 Okay. Q Sorry. I didn't look at you (indicating to Hearing 17 18 Examiner). 19 You had testified to the condition of the rebar 20 photograph -- I believe it was 31 -- that it was in that 21 condition and that you had seen it like that. How often do you see it like that? How long is it in that condition 22 23 when you see it like that? 24 I can't say on that. It's not my favorite thing, frankly, to walk down that end anymore, so I don't go down there 25

```
1
           that often. I mean, I do go down, but it's not very
          pretty.
3
    Q
          So when you testified that the rebar -- and I think you
4
           said, in that state, it poses a hazard to you kayaking. Is
           that the state that you're referring to --
 5
6
    Α
          If rebar was sticking up like that, in the water, it's not
7
           going -- I doubt it would make me drown, no. But it would
           be an obstruction you would not be expecting. But it
8
           wouldn't obstruct me like a scuba diver in a net.
10
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wouldn't obstruct you like a
11
           net?
12
                     THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
13
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: What do you mean, it
           wouldn't obstruct you like a net?
14
                     THE WITNESS: I said like a -- like when Bob was
15
16
           testifying that a scuba diver would get caught in a net.
17
           That's very dangerous. For me to bump some rebar is a
18
           bummer, and it shouldn't be there. It's garbage to me.
19
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Thank you. I have no further
20
          questions for you.
21
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have a couple questions
22
           for you.
23
      ///
24
      ///
      ///
25
```

1		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2 .	BY T	HE HEARING EXAMINER:
3	Q	When you kayak, can you kayak over the area that's netted?
4	A	You can.
5	Q	You can?
6	Α	Yes, you can. You have to be careful about what you're
7		hitting if you're in shallow water.
8	Q	Okay. And since you're new, do you stay in shallow water,
9		basically? Since you're new at kayaking?
10	A	I'm supposed to, per my husband's request, when I'm alone.
11		And I have my little strap. I do all the safety stuff.
12	Q	And you said you don't go down there very much anymore.
13		It's not very pretty. What did you mean by that statement?
14	A	When the tide is out and you're walking the beachwe all
15		walk the beachit's just you go down more to be a
16		lookie-lou and to see what's happening at the farm, not to
17		see cool driftwood. And it's just like the photos. It's
18		just not pretty.
19	Q	So you avoid the area? Is that what you're saying?
20	Α	It's well, when the tide's out, if you're walking, you
21		just go for a beach walk, that's you might go north more
22		than south because of that.
23		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. May this witness
24		be excused?
25		MR. KIMBALL: I had a couple of questions, Your

```
1
           Honor.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought...
3
4
                                CROSS-EXAMINATION
 5
    BY MR. KIMBALL:
6
    Q
           Shallow water? It would have to be, what, 18 inches, two
7
           feet or shallower, in order to hit the bottom with your
8
           paddle, in the kayak?
           Whatever the draft is of your kayak and whatever your
9
10
           paddle. I've never measured that.
           So if you're in five feet of water, you're not going to hit
11
    Q
12
           the bottom.
13
           My paddle doesn't go down five feet, no.
    Α
           "We all walk the beach"? You understand that the beach
14
    Q
15
           belongs to my clients?
16
           The same as the beach that they walk on on my property.
17
           That is my beach, yes.
           Does the Foss family come down onto your property?
18
    Q
           They could. I don't recognize everybody that walks on our
19
    Α
20
           property.
          You indicated --
21
    Q
          But they're welcome to.
22
    Α
          You indicated that you came home and "logged it." You were
23
    Q
24
           referring to this time when you talked to Anthony.
           Uh-huh.
25
```

1 Q You've kept a running log of activities on my clients' 2 . beach? 3 I have not. I have kept notes of anything that I 4 personally have observed. And this is the first 5 interaction I've had like this, and I don't like to lie, so I wrote down the words as I said it, because I wanted to 6 7 email it to them, and sent it to the County and our Council 8 members and our neighbors and wanted to be accurate. So I 9 made my own notes, because I don't have a computer there at 10 our -- it's a vacation place. And that's what I meant by 11 "logging." 12 Q Okay. So you kept notes on what you had observed? 13 So that I could get home and type this up. Uh-huh. 14 Q 15 Α And the notes are thrown away. 16 How many occasions did you go down onto the beach to Q 17 observe this operation? 18 You mean just stand and watch them, or what do you mean? On how many different times did you go down to the beach 19 Q 20 and observe and take notes? Is that better? 21 This is the first time I have gone to the beach and come home with notes. I was upset after this interaction 22 23 because I had the understanding that it was expired, and so 24 I wanted to know why are they still -- why are we seeing more tubes when it's expired? 25

```
1
           So you went down there to object to the fact that Taylor
     Q
 2 .
           was operating on that beach?
3
           No. I went down there in my kayak for a kayak and came to
4
           them planting and so asked questions. And the team that
 5
           was there didn't speak very much English so -- or they were
6
           pretending like they didn't speak much English -- so they
7
           couldn't answer my questions.
8
                So when I came back, Anthony was wonderful. He was
9
           very congenial. Anyway, he was --
10
           Cooperative? Friendly?
     Q
           Oh, totally. And he told me about some rude neighbors, and
11
12
           I apologized for that, because I don't approve of that,
13
           50...
           When you were talking about photographs, you said, quote,
14
    Q
           "That day was my day." Has there been a schedule of
15
16
           people--
17
           No.
    Α
18
           --photographing --
     Q
           That day -- I wish that, when this was put together, that
19
20
           each -- the name of who put it there was also identified,
21
           because, when we assembled it, it was a group effort and --
           you know, it's easier to recall. I don't remember -- I
22
23
           mean, I recognize my general photos, because I don't have a
24
           lot of them. I know that's my otter. But, otherwise, it
           would have been nice to say "taken by," because -- no
25
```

- 1 question.
- 2 Q Did you add the legends on the photographs?
- 3 A I did not.
- 4 Q Do you know who did that?
- 5 A I believe I do.
- 6 Q And who is it that you understand did that?
- 7 A Can I confirm with someone to make sure I'm saying the name
- 8 right?
- 9 Q No, I'm just asking for your understanding.
- 10 A Of who did it?
- 11 Q Yeah.
- 12 A I believe it was Catherine Townsend.
- 13 Q Okay. You say "we assembled." Who are the members of the
- "we" that assembled the photos?
- 15 A We have -- Case Inlet Association is our neighborhood of 15
- homes, and we have joined with multiple other associations
- who have the same issues with geoduck farming. And so we
- 18 -- this was a community effort.
- 19 Q Who else took photographs that are in this packet, marked
- 20 **as Exhibit 150?**
- 21 A I don't know, every photo, who has taken what.
- 22 **Q** You don't know the name of anyone else who did that?
- 23 A I told you the Ketterings took the leg. I know Sherilee
- had some of them. The divers were Bob. I got permission
- 25 **from him to submit those. I told you mine.**

```
1
           You've not ever struck a piece of rebar with your kayak?
     Q
 2 .
           I have not.
     Α
3
     Q
           You have never had your paddle entangled in a net?
4
           No, and I did not say that I entangled in a net. It's just
 5
           you don't want to be hitting -- have you ever kayaked?
6
     Q
           Poorly.
7
                           (Laughter.)
8
                     THE WITNESS: Poorly. You sometimes push off the
9
           sand, and you want -- you understand (indicating to the
10
           Hearing Examiner).
           You live in Issaquah and this is a beach cabin; is that
11
     Q
12
           right?
13
           It's our vacation home. It's actually a home.
     Α
           How long have you owned that property?
14
     Q
           I think it was eight years in July; eight or nine. But
15
16
           we've been coming down there for over 20 years, because one
17
           of our very best friends owns the cabin three houses down.
18
           So we have seen the change down there over a 20-year span;
19
           21 years.
20
           When did that change begin?
     Q
21
           Well, I -- one of the things that I testified at the
           interim-regulation hearing is that I have a nicer beach
22
23
           now, because -- and I don't have scientific proof of this,
24
           but I know that John McCormick is claiming that he has lost
           about four feet of his bank, which is hard to prove. But I
25
```

```
1
           testified that I now have a nicer beach because that sand
           is drifting -- wherever it's coming from, the harvesting,
 3
           the bank, whatever -- I used to have a lot more sand-dollar
 4
           beds, thousands and thousands of sand dollars, in front of
 5
           my property, and now I have mainly beach.
 6
     Q
           So sand is migrating from the south onto your beach?
 7
           Yes, very much so.
           Did you own the property at the time of the February 2001
 8
     Q
 9
           earthquake?
10
           Yes.
           Did you notice any change --
11
     Q
12
                     THE CLERK: Could you please speak closer?
13
           Did you notice any changes to the beach configuration?
     Q
           I did not notice any changes to the beach. The changes
14
           were in the bank above our homes.
15
16
           They sloughed?
     Q
           One home in particular, but we had nothing. Our beach was
17
18
           -- our home, our -- most of the homes were not touched.
           Did you notice any changes to the bank, on the banks on the
19
     Q
20
           Foss property, to the south of you?
21
     Α
           I did not.
           It would not be inconsistent with the shaking of an
22
     Q
           earthquake for a feeder bluff to shed a lot of sand?
23
24
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Objection; qualifications to testify
           about this subject.
25
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's not helpful.
                     MR. KIMBALL: Thank you. I don't have any further
3
          questions. Thank you very much.
 5
                               REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6
    BY MR. BRICKLIN:
7
    Q
           Ms. Pinneo, before you go, you've been referring to an
           email that chronicled your recollection.
8
9
           Yes.
10
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Your Honor, I'd ask that we get this
           introduced into the record since she's been referring to it
11
           so much. It was not previously marked.
12
13
                                (Discussion off the record.)
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Mr. Examiner, we're not certain
14
          whether we're going to object or not. We just have not seen
15
           this before, and it is not in Mr. Bricklin's exhibit list.
16
           So we'd ask the opportunity to take a look at the email
17
           before you make a ruling on whether it will be admitted.
18
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: We'll take a five-minute
19
20
           recess right now. Make it 10. This will be the morning
21
           recess.
                           (Recess taken from 10:23 a.m. to 10:38
22
23
                           a.m.)
24
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: The hearing on Taylor
25
           Shellfish, AA16-07, will be reconvened.
```

```
1
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Mr. Examiner, we're going to object
          to the entry of this email as evidence. First of all, it
          wasn't on Mr. Bricklin's exhibit list. To the extent that
3
          it was relevant to Ms. Pinneo's testimony, she's already
 5
          used it to refresh her memory, and that's part of the record
6
           right now. From our brief review, the email contains
7
           allegations of impropriety according to a standard of an
8
           interim regulation that wasn't adopted at the time and
          hasn't been adopted yet. It appears to be irrelevant to the
10
           proceedings. And if it was relevant, it's already part of
          the record through her testimony.
11
12
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to admit it for --
13
          I'll give it the weight I think it deserves.
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Mr. Examiner, just for the record,
14
15
          to identify it clearly, at the Clerk's request, this has
16
          been marked as Exhibit 153.
17
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I haven't got it. Oh,
18
          this is it here.
19
                     MR. BRICKLIN: And it's an email from Ms. Pinneo to
20
          a number of people, starting with Jill Guernsey, dated July
21
          8th, 2007.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Correct. I haven't looked
22
23
          at it, but -- next witness.
24
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Laura Hendricks.
25
                     MR. KIMBALL: I would like to ask Ms. Pinneo a
```

```
1
           couple of questions about the exhibit.
 2 .
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Certainly. Come back,
3
           please. I don't think she used it to fresh her memory at
4
           all, in my recollection.
 5
                     THE WITNESS: My wording, I did.
 6
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you? You're under oath.
7
8
                               RECROSS-EXAMINATION
9
    BY MR. KIMBALL:
10
           Ms. Pinneo, you complained to the workers about not having
           a Washington boat license on the little boats, correct?
11
12
           I believe I referred to the -- yeah, the barge and the
13
           boat, and I thought it was odd that their equipment doesn't
           need a license and ours does. So I was asking about that
14
           there was no Taylor identification. I said, "Why wouldn't
15
           you want" -- "if this is a great" -- I don't know if I had
16
17
           that in there, but I did say to him, "Why wouldn't you want
18
           to identify Taylor Shellfish if it's a great thing? So why
           isn't your barge licensed?"
19
20
          You made inquiry of Anthony and quizzed him on whether the
    Q
           workers were, quote, "legal employees," end quote?
21
           I could barely carry on a conversation, and so I just said
22
23
           to him -- not knowing, I said, "I hope that these are legal
24
           employees, because I would want them to get" -- I don't
           know. I don't know -- I won't speculate.
25
```

24

25

Q

1 These people did not speak English, and that --Q 2 I hoped they had green cards or whatever they need to be a Α 3 legal employee. 4 Q Did you recognize what language they spoke? I know it was Spanish. 5 6 Q what percentage of the population of the state of 7 Washington do you understand to be of Hispanic origin? 8 A lot. And I work with a lot, and I wish I knew Spanish, 9 frankly. 10 Q But that was a concern that you expressed to Taylor in this kayak trip? 11 12 For some reason, I just asked if they were, hoping that 13 they were not under-the-table workers. You were opposed to what they were doing; you were not 14 Q 15 happy seeing that they were planting aquaculture, and you reacted accordingly, correct? 16 17 I did not react unhappy. I reacted very civilized. But I 18 was and am unhappy that they've continued to plant when it's expired. It was legal before, when they were 19 20 planting. 21 Q You do not want aquaculture on the beach next to where you have your vacation cabin, correct? 22 23 I do not want geoduck aquaculture that destroys the sand. Α

totally eliminate geoduck aquaculture?

So any type of aquaculture other than geoduck, or does that

1 Could you rephrase that? Α 2 well, what you just told me -- do you intend, by that, to Q 3 limit it to no geoduck aquaculture? 4 Α Ever, or on our property? 5 Q No one has it on your property. It's on the Foss property, 6 correct? 7 Α Yes. You recognize you have no entitlement to use the Foss 8 Q 9 property? 10 Α Yes. You're not opposed to all farms of aquaculture; just 11 Q 12 geoduck aquaculture as it is practiced now? 13 I don't -- from what I've read, I don't think it's been studied enough. I don't think that it's regulated enough. 14 15 I don't know who is coming out from the County and checking 16 to make sure the garbage was picked up, because I felt like 17 that was our job. 18 This dollar per tube is not a good deal to me, when we've picked up -- I wish I had a dollar per tube. I don't 19 20 know how that works. I just think that we need some better 21 regulations and that it needs to be studied on what it's really doing to the natural life there. 22 23 But earlier, you told me you didn't have any scientific Q 24 educational background in this, I believe. Not in geoducks. I am a registered nurse. I have a lot of 25

```
1
           scientific education, but not in geoducks.
          You're a nurse?
    Q
3
    Α
          I'm a nurse; oncology nurse.
4
    Q
          At Overlake?
5
          No, at Children's. I work with cancer kids.
6
                     MR. KIMBALL: Thank you.
7
                     THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Am I done?
8
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I have a question for
9
           you.
10
                     THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
11
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're not getting off that
12
           easy.
13
                     THE WITNESS: Okay, sorry.
14
15
                          FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
16
     BY THE HEARING EXAMINER:
17
           You said you felt like it was your job to pick up after
18
           them. What do you mean by that?
19
          Anytime -- anytime you walk the beach and you see garbage,
20
          we pick it up.
21
    Q
          Uh-huh.
          And, at our last community meeting in -- I believe it was
22
23
           in June -- there was another pile of garbage that people
24
           collected that -- it's still a problem.
           With Taylor, or with the beach itself?
25
```

1 Oh, it's geoduck garbage. It's not garbage. Α 2 . Oh, geoduck garbage. 0 3 Α It's not bottles. It's not papers. 4 Q Can you describe it? What are you talking about in terms of volume? 5 In terms of volumes? 6 Α 7 Q The volume. What is it? Nets? What is it? 8 It's broken tubes, it's nets, it's one -- I've seen one 9 orange crate. The larger black nets that are -- I believe 10 they're the seedling bags. Uh-huh. 11 Q 12 We've found those. And, again, this is not all me. This 13 is a -- you know, we have 15 homes down there. People are always picking up whatever they see. And I'm actually 14 surprised that we're still finding the small nets, because 15 16 those have been gone I don't know how long. But, you know, 17 they just are probably from the storms. 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. 19 20 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 21 BY MR. KISIELIUS: Ms. Pinneo, may I ask one question, just to clarify? So 22 Q 23 you're talking about the neighbors association. It that 24 Foss-related garbage? I don't know of another geoduck farm, other than at Foss. 25

```
1
           So that's -- I -- where else would it come from?
 2
           But you had previously testified that there was another
     Q
 3
           operation that was responsible for the net that you found.
 4
           That net -- I need to specify -- the photo was not in
 5
           there -- it's a long -- like a hundred-yard, 30-inch-wide,
           dense-plastic, coil net. It wasn't the nets you guys use.
 6
 7
           It had a different company's name on it, and I called them.
 8
           So that's, I guess, the basis of my question. You
     Q
 9
           acknowledged that some of the debris that you've found is
10
           from a different operation, and I'm asking whether you--
11
     Α
           No.
12
     Q
           --know whether the debris that you just described was from
13
           the Taylor operation on the Foss site.
           I couldn't have picked up that net. It was so big and it
14
15
           was in the -- I took photos of that and called them, and
16
           they removed it.
                I left the canvas bag, because I wanted to leave it
17
18
           how it was. And then I -- the other garbage is all -- it's
           the little black nets, it's the rubber bands, it's the PVC
19
20
           tubes. I've never found a blanket net.
21
     Q
           And this is all debris that you've found this last summer?
           Oh, anytime you go on the beach.
22
     Α
           You were testifying to a time when the neighbors got
23
     Q
24
           together and --
           we have a yearly meeting, and there was a pile of garbage
25
```

```
1
           again, at the yearly meeting, that was just picked up that
 2 .
           weekend.
3
    Q
           That weekend. And it included little nets and so, again,
4
           the question -- and I'm sorry to ask it again. I just
 5
           don't know if you've answered it. Do you know whether that
6
           gear that you picked up belonged to the Taylor operation at
7
           the Foss site?
           It's not identified, like the interim regulations are
8
9
           specifying. So that will be nice, to have a company name
10
           and phone number to call -- a County number to call. And I
           do not know of any other geoduck farm in our vicinity that
11
12
           -- I don't know where -- you probably know where it is.
13
           They're your farms.
           Okay. Sorry again, but the answer to the question: Do you
14
    Q
15
           know whether it's from Taylor, or not?
16
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think she has answered by
           saying she doesn't know of any other operation.
17
                     THE WITNESS: Where else would it be from? You've
18
19
           got hundreds of thousands of tubes down --
20
           Again, the basis for the question is just you've
    0
21
           acknowledged that some of the trash you've picked up from
           before is not from Taylor.
22
23
           Only that one long, hundred-foot or whatever roll of
24
           dense-plastic, 30-inch-wide or so -- it's a coil. I don't
           know what they use it for, but it had another shellfish
25
```

```
1
           company name on it. I couldn't have picked it up if I
           wanted to, and that's the only other thing that is not from
3
           the Taylor property.
4
    Q
           So it's your testimony that the little nets are on Taylor
 5
           property?
           It's not on my property.
6
    Α
7
    Q
           Correct. But you said--I'm just trying to get some
           clarity--you'd picked up some trash this summer that had
8
9
           included little nets to cover individual tubes, and that,
10
           now, you just said that you knew that this is on the Taylor
11
           property.
12
                And so the question is now -- your testimony is that
           you know that Taylor uses individual nets over the tubes.
13
          They don't now, that I've seen. But they did for a long
14
    Α
           time. And I, as recently as last summer, was walking north
15
           to Camp Gallagher, and I came back -- with a girlfriend,
16
           and I came back with a pile of the little nets that are up
17
           -- still in the camp debris and driftwood, and it's still
18
           there. So I just don't walk past garbage and leave it. If
19
20
           I can pick it up, I pick it up.
21
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Okay. Thank you. No more
           questions.
22
23
      ///
24
      ///
       ///
25
```

1		FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
2 -	BY MI	R. KIMBALL:
3	Q	You talked about
4		MR. BRICKLIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. We're
5		operating under time constraints today, and I think we've
6		had enough questioning of this witness on these issues at
7		this point.
8		MR. KIMBALL: One small point I want to explore.
9		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead. I agree with your
10		comment.
11	Q	the pile of garbage at your yearly meeting. Somebody
12		brought a pile of garbage to the yearly meeting to show
13		everybody what garbage there was, correct?
14	A	No. My neighbor hosted the meeting that day. She had
15		walked the beach that morning, and that was the garbage she
16		found that morning, and it was on the deck where we had our
17		meeting.
18	Q	And your neighbor who did that was whom?
19	A	Kim Howe.
20	Q	And you did not see her gather that garbage that morning?
21		MR. BRICKLIN: Objection, Your Honor.
22		THE WITNESS: I wouldn't want to see her pick up
23		her garbage. I do my own garbage.
24	Q	So everything that was in the pile at the yearly meeting
25		was gathered that same day?

```
1
                     MR. BRICKLIN: I think we've had enough garbage,
          Your Honor.
3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's been asked and
          answered.
5
                     MR. KIMBALL: I have nothing further.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: I want to remind all counsel
6
7
          that this is limited cross-examination, you know, and we
8
          need to be moving on with this hearing. I'm not finding a
          lot of this stuff very helpful for our central issues. So
          let's move on, please. Thank you. May this witness be
10
11
          excused?
12
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes, Your Honor.
13
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you for coming
          forward.
14
15
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Try Laura Hendricks again, Your
16
          Honor.
17
18
                      LAURA HENDRICKS, having been first duly sworn upon
19
          oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as follows:
20
21
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you state your name
          for the record, please?
22
23
                     MS. HENDRICKS: Laura Hendricks.
24
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: And would you spell your
           last name?
25
```

```
1
                     MS. HENDRICKS: H-e-n-d-r-i-c-k-s.
 2
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: You may proceed.
3
4
                               DIRECT EXAMINATION
 5
    BY MR. BRICKLIN:
6
    Q
           And again, Ms. Hendricks, remember, you're going to have to
           do the pivot job here. Where do you live?
7
8
           In Gig Harbor, Washington.
    Α
9
           And what involvement have you had with learning about the
10
           geoduck industry?
           I've been researching this industry for the last year and a
11
12
           half.
13
          Why is that?
    Q
           Originally, there was an application in my bay, and we
14
           didn't know what it was, so we started looking into it. We
15
16
           thought it might be just --
17
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. Originally,
18
           there was what?
19
                     THE WITNESS: There was an application for geoduck
20
           aquaculture in my bay, and so I started researching it. The
           neighbors wanted to know what it was, and nobody had any
21
           idea what it was. So we just started doing research and
22
23
           looking at all the scientific information and talking with
24
           Fish and Wildlife and State agencies. And from that, we
25
           ended up setting up different organizations in different
```

```
1
           communities that also knew nothing about it, and it was
           starting to come into their neighborhoods.
3
    Q
           Did you have any experience as a researcher?
4
    Α
           My background was an analyst; not in science, but in the
           financial realm.
 5
6
    Q
           Uh-huh. Has your organization undertaken to determine the
7
           amount of fill that is represented by the tubes that are
8
           inserted into a beach during these operations?
           Yes. One of the things -- of the many things we've looked
10
           into, one of them is the fill, yes.
11
           I guess you don't have the notebook up there.
    Q
12
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm not clear on the
           exhibit number.
13
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: 154 would be the next
14
15
           number.
16
                     MR. BRICKLIN: No, this is a premarked one, and I
           believe it's Exhibit 26, but my numbers may be one off, so
17
           it may be 27. Let's see if we can get that sorted out.
18
                     MR. KISIELIUS: I have it as 26.
19
20
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Okay. It is 26.
21
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is that "Protect Our
           Shoreline"? Is that the top of it?
22
23
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes.
24
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's not been admitted into
25
           evidence.
```

```
1
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Right.
2 .
           Ms. Hendricks, I'm handing you what's been marked for
    Q
           identification as Exhibit 26. Do you recognize that
3
4
           document?
           Yes, I do.
 5
    Α
6
    Q
           And would you describe for what purpose it was created?
7
           The purpose was showing how -- because the shellfish
           industry has made statements that the beach levels are
8
9
           lowered one to two inches at harvest, we wanted to
10
           calculate how much material that was, that was coming from
           those beaches, being removed. And also, we were doing --
11
12
           at the same time, we were looking at the information of the
13
           Corps of Engineers on dredging and fill and structures that
           they say are part of their operations.
14
          All right. So this is actually a different exhibit than
15
    Q
           the one I had intended, but that's fine. We'll do this one
16
           first. So this was a calculation of how much material is
17
18
           removed, as represented by a one- to two-inch lowering of
19
           the beach?
20
          That is correct.
     Α
21
     Q
           All right. And without taking us all the way through the
           calculations, what was the total amount of material
22
23
           removed, if there was one or two inches of beach lowering?
24
                     MR. KIMBALL: Objection; foundation. I believe she
25
           said she was an analyst in the financial field. We've not
```

```
1
           heard any qualifications to opine on geology or
2 .
           geomorphology, I guess, as Dr. Parsons called it.
3
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Your Honor, this is a mathematical
4
           calculation. It's calculating the volume of a cube, if you
5
           will. Not a cube, but a --
6
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Based on the calculation,
7
           just on the mathematical calculation, I'll admit the
           mathematical calculations.
8
9
                     THE WITNESS: Well, you can do it on cubic yards,
10
           or --
           Let's do cubic yards.
11
    Q
12
           Okay. One acre at an inch loss is 134 cubic yards. And
13
           one acre at two inches' loss is 268, rounded, cubic yards.
           Effectively, in dump trucks --
14
15
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Pardon?
16
                     THE WITNESS: This also showed that -- for an
17
           example, to make it -- to the standard person looking at it,
18
           it would be 13 dump trucks at one acre, coming out, of
           material; and, to two inches, it would be 26 dump trucks.
19
20
          Thank you. And are those calculations shown more
     Q
21
           specifically on that exhibit, 26?
           Yes. The way we came up with the calculations are shown in
22
23
           consecutive order.
24
                     MR. BRICKLIN: We'd move the admission of that
           exhibit, Your Honor.
25
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It will be admitted.
 2
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Thank you.
3
          And then let me hand you, while you're here, Exhibit 21,
           which is the one I meant to start with.
4
 5
                     THE CLERK: What is 26?
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's a "Protect Our
 6
           Shoreline," the mathematical calculation.
7
8
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Exhibit 26, for the record, is
           "Material Removal From Beach."
9
10
    Q
           And Ms. Hendricks, could you read the title of Exhibit 21?
           The title is, "Calculation of Fill Comprised of Plastic PVC
11
12
           Tubing In Tidelands For a One-Acre Geoduck Operation."
          And is this, again, just a pure mathematical calculation of
13
    Q
           the volume of the tubes that are inserted into a beach?
14
15
           Yes. It's a very detailed calculation, because we always
16
           want to show where we get our information, so anyone can
17
           follow the calculations through and verify their accuracy.
18
           All right. And so, without taking us through each tiny
19
           step, kind of just jumping to the bottom line, what, I
20
           guess, on an acre of beach -- what do those tubes represent
21
           in the way of fill?
                     MR. KIMBALL: Objection, not to the calculation,
22
23
           but to the exhibit as indicating that it is material removal
24
           from the beach. What she appears to be redoing is
           calculating just a volume of material based on one inch
25
```

```
1
          times an area of beach, not what is removed. I don't
          believe there's foundation to do the latter.
3
                     MR. BRICKLIN: That was the prior exhibit, Your
4
          Honor. This exhibit is calculating the volume of the tubes.
 5
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: That are removed?
 6
                     MR. BRICKLIN: That are being inserted in the
7
          beach. This is tubes into the beach: How much fill does
8
          that represent?
9
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Overruled.
10
    Q
          So, Ms. Hendricks?
          This shows there are 502 -- it's 18.62 cubic yards an acre
11
12
          of fill, or 868,586 cubic inches per acre.
13
                     MR. BRICKLIN: And, Your Honor, we'd move the
          admission of Exhibit 21 as providing the details of that
14
          calculation.
15
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It will be admitted.
16
17
          And then, did your organization also calculate the amount
    Q
18
          of time, during summer daylight hours, that a geoduck farm
          would be visible; that is, at low tides?
19
20
          Yes, we did.
    Α
21
    Q
          All right. And I'm handing you what's been marked for
          identification as Exhibit 18. Does that exhibit reflect
22
23
          those calculations?
24
          Yes.
25
                     MR. KISIELIUS: We'd like to object to the
```

```
1
           relevance of this. View obstruction is not an issue here.
           The testimony she's about to provide is related to view
3
           only.
4
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sustained.
 5
           Hand that one back.
    Q
6
    Α
           (Witness complies.)
7
    Q
           Thank you. Has your organization collected information
8
           regarding the scientific assessment of impacts associated
9
           with geoduck aquaculture?
10
           Yes. We've spent a year and a half visiting with
11
           scientists around the world and taking documents that they
12
           recommend that we use; visiting with them, making sure that
13
           our recommendations and our observations are accurate and
           that -- they have sent us many of these documents that we
14
           have in our archives.
15
16
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is the name of your
17
           organization, again?
18
                     THE WITNESS: We actually have five groups.
19
           There's actually six now. Mine is Henderson Bay Shoreline
20
           Association.
21
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yours is what?
22
                     THE WITNESS: Henderson Bay Shoreline Association.
23
           Protect Our Shoreline is our Thurston group. We have Case
24
           Beach Association, which, you've heard from a lot of their
25
           people. There's the Case Beach Shoreline Association.
```

```
1
           There's a Case Inlet Association. We are now working with a
           Jefferson County new association, and we're working with
3
           Anderson Island.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: And what is the premise of
 5
           your organization?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: There really -- we started out as
7
           concerned citizens that wanted to find out what aquaculture
8
           was and what was it doing. Because many of us had protected
9
           our shorelines a lot of time, making sure that we're doing
10
           the right things.
11
                When we first started, it was on geoduck. But our
           concern now is how much habitat alteration and modification
12
13
           is each county going to allow in this state, and what are
           the long-term consequences of that alteration. Because we
14
15
           continue, as shoreline owners, to get information about: We
16
           should not put in docks. We should not put in bulkheads.
17
           We should not move debris. We just want to find out why all
18
           of these impacts are not being looked at equally. We all
           need to work together to make sure we save Puget Sound. So
19
20
           that's why we're concerned.
21
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
           Ms. Hendricks, let me hand you Exhibit 43. Do you
22
     Q
23
           recognize that document?
24
           Yes, I do.
           What is that?
25
```

```
1
          This is a partial listing of the most important studies
    Α
 2
           that we have found that are relative (sic) to the intensive
3
           aquaculture.
                     MR. PLAUCHE: I'm going to object, Mr. Examiner.
          Ms. Hendricks has testified that she doesn't have a
 5
           scientific background. This is a list of scientific studies
6
7
           she's now characterizing as "the most important." I have
           not heard any qualification that would allow her to enter
8
9
           that testimony.
10
                     THE WITNESS: Can I change that to most relative to
11
          what we're doing? Maybe not the most important, but they're
12
           relative to the species and the operations that we're seeing
13
          here in Puget Sound.
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Same objection, Your Honor.
14
          hasn't testified to any knowledge that would allow her to
15
           ascertain what's the most relative -- relevant, important,
16
17
           any of that. She's got no scientific background or
           foundation.
18
19
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are these materials that
20
          your organization uses in their presentations?
21
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We use them when --
          they're used in our presentation. They have been submitted
22
23
           to the Governor's office, to Ecology, Fish and Wildlife, the
24
           DNR, ISPAC (phonetic), for the concerns that we show for
25
           Puget Sound.
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to admit it for
 2 .
           those purposes. Exhibit 43 will be admitted.
3
     Q
           And when you testified that these are relevant, do these
4
           studies relate to geoduck aquaculture?
 5
           I don't believe there are any -- there might be some
6
           literature reviews in there, but on the geoduck, because
7
           there have been very limited studies, there's been very
8
           little information to find. And what is interesting, when
9
           you look at all this, is that, if you look from the sky to
10
           the ground, you see the impacts to the birds, with netting;
           with the geoduck and oyster nets.
11
12
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Mr. Examiner, I'm going to object
13
           again. She's now testifying as to impacts of the operation
           on the environment; again, has not -- it's one thing for her
14
15
           to have offered a document that compiles certain studies.
16
           Now she's going to start testifying as to her understanding
17
           of impacts. She's got no scientific basis for that
18
           testimony.
19
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to allow you to
20
           testify what your organization's concerns are, period.
21
                     THE WITNESS: Our organization's concerns are that
           we continue to hear we need another study. But when you
22
23
           look at the number of studies that are already published,
24
           there are studies that show impacts on birds, on disturbance
25
           from aquaculture and nets and predatation, on siltation.
```

1	If you look at the studies, there's on what's been
2	done to the harvesting of clams, on the benthic layer, which
3	salmon depend on, it shows the impacts in a five-year study.
4	If you look at studies on forage fish and you look at
5	studies on vegetation, on eelgrass, it shows the impact of
6	aquaculture on those.
7	And then the industry's own literature. They talk about
8	their past management policies of either deterring,
9	excluding, or destroying a great deal of aquatic life. If
10	you add all of those things up, it is a tremendous amount of
11	alteration, modification of our habitat and our wildlife in
12	Puget Sound that we feel somebody has to look at.
13	MR. PLAUCHE: I'm going to object and move to
14	strike that last sentence. Again, there's no foundation.
15	They had a fisheries expert, they had a geomorphologist that
16	was qualified to testify on these issues. They did not
17	testify to these issues. So I'm going to move to strike
18	that last sentence, the characterization of the impact.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to allow her
20	testimony as to what their concerns are.
21	MR. PLAUCHE: And again, I'll just state it so I
22	don't have to keep interrupting. I'll state is as a
23	continuing objection to this line of testimony.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's fine.
25	MR. PLAUCHE: Thank you.

```
1
    Q
           Ms. Hendricks, I'm handing you what's been marked as
           Exhibit 4.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Could we stop just a moment
3
           here?
 5
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes.
 6
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: What are the issues in front
           of me today? Could you tell me what the issues are?
7
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Whether the proposed project
8
           constitutes substantial development such that it requires a
9
           Substantial Development Permit. And number two, whether the
10
          permit that was issued in 2000 has expired.
11
12
                     MR. KIMBALL: I would agree with him on Number 2.
          And Number 1 is --
13
14
                     THE CLERK: Speak into the microphone, please.
                     MR. KIMBALL: I don't have one.
15
16
                     THE CLERK: Find one.
17
                     MR. KIMBALL: And Number 1 is a subset of that, in
18
           my view.
                     MR. KISIELIUS: We'd agree with Mr. Bricklin's
19
           characterization of the issues.
20
                     MR. PLAUCHE: I'm with him.
21
22
                           (Laughter.)
23
                     MS. GUERNSEY: I think it's true. I think the
24
           primary issue is whether or not it expired. The secondary
           issue, as raised by Taylor Shellfish, is the substantial
25
```

```
1
           development argument.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Can you gentlemen tell me
3
           how a lot of this testimony is going to help me with those
          two issues?
 5
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Your Honor -- or go ahead, David.
6
           I'm sorry.
7
                     MR. BRICKLIN: On the substantial development
8
           piece, Your Honor, the statutory definition of "substantial
           development" identifies a number of different ways you can
9
           qualify as development. And one of the --
10
11
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Don't you think we're
12
          getting a little far away from what we're looking at today,
13
          all of us? I mean, we're looking at two primary things.
          The basic one is whether or not a permit is to be continued.
14
15
          And I'm telling you right now, I'm not finding a lot of this
16
          testimony extremely helpful.
17
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Okay. Well, Your Honor --
18
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: On the two issues.
19
                     MR. BRICKLIN: You're right. If I could just bring
20
          this piece to a close just by offering this last exhibit,
21
          and I'm done with this piece.
22
                     MR. PLAUCHE: And if I can just weigh in on that.
23
          I definitely agree with you on your characterization of this
24
           as marginally or not relevant. I raised that objection back
           on November 1st and 2nd, as these environmental issues
25
```

1 started coming in. I do want to preserve, though -- as I indicated then, these issues are now in. They're part of the record. Mr. 3 4 Bricklin has argued that they're relevant, and we will be 5 putting on rebuttal testimony to address those issues. 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Keep it short. I'm going to 7 tell you right now, you need to know that I allow a lot of 8 information in because I think, when the general public 9 comes to a hearing, that they should be heard, you know. 10 And I think we're real lucky to have concerned citizens come forward and tell us about their problems. 11 12 But at the same time, an awful lot of material is going 13 through here, but it's just not going to be used by me. You can make your arguments as you want to, all the way around, 14 15 but I'm looking at two basic issues here. So you can go 16 ahead, but I'm just telling all of you I've got two basic 17 issues to decide, and I'm not finding a lot of this 18 information very helpful at all on those two issues. 19 MR. BRICKLIN: If I may, then, just very quickly, 20 conclude this. 21 Q Do you recognize the Exhibit 4 that I've handed to you? Yes, I do. 22 Α And just for the record, could you read the title and the 23 Q 24 date so that the Clerk has that identified? "Sustainable Shellfish: Recommendations For Responsible 25

```
1
           Aquaculture."
          And the author?
    0
3
    Α
          Is Heather Deal.
4
    Q
          And is there a date on that?
 5
           No. I know it came out just about a year ago.
6
    Q
           Okay.
7
    Α
           Davis Suzuki Foundation.
8
           All right. And is this one of the documents that your
    Q
9
           organization collected?
10
           Yes, it is.
           Just very briefly, how is it relevant to your
11
    Q
12
           organization's concerns?
13
           Well, it just verifies the concerns we have had, that other
           foundations have the same concerns.
14
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'll admit it for
15
16
           very limited purpose, reflecting what their concerns are.
17
                     MR. BRICKLIN: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
           That's Number 4.
18
19
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's Number 4?
20
                     MS. GUERNSEY: 4, or four zero?
21
                     MR. BRICKLIN: 4.
22
                     MS. GUERNSEY: Thank you.
23
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Excuse me one moment. (Confers with
24
           Mr. Kisielius and Mr. Plauche.) Your Honor, without having
           to take time from the witness, we're going to offer Exhibit
25
```

```
1
           13, which is a CD disk containing a video of a program that
          featured the Taylor operation right here at this site and
3
          has some onsite video footage, and I think there's not going
          to be an objection.
5
                    MR. PLAUCHE: There is.
6
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Oh, there is?
7
                    MR. PLAUCHE: I'm sorry. I object to the
8
          characterization that it was a harvest on this site. It was
          not a harvest on this site.
10
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Excuse me. I didn't mean to say
11
           "harvest." But it is this site?
12
                    MR. PLAUCHE: No. No, it is not.
13
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Excuse me. I stand corrected. But
          it is a video of Taylor's operations, generally, which are
14
          typical --
15
16
                    MR. PLAUCHE: We do not have an objection to the
17
          admission of the DVD but with a note that that is not the
           Foss site.
18
19
                    MR. BRICKLIN: That's not?
20
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's one of the --
21
                    MR. PLAUCHE: It is a Taylor site.
22
                    MR. BRICKLIN: All right.
23
                    MR. KIMBALL: It's a commercial TV program.
24
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Your Honor, that's all for this
          witness.
25
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: And you all think this is
           relevant for me to watch?
3
                    MR. PLAUCHE: No. But it's entertaining. I have
4
          seen it. It will be the most enjoyable thing you have to
5
          do, probably.
6
                     MR. BRICKLIN: That's all for this witness, from
          me, Your Honor.
7
8
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any questions?
9
                     MR. PLAUCHE: I don't have any questions for this
10
          witness.
11
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
12
                     MR. KIMBALL: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I wanted to
13
          clarify one thing. On 43, which was the list of studies, my
           understanding is you have admitted that for the limited
14
15
          purpose to show--
16
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Their concerns.
17
                     MR. KIMBALL: --that material that they've looked
          at vis-a-vis their concerns, not for the validity of it as
18
          scientific material.
19
20
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: That is correct.
21
                     MR. KIMBALL: With that understanding, I have no
22
          questions, then.
23
                    MS. GUERNSEY: No questions.
24
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: May this witness be excused?
25
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms. Hendricks,
          for coming forward. We appreciate your testimony.
3
                     MR. BRICKLIN: We don't have anything further, Your
          Honor.
 5
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Who's next?
6
                    MR. PLAUCHE: Jill.
7
                    MS. GUERNSEY: We don't have anything further.
8
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: You don't have any
          presentation?
10
                    MR. BRICKLIN: I think we're on to rebuttal now.
11
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: We're on to rebuttal?
12
                    MR. PLAUCHE: No rebuttal?
13
                    MS. GUERNSEY: No.
                    MR. KISIELIUS: We'll be calling just a few
14
          witnesses in rebuttal to the testimony.
15
16
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's this tomorrow? Some
17
          expert?
                    MR. PLAUCHE: Tomorrow morning, at 8:30, we've got
18
19
          David Troutt. He's a fisheries resource manager for the
20
          Nisqually Tribe. He will testify, in part, in rebuttal to
21
          some of the testimony that came in from Mr. Daley, relating
          to fishery impacts, that Mr. Bricklin offered. Would you
22
23
          like me to take a moment to articulate the witnesses that we
24
          do have coming up?
25
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'd appreciate that.
```

```
1
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Well, first, we'll call Brian Phipps
           to the stand to rebut some of the testimony related to the
3
           factual operations on the Foss site, the location of beds,
          and some of those issues.
 5
               We'll then bring Dr. Fisher back to testify to some of
          the fishery issues that were raised by Mr. Daley. We will
6
7
          then bring a Dr. Jon Davis forward to address some of the
8
          genetics and biomass issues that were testified to by Mr.
          Daley. We will then bring Lynn Goodwin on, briefly, to
10
          testify to, again, the biomass-effects issue. And then
11
          we'll have Mr. Troutt tomorrow morning.
12
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
13
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Thank you.
                     MR. KIMBALL: I may have five minutes of rebuttal
14
          from one of the partners of North Bay.
15
16
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, shall we move on,
17
          then? Now, you know what I'm looking for, so...
18
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. We would
19
          like to recall Brian Phipps to the stand.
20
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Phipps. You've been
21
          previously sworn in.
22
                     MR. PHIPPS: Yes, sir.
23
       ///
24
      ///
      ///
25
```

1 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** BY MR. KISIELIUS: 3 Mr. Phipps, it's been a few weeks since you were last up 4 there. Could you very quickly just restate your title with 5 Taylor Shellfish? 6 Α I'm the geoduck harvest manager for Taylor Shellfish. 7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And you're still sworn in. You're still under oath. You may proceed. 8 9 And have you been present for both days of the hearing in 10 November and today? Yes, sir, I have. 11 Α 12 Q And you've heard all the Interveners' witnesses' testimony? 13 Yes, I have. Α I would like to ask you a few questions about the location 14 Q of the geoduck beds. Do you recall the testimony of the 15 16 Interveners' witnesses regarding the location of those 17 geoduck beds? 18 Α Yes. There's some vagueness, and I'd just like you to lend some 19 Q clarity to it. Does Taylor keep records of the exact 20 21 location of the geoduck beds? Yes. We GPS our geoduck beds. 22 Α 23 You GPS the coordinates? Q Yes. It's the GPS coordinate of all the different 24 plantings on the Foss farm; every farm, actually. 25

1 And when did you begin recording the GPS coordinates of the 0 2 . geoduck beds? I believe we got our GPS unit in 2004. 3 So what did you do in 2004? 4 Q 5 We started GPSing all the previous plantings on the Foss 6 farm: the 2001, 2002, and so on. And since 2004, have you continued that practice? 7 Q 8 Yes, we have. MR. KISIELIUS: I'd like to enter, as an exhibit --9 10 and I'm sorry. You'll have to remind me if we're on 154. 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Next exhibit is 154, correct. 12 13 MR. KISIELIUS: I'm barricaded in here. Thank you. THE WITNESS: (Witness produces exhibit to the 14 15 Hearing Examiner.) 16 MR. KISIELIUS: We're going to have a big one as well (indicating to large photos). 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: This is a series of 18 photographs. The first photograph is 2006, with a series of 19 20 photographs with pink markings. 21 Q So, Mr. Phipps, could you please describe what we're looking at? 22 23 These are the different age plantings of GPS from 2003. 24 Here's 2006, 2005, and then 2004 over here (indicating). Is this depicting the entirety of the Foss farm? 25

- 1 A No, sir, it is not.
- 2 Q But the entirety --
- 3 A Oh, the farm. Not the property. Yes, the farm, not the
- 4 property. I'm sorry.
- 5 Q Okay. And, again, if you could just describe briefly --
- 6 we've gone into this before, but in terms of age classes,
- 7 what that means in relation to this photograph.
- 8 A Okay. The different age classes, you have your harvest.
- 9 The next harvest is here, in 2003 (indicating). And then,
- in 2004, they get younger, and we're going this way. And
- we have -- the last planting is 2006.
- 12 Q So, again, just to be clear, is the entirety of the Foss
- 13 farm ever covered in tubes?
- 14 A No, it is not. The only amount of tubes out there right
- 15 now are the 2006 planting.
- 16 Q Do you recall Dr. Parsons' testimony about the level of the
- 17 tide the two times he visited the property?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q And what's your recollection of his testimony?
- 20 A I believe he stated, when he walked south on the Foss farm,
- it was a plus 2. And when he went north of the Foss farm,
- it was a minus 3.
- 23 Q And do you recall whether he felt that the geoduck beds
- 24 were visible during his visit during the plus 2 tide?
- 25 A I believe he said he walked across the harvested area.

23

24

25

Q

Okay. Were you able to plot your GPS location at the plus 1 Q 2 and minus 3 tides on this aerial photograph? 2 . 3 Yes, we have. Α 4 Q I'd ask you to flip back over to the next page. (Witness complies.) 5 6 Q Could you describe what we're looking at here? Okay. The geoduck beds, again. And then you have your 7 8 GPS. Two-foot plus is the top red line. And the three-foot minus is the bottom red line. 9 10 Q So just to be clear, is it possible, at the plus 2 tide, that Dr. Parsons could have seen the harvested area of the 11 12 geoduck beds at the plus 2 tide? 13 Α No. So in light of that, do you recall Dr. Parsons' testimony 14 Q and Ms. Luedtke's testimony, and some of the other 15 16 witnesses, about a soft spot that they were calling the 17 harvest area? 18 Α Yes, I do. Do you know what they were talking about? 19 Q 20 I believe it's the ghost shrimp bed. It's above our 21 geoduck beds. THE HEARING EXAMINER: You think it's a what? 22

THE WITNESS: A ghost shrimp bed.

You get -- they're ghost shrimp or sand shrimp. They

Can you describe: What is a ghost shrimp bed?

- burrow down in the ground. If you're walking across it,
- you'll sink in anywhere from two to eight inches on your
- initial walk across. And then, if you stand there and work
- 4 your feet back and forth, you'll sink clear up to your
- 5 knees.
- 6 Q Okay. Are there other -- well, let me ask you first: Were
- you able to identify the location of this ghost shrimp bed?
- 8 A Yes. We GPS'd it.
- 9 Q So how did you GPS it?
- 10 A With our GPS unit.
- 11 Q I guess what I meant was: How did you determine the
- 12 boundaries?
- 13 A Okay. Is (sic) what I did is we walked the area. And as I
- 14 would sink, and then I would get out of the soft area, we
- 15 walked that area with a GPS.
- 16 Q And were you able to plot that on the aerial photograph as
- 17 **well?**
- 18 A Yes, we did.
- 19 Q Could you flip to the next page?
- 20 A (Witness complies.)
- 21 Q Could you identify for us the area that you've marked out
- as the ghost shrimp bed?
- 23 A This light green area is the ghost shrimp bed.
- 24 Q You described the condition of the ghost shrimp bed as
- 25 being you'd sink down. How long would it remain in that

- 1 condition?
- 2 A It stays that way as long as there's ghost shrimp in there.
- 3 Q Just, again, briefly--because I don't think this is marked
- 4 on there--where is the ghost shrimp bed in relation to the
- 5 McCormick property line? In other words, north of the Foss
- 6 **property**.
- 7 A It's right here, in front of Mr. McCormick's property.
- 8 Q And where is it in relation to the plus 2 tide line?
- 9 A It goes right through the plus 2. The ghost shrimp bed
- goes right through the plus 2.
- 11 Q Okay. And the majority of it is --
- 12 A It was above it.
- 13 Q When did you first become aware of this ghost shrimp bed?
- 14 A When we started planting in 2002, we actually tried to
- 15 stomp tubes up into the ghost shrimp area. Because what
- happens is, when you stomp the tubes in, they just
- 17 completely sink and they can't stay there. So we weren't
- able to plant there.
- 19 Q So this is in 2002. Had you done any harvesting activity
- anywhere on the Foss property at that time when you
- 21 discovered the ghost shrimp bed?
- 22 A Not in 2002.
- 23 Q And it was in that state?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Have you visited the -- well, let me ask you first

1 to identify where, up towards the north end of the property -- can you point to the location of the area of 3 the most recent harvest? 4 Α It's the yellow area, here (indicating). I think, if you flip it over one more time... 5 Q 6 Α (Witness complies.) 7 Q Describe what we're looking at there. 8 This is just an enlarged version. You have your harvest 9 area that we harvested directly in front of Mr. McCormick's 10 place. And then, at the plus 2, you have the ghost shrimp bed right above it. 11 12 Q And have you visited the area that was harvested recently? 13 Yes. Α And were you able to observe the condition of the harvested 14 Q 15 area? 16 Yes, I have. Α And could you describe it to us? 17 Q 18 It's the same as -- the substrate returns back to the -- I wouldn't -- I don't know if it's the natural state, but 19 20 it's firm as soon as -- a couple tide cycles after, you're 21 able to walk through it. You can't tell. I mean, if you wanted to walk out with me, I'd be 22 23 happy to take you out. You can't tell the difference. You 24 can see it right after harvest, but not now. The last time we harvested here, I believe, was August 15th. 25

- $1 \quad Q$ When you were out there visiting, were there other studies
- that were done? Core samples, that sort of thing?
- 3 **A Yes.**
- 4 Q Could you flip the page, please, for us?
- 5 A (Witness complies.)
- 6 Q Is that the location of the core samples?
- 7 A Yes, I believe so. We GPS'd those core samples that Dr.
- 8 Fisher was doing that night.
- 9 MR. KISIELIUS: Mr. Examiner, I'd ask that this
- 10 entire exhibit be admitted into evidence.
- 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: 153, A through E -- 154, A
- through E, will be admitted into evidence.
- 13 Q Mr. Phipps, I want to switch subjects here and ask you a
- few questions about Ms. Luedtke's testimony and some of the
- other witnesses, the fact witnesses. Were you present for
- 16 their testimony?
- 17 A Yes, I was.
- 18 Q Have you met Ms. Luedtke before?
- 19 A Yes, I have.
- 20 Q Could you describe where you met her?
- 21 A We had a meeting with the Interveners in Gig Harbor, so I
- 22 met her at that meeting.
- 23 Q And what was the purpose of the meeting?
- 24 A The debris issues; to try to work on the debris issues and
- 25 the marking issues of some of the items that we use.

1 Q And so we'll get into some of those issues. I'd like to first ask you about the use of nets on the Foss site. Do you recall Ms. Luedtke's testimony and Ms. Pinneo's 3 4 testimony about the nets at the Foss farm? The individual nets, or the larger net? 5 Α 6 Q Well, I guess we'll ask about both. I'd like to start with 7 going back to the Interveners' exhibit, Photograph Numbers 3 and 4. 8 9 Okay. 10 Q No, it's the Interveners'. 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're talking about Exhibit 12 Number 150? 13 MR. KISIELIUS: 150, yes. Thank you. THE HEARING EXAMINER: You want 3 and 4? 14 15 MR. KISIELIUS: Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: I know which ones they are. 17 You should probably take them, just to refer. Q 18 Α Okay. So do you recall her testimony that, in this photograph, 19 Q 20 the small nets had come off and floated away? 21 Α Yes. Is that your recollection of what's happened in this 22 Q 23 photograph? 24 No. What happened is, we pulled the nets and the tops that -- we had the individual nets on, and then we had the 25

- canopy net over it, because a few of the tops were coming
- off. So we put a large net over the top of it to keep them
- there. So before we pull the tubes, we pull the individual
- 4 nets and the canopy net off.
- 5 Q So it's your testimony that this is a photograph just
- 6 after --
- 7 A Just after, yes.
- 8 Q So do you know what day you removed the nets?
- 9 A The nets, we removed days before; a couple days before.
- 10 Q Okay. And how do you know that with such certainty?
- 11 A We keep records of everything we do on the Foss farm. On
- any farm, actually.
- 13 Q So you checked your records to see what the activity was on
- 14 this particular --
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did you notify Ms. Luedtke that this activity was going to
- 17 be happening?
- 18 A Yes, I did. After the meeting, we were in the hallway, and
- she asked, if there was any major operations on the Foss
- farm, would I call her. And I made a phone call and let
- her know that we were going to be pulling nets, tubes, and
- the cover nets.
- 23 Q So you notified her the day that the activities happened?
- 24 A Before. Before the activity.
- 25 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you just a few questions more about

25

1 nets. There was some testimony from Ms. Pinneo about -excuse me -- Mr. Paradise, about nets and entanglement. Is 3 there one type of net that people use when you're talking 4 about the canopy nets? No. There's different types. 5 Α 6 Q And are you familiar with the safety hazards, that they 7 might -- you heard Mr. Paradise's testimony. Do you have 8 any response to that? 9 I don't see -- we -- we don't dive and plant, but I know 10 there's other geoduck farms that actually plant through the net, and they do it by diving. So we haven't heard of 11 12 anybody getting caught in any nets. And we staple our nets 13 down every six feet. We have an anchor every six feet, so I don't see how you could get underneath them. 14 15 Q And what about the different types of nets? Can you speak 16 to the type of net that you use at the Foss farm? The large overlay net? 17 Α 18 Q Yes. It's one net. It's 50 by 50. It's what they call a 19 20 one-inch stretch, that's made out of nylon, and it doesn't 21 float. Okay. So in terms of the fear of the net drifting up and 22 Q 23 catching somebody --24 It doesn't float.

Okay. I also want to ask you one more question related to

1 the nets. Do you recall the testimony that these nets trap and kill wildlife? 3 Α Yes. 4 Q And have you been present at the Foss farm when you've 5 pulled those nets? 6 Α Yes, I have. 7 Q what do you observe when you pull the nets? There's crabs underneath them. There's cockles underneath 8 9 them. There's eels. There's the little -- they look like 10 a periwinkle. I'm not sure if -- it's a little -- I'm not sure what they're called. They look like a periwinkle. 11 There's fish. There's everything, and it's all alive. 12 13 It's not dead. Okay. I'm going to ask you really briefly: Do you recall 14 Q 15 Ms. Luedtke's testimony that the gear and nets have 16 restricted her ability to fish the site? 17 Yes. Α 18 0 And have you had occasion to check with the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife to see whether Ms. Luedtke 19 20 has ever obtained a fishing license since this farm has been installed? 21 MR. BRICKLIN: Objection, Your Honor; relevance. 22 23 MR. KISIELIUS: It goes to the weight of her 24 testimony and whether she's a credible witness on whether or 25 not this impact --

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sustained. 2 Let's switch subjects and talk about barges. Do you recall Q 3 Ms. Luedtke's testimony regarding the barges--I think they're called scows, maybe--at the Foss site and the 4 5 length of time that they were there? 6 Α Yes. I believe she said they were there from February till 7 July, I believe; or June. And she said they had become 8 part of the landscape. 9 And is that an accurate characterization of the length of time that the scows are out there? 10 I went back and looked at our harvest records and our 11 12 pulling -- our tube records, and they were there, from that 13 length of time, for about 40 days. About 40 days. And is that 40 days in a row? 14 Q 15 Α No. No. That's just -- we would bring them in and use 16 them and then take them out right after we're done. And the range again, just for clarity, is February 17 18 through...? June, I believe. 19 Α 20 Okay. And checking your records, you saw when the activity Q 21 was there? THE HEARING EXAMINER: What year? 22 23 THE WITNESS: Pardon? 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What year? 25 THE WITNESS: This year.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. 2 . Thank you. Those are the scows? Q 3 Α Correct. 4 Q Do you use other kinds of boats? 5 Yes. We have, like, little -- basically, little outboard 6 boats with -- skiffs, with just an outboard on them. 7 Q And have you ever left those at the Foss site overnight? 8 No. We don't moor those at the Foss site. 9 By way of comparison, do you recall -- well, let me ask you 10 first: In your recollection, how many private boats are moored to the north of the Foss site? 11 12 Α I believe there's nine. 13 And how about to the south, near Joemma State Park? Q I believe we've looked at it, and there's seven mooring 14 15 buoys at the Joemma State Park. 16 And in your experience, to your recollection, how often are 17 there boats moored to those buoys? 18 Most of the buoys that are moored out north are during the summer months -- during the hot times: May through 19 20 September, the beginning of September. 21 Q Do you recall Ms. Luedtke's testimony about the state of the Foss barges, the fact that they don't have reflectors, 22 23 they don't have lights? 24 Α Yes. Do any of these private boats have reflectors on them? 25

- 1 A No, they don't.
- 2 Q And do they leave lights on overnight?
- 3 A No, they don't.
- 4 Q I'd like to switch gears and ask you a few questions about
- 5 the use of rope at the Foss site.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q And I would ask you to look at Photograph 32 of Exhibit
- 8 150. I'll hand you a copy of that. Do you recall Ms.
- 9 Luedtke's testimony about the rope on Photograph 32?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Can you tell us what this rope is for?
- 12 A After the meeting with the Interveners in Gig Harbor, we
- were asked to try different methods of securing our nets,
- to make sure they wouldn't get away -- the large canopy
- 15 nets. So what we did is, we placed plastic anchors in the
- ground with this rope on it, and then put some plastic
- 17 rings on the edge of the net, and then stretched it, kind
- of like an accordion, and then stretched it out and tried
- 19 to keep it down that way. And that's what this rope is
- 20 **for.**
- 21 Q So you testified the rope was anchored.
- 22 **A** Yes.
- 23 Q What kind of rope did you use?
- 24 A It's -- I believe it's a nonfloating -- it's something --
- it's a nonfloating rope. I can't remember the name of it.

- 1 Q Okay. And so you tried this out, this alternative method.
- 2 Did it work?
- 3 A No. What happens is, all the nets kind of ball up in one
- 4 spot.
- 5 Q So has this rope that's in that photograph -- has that been
- 6 removed?
- 7 A Yes, it has.
- 8 Q And have you ever used this method since that experiment?
- 9 A No, we haven't.
- 10 Q I'm going to ask you a few questions about the testimony we
- 11 heard about rebar.
- 12 A Okay.
- 13 Q Do you recall -- actually, there were several witnesses
- that testified to rebar that was left upright and exposed.
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q Do you recall testifying -- and I don't know that it was in
- 17 response to the Interveners' photographs, but I think it
- 18 was in response to the County's photographs, that showed
- 19 rebar in a similar state --
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Could you tell us again why it's in that state?
- 22 A Is what we do is, when we're pulling the nets, we pull all
- the rebar and stack it in a pile -- or not in a pile, but
- just stick them in the ground so they're standing up. So
- 25 that way, when we get ready to go, it's all in one spot.

```
1
           You can come in when the tide comes in and then just set it
           in the boat, and it's all in one area, not scattered all
3
           around the whole perimeter.
           I'd like to ask you specifically about Exhibit 151. I'll
4
5
           hand a copy to you.
6
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't have a copy of 151.
7
                     MR. KISIELIUS: This was entered, I believe,
8
           November 2nd, Interveners' exhibit.
9
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I only have exhibits
10
           through 148 today. My office will start looking for the
           other ones, but that's all I have today.
11
12
                     MR. KISIELIUS: We're going to get an extra copy
13
           here from Mr. Phipps, if we have one.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: I've seen it. You can go
14
15
           ahead and use it now.
16
                     MR. KISIELIUS: Here's an extra copy.
17
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, thank you.
18
                     MR. KISIELIUS: You're welcome.
          So based on this photograph, can you draw any conclusions
19
    Q
20
           about what's going on in this photograph?
21
           Yes. If you look in the front of the photograph, you can
           see the rebar; it's sticking up. And then there's the
22
23
           tubes that are exposed. Is what we do, Your Honor, is,
24
           when the tide is starting to go out, we start at the
           highest point where the tubes are. Then, when the tide
25
```

1 drops off to the deep ground, we move out and we work the 2 . deep ground; and then, when the tide comes back in, we come 3 back and finish up where we were. 4 So if you look, that -- they pulled the net off. 5 They've got the rebar in a certain spot. I think that 6 they're out working -- is what we do is, we move out and 7 then come back in. So we'll stop doing what we're doing up 8 above, go down, work at the bottom, and then come back to 9 the top. And I believe that's what's going on here. 10 Q And can you tell, from that photograph, you know, in terms of the canopy net, how many had been removed so far? 11 12 It looks like just one, because, around the rest of the 13 gear, you can still see the canopy net's on. In a typical session, would you do more than one? 14 Q 15 It all depends on the size of your crew. If you have 16 enough crew to pull more tubes, you'll do more. If you 17 don't, you'll only do one. If they only did one here, 18 that's all they can pull: the amount of tubes that are underneath one net. 19 20 And in terms of the testimony that you heard about the date Q 21 of this photograph as being June of 2006, did you check your records at all to see the activity at the Foss site in 22 23 June of 2006? 24 Yes. We were pulling nets in June. Okay. Let's switch, real quickly, to Photo 31. I'll hand 25

1 you a copy. This is from Exhibit 150. Can you read the date that is on that photograph? 3 of '07. 3 4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is this? 5 MR. KISIELIUS: Exhibit 150, Photograph Number 31. 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: 31? Thank you. All right. 7 Q So in March of 2007, do you know whether the Taylor crews were out at the Foss site? 8 9 Yes, they were. And were you pulling nets? 10 Q Yes, we were. 11 Α 12 Q Would you personally have been down to the site in March of 2007? 13 Yes. 14 Α And do you recall ever seeing rebar, like this, exposed? 15 Q well, the only time that you see rebar like this, when it's 16 sticking up out of the ground that far, is when we're 17 18 pulling nets. 19 And the crew --Q 20 will pick it up before the tide covers it. Α 21 Q I want to briefly ask you a few questions about Photographs 50 and 51, which is also in Exhibit 150. 22 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Those haven't been admitted 24 into evidence, incidentally. We stopped at Number 44. Let me ask you the question this way: Do you recall 25

- 1 Ms. Luedtke's testimony about activities that were
- 2 happening on October 23rd of this year, 2007?
- 3 A I don't believe so. I don't remember.
- 4 Q Okay. Well, we've heard a little bit about the cleanup
- 5 activities. Can you tell us a little bit about the
- 6 biannual cleanup? I believe Ms. Pinneo testified to that.
- 7 A Is what we do is, biannually, the whole industry --
- 8 industry-wide, shellfish-industry-wide, gets together and
- 9 we clean up beaches in south Puget Sound.
- 10 Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to just take a look at these
- photographs to refresh your memory of Mrs. Luedtke's
- 12 testimony.
- 13 A (Witness complies.) Okay.
- 14 Q So on October 23rd, can you tell us what was happening on
- 15 that day?
- 16 A That was the day of our -- one of the days of our biannual
- beach cleanup.
- 18 Q And let me ask you some more details about that. On the
- biannual beach cleanup, do you work on just your
- 20 properties?
- 21 A No, we do not.
- 22 Q So in the vicinity of the Foss farm, where do you walk?
- 23 Where do you --
- 24 A We start from Joemma State Park and pick up all the way to
- 25 -- I believe it's the north Pierce County line, which is

```
1
           Rocky Bay.
           And how far is that, in terms of miles?
    Q
 3
    Α
          Approximately 10 miles.
4
    Q
          And do you catalog what you collect on those beach
 5
           cleanups?
6
    Α
           Yes. We have an itemized list on everything that we get,
7
           and the reason -- at least aquaculture garbage is itemized.
8
           when we -- at the meeting with the Interveners, we had
9
           some --
10
                     THE CLERK: Keep the microphone.
                     THE WITNESS: We had some -- just some data sheets,
11
12
           general sheets, of the total amount of garbage. Well, they
13
           asked if we could itemize all the garbage. So we itemized
           the aquaculture garbage.
14
15
                And then, on the bottom, we just put a total yardage of
           other debris. We don't count the pop cans and bottles and
16
           stuff like that, but we do keep track of what's aquaculture.
17
           when you say you "don't keep track," does that mean you
18
    Q
19
           just don't itemize it?
20
          Yeah. We just don't itemize it. I'm sorry.
    Α
21
     Q
           So what do your records show for October 23rd, in terms of
           what was picked up on that day?
22
23
          Total garbage, or aquaculture garbage?
24
    Q
          Let's do both.
           The total garbage, I believe, was four and a half cubic
25
```

- 1 yards. Aquaculture garbage was 15 feet of rope.
- 2 Q And again, is that just for the Foss farm?
- 3 A No. That's the whole 10-mile stretch, all the way from
- 4 Joemma State Park to Rocky Bay.
- 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, also, about some testimony we
- 6 heard about 2007 planting. I believe Ms. Pinneo was
- 7 talking about 2007 planting.
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q If you flip back over on our earlier exhibit, I don't see
- any of the age classes that are marked 2007.
- 11 A We actually didn't plant in 2007.
- 12 Q I believe you testified to this before. Can you recall why
- you didn't plant in 2007?
- 14 A When we first started and we put the tubes in -- we put
- some tubes in here in 2007, through here (indicating). And
- we put the tubes in, and we didn't have seed at the time.
- 17 So we left the tubes in, and we covered them with net.
- 18 And then, after that, when we finally got the seed
- 19 from the hatchery and we raised it in our nursery system,
- 20 by that time, we had heard, from the County, that we might
- get a stop-work order, so we didn't plant.
- 22 Q Okay. So there's nothing planted in 2007?
- 23 A No. There's nothing planted 2007. There's no tubes for
- **24 2007**.
- 25 Q So the 2007 planting -- we heard some testimony from Mr.

- 1 Daley about biomass. And you actually testified to this, I
- think, on November 1st. Do you recall your testimony about
- 3 the number of pounds of geoduck on the site?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q And what did you say?
- 6 A I said it was 1.3 million pounds.
- 7 Q What is that? Is that a number of the geoducks that are on
- 8 the site right now?
- 9 A No. That would be if everything -- Your Honor, if
- everything came to be two pounds at the same time, I would
- 11 expect to get 1.3 million pounds. But they're in different
- age classes, so they're different sizes, so the biomass is
- actually less than that.
- 14 So if you do it by -- I ran some numbers by age class,
- and I took the number of seed we put in by the percentage
- of survival that we got from each batch of geoducks that we
- planted, took an average weight, and came up with a total
- 18 biomass for the farm.
- 19 Q And what were the results of that calculation?
- 20 A The total biomass for the whole thing was 589,000 pounds, I
- believe.
- 22 Q And did you break that down into pounds per square foot?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Could you tell us what that was?
- 25 A If you go on the 10 acres that's planted, it's 1.3. If you

```
1
          use the whole farm, the whole 12, it's 1.2. Or 1.1. I'm
          sorry. 1.1.
3
          1.1. It looks like there's a range. You said the whole
          farm versus 10 acres. Can you describe what the
4
          distinction is there?
 5
6
    Α
          well, if you use the whole farm, it's the whole --
7
          everything we've planted previously. If you use the --
8
          what's planted now, there's areas -- the two acres that we
          didn't get to plant this year. So we don't have seed in
10
          there, so that's the two different -- that's why there's 10
11
          acres and 12 acres.
12
    Q
          Okay. So you get a range: 1.1 to 1.3. If those had been
13
          planted, what would the results be? What's your
          estimation?
14
          It would be the same. The seed doesn't -- it's only 10 to
15
          15 millimeters when you plant it, so this year's crop would
16
          be -- I still think it would be in the range.
17
18
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's five years to reach two
19
          pounds? Is that what it is?
20
                    THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
     Q
          Okay. I only have just a few more questions.
22
                    MR. BRICKLIN: What was the 1.1 figure?
23
                    THE WITNESS: That's if you use the whole 12 --
24
                    THE CLERK: I didn't hear the question.
25
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Sorry. I was just asking: 1.1
```

```
1
           what?
 2 .
                     THE WITNESS: That's 1.1 pounds per square foot.
3
           I'm sorry.
4
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Thank you.
5
           I'm going to switch subjects here and ask you to look at
     Q
6
           Exhibit 150, Photograph Number 49. I'll hand you a copy.
7
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's not in evidence.
8
                     MR. KISIELIUS: I'll ask him just to use it to
9
           refresh his memory on the testimony of Dr. Parsons and
10
           Ms. Luedtke.
           Do you recall Dr. Parsons' and Ms. Luedtke's testimony
11
12
           about the -- I believe they called it "scum" that floats
           north of the Foss site?
13
14
     Α
           Yes.
           And do you recall, after looking at those photographs, if
15
     Q
16
           you remember the dates that they said that had occurred,
17
           that they observed it?
           The date was 2/8 of '07.
18
     Α
           And have you been able to check the harvesting records to
19
     Q
20
           determine whether harvesting occurred at the site in that
21
           range?
           They harvested on the 4th of February of '07.
22
    Α
23
           Four days before?
     Q
24
     Α
           Yes.
           And again, this is based on your record?
25
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. Are you able to tell us where that harvest on
- 3 February 4th happened?
- 4 A Yes. It was in this area (indicating) of the 2002
- 5 planting, here.
- 6 Q And can you guess the distance from there to the property
- 7 line, where they observed the condition?
- 8 A 700 yards, roughly.
- 9 Q Okay. Last couple of questions here. You had also heard
- testimony today -- were you present for Mr. Paradise's
- 11 testimony about the sediments that he had observed?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. And I believe he said that the date that he observed
- this condition was May 17th of 2007.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Were you able to check the records to see if and when any
- 17 harvesting had been occurring?
- 18 A Yes. We harvested at the end of April. I believe it was
- 19 the 22nd. And then we harvested May 30th.
- 20 Q Okay. You also heard some testimony about tubes that were
- at the bottom of the water there. Do you recall that
- 22 **testimony today?**
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 Q I guess, as a first question, are there other geoduck
- operations in the area?

25

1 Yes, there are. Α 2 Okay. Does Taylor use the same tube size as other Q 3 operators in the area? 4 No, we don't. And Key Peninsula -- or, everywhere, we use 5 six-inch. And then, on the Key Peninsula -- we try to save 6 our grey tubes for the Key Peninsula. We have grey and 7 white tubes, and we save our grey ones for the Key Peninsula. 8 9 I'm going to ask you to take a look at Photographs -- I 10 believe it's 29 and 30, from Exhibit 150. I believe my copy of Photograph 30, I handed to Mr. Bricklin's witness. 11 12 Do you have an extra copy? I may have it. I may have 13 another one. MR. PLAUCHE: I've got it. 14 15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I've got an extra one here. 16 MR. KISIELIUS: Thank you. 17 Okay. You can refer to that, please. So do you recall Q 18 Mr. Paradise's testimony about those tubes? Yes, sir. 19 Α 20 Can you make any judgment, based on what you see in these Q 21 photographs, whether or not these are the types of tubes that Taylor uses? 22 23 Yeah. The industry usually cuts their tubes 10 inches, 24 nine and a quarter to 10 inches. So if you take the length

of the tube, it's 10 inches. And then you take the width

- of the opening, and it's roughly half that. It's not a
- six-inch tube. It's a four-inch tube.
- 3 Q So based on that, can you conclude whether or not this
- 4 would be a Taylor tube?
- 5 A I don't believe that's our tube, no.
- 6 Q Has Taylor conducted any diving activities at the Foss site
- 7 to look for loose tubes?
- 8 A Yes. We conduct dive surveys on the bottom of our beds.
- 9 Q And what were the results of those dive surveys?
- 10 A This summer, we surveyed. We did nine dives, found seven
- 11 tubes, and no tubes on the Foss farm.
- 12 Q So those other seven tubes were from where?
- 13 A Different areas.
- 14 Q Okay. Do you recall Mr. Paradise's testimony about the
- 15 tubes that he had found in the proximity of Joemma State
- 16 Park?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And do you have any knowledge of the facts around those
- 19 tubes?
- 20 A Yeah. I was walking on the pier. I walked down to the
- 21 pier, and I could see the tubes underneath the pier. So I
- 22 called Paul Harris at Seattle Shellfish and let him know
- that there were some at the bottom of the pier. And he had
- 24 let me know that they had dropped and lost a bag of tubes
- 25 at their geoduck farm. And I don't know exactly what date

```
1
           they went and picked them up, but they did get a call, and
           they did pick them up.
3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Where is their farm in
4
          relationship to this?
5
                     THE WITNESS: I believe -- I believe it's south.
          It's south of the --
6
7
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: How far?
8
                     THE WITNESS: -- the pier. See this spot, right
9
          here (indicating)?
10
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
11
                     THE WITNESS: I believe it's right in here, so it's
12
          not very far.
13
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's just south of the
           Joemma State Park?
14
15
                    THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
                     MR. KISIELIUS: I have no further questions for
17
          you. Thank you.
18
19
                                CROSS-EXAMINATION
20
    BY MR. KIMBALL:
21
    Q
          Was it your understanding that they were using the pier to
22
           load gear onto their boat to take to their farm?
23
          No, sir. I don't believe they use that pier.
24
                     MR. KIMBALL: Okay. I have nothing further.
25
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any further questions?
```

```
1
                     MR. BRICKLIN: I have just a couple.
2 .
3
                                CROSS-EXAMINATION
4
    BY MR. BRICKLIN:
           You indicated that you used gray tubes at this site, not
5
    Q
           white ones; is that correct?
6
7
           Yes. I knew you were going to bring that up. After the
8
           meeting in 2004, we got ahold of PW Pipe, and they were
9
           actually running a grey line of tubes for us instead of
10
           white. So it's after 2004. Sorry.
           So you have used white tubes on this site?
11
    Q
12
     Α
          Yes. Yes.
13
           All right. And you were discussing, in Exhibit 150,
     Q
           Photograph 49, the one that has the identification of
14
           "brown scum on beach" --
15
16
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are you going to admit that
17
           into evidence?
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes. I was just going to ask --
18
           there was testimony about it last time, by Ms. Luedtke, so I
19
20
           would move that one, Your Honor.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: 49 will be admitted into
21
           evidence since it's been clarified.
22
23
           Was it your testimony that you had harvested 700 or so
24
           yards south of that part of the beach shortly before that
           photograph was taken?
25
```

- 1 A Yes, if this picture is below the houses.
- 2 Q Right.
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q Okay. You testified that, in 2007, you initiated a
- 5 planting by installing the tubes, but then you didn't
- follow through because you didn't have seeds; is that
- 7 right?
- 8 A At the beginning of the summer. That's correct.
- 9 Q Then did you pull the tubes out?
- 10 A After we heard that we were going to get a stop-work order
- 11 from the County, we pulled the tubes out, yes.
- 12 Q You testified about the amount of garbage you picked up on
- this beach related to aquaculture. And that quantity would
- 14 not include the amount of aquaculture-related debris that
- had been picked up by the neighbors, would it?
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 Q You testified that you staple the net down every six feet
- 18 or so. Can you describe the mechanism by which you staple
- 19 these nets in place?
- 20 A If you look at the rebar picture, we use that rebar, and
- then we hook a little plastic ring on the edge of the net
- and then shove that all the way down until it's flat with
- the ground.
- 24 Q Does it ever come loose?
- 25 A They do work up.

```
1
          Thank you. It's your testimony that the area that you
    Q
2 .
          identified as the ghost shrimp bed -- that that was soft in
          2002; is that right?
3
4
         Yes, sir.
 5
                    MR. BRICKLIN: That's all I have, Your Honor.
6
                    MS. GUERNSEY: Nothing.
7
8
                             REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9
    BY MR. KISIELIUS:
10
          I would just like to clarify in follow-up, in redirect.
11
          You just testified about the rebar working up. In your
12
          experience, how far does it work up in the natural course
          of events?
13
          It only comes up a couple -- three or four inches. About
14
    Α
15
          the same height as the tube.
16
          What does your crew do when they see it worked up?
    Q
17
          They'll stomp it back down.
18
                    MR. KISIELIUS: Thank you.
19
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anything further? Thank
20
          you. I appreciate your testimony. Next witness, please.
21
          Wait a second here. Do you want to break for lunch now, or
          do you want to take the next witness?
22
23
                    MR. PLAUCHE: I don't think I can finish the next
24
          witness in 10 minutes, so it might make sense to break for
25
          lunch.
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Can we all be back here at
           1 o'clock?
                           (Lunch recess taken from 11:51 a.m. to
 3
                           1:08 p.m.)
 5
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good afternoon. The hearing
           on Taylor Shellfish, AA16-07, will be reconvened.
 6
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Thank you. I do have one witness
 7
 8
           that I didn't list in the litany that I gave you before, but
           I have one question for him, and I'd like to go ahead and
 9
10
           call him first. I'd like to call Bill Dewey to the stand,
11
           please.
12
13
                           BILL DEWEY, having been first duly sworn upon
           oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as follows:
14
15
16
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please state your name for
17
           the record.
18
                     MR. DEWEY: My name is Bill Dewey, D-e-w-e-y.
19
20
                               DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
     BY MR. PLAUCHE:
           And, Bill, could you explain to Mr. McCarthy the nature of
22
     Q
23
           your work with Taylor?
24
           I manage public affairs for Taylor Shellfish Company, so I
           do their regulatory work, their water-quality work, and
25
```

```
1
           soon, in the legislature, with various local, state, and
           federal governments that we interact with.
 3
    Q
           You've been in the room today, have you not?
     Α
           I have.
 5
           Okay. And you heard the testimony regarding your
    Q
 6
           invitation to the public to come down to the Foss property
7
           at any time. Do you recall that testimony?
8
           I do.
    Α
9
     Q
           Okay. Do you recall the nature of your making such an
10
           invitation? Do you recall making such an invitation?
           I testified, I think, on three different occasions, on that
11
12
           interim geoduck ordinance, and so what specifically I said,
13
           which time that they're referring to, I'm not sure. But
           generally, when I talk about inviting people to our farms,
14
15
           when it's land that Taylor owns, we always -- our policy is
16
           that people are welcome to visit the farms at their own
17
           risk, and we ask them not to disturb the equipment or
18
           harvest the shellfish. And, also, it's a right that may be
           revoked at any time. And that's how we deal with land that
19
20
           we own.
21
                On our properties that we lease, like is the situation
           there at Foss, I generally would not extend that
22
23
           invitation, because it's not mine to extend. We don't own
24
           that property, so -- however, I do also recall testifying
           there, to the Pierce County Council, and saying -- because
25
```

1	we've been accused of directing people to certain farm
2 .	sites and not showing all of our farms and you know, so
3	I made it clear that people were welcome to come on tours
4	and we'd be happy to arrange tours of any of our farms at
5	any time. But that was arranging tours; it was not just
6	come at you own at your own will.
7	MR. PLAUCHE: Thank you. That's all I have for
8	this witness.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any questions?
10	May this witness be excused?
11	MR. PLAUCHE: Yes.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, sir. Call your
13	next witness, please.
14	MR. PLAUCHE: I'd like to call Dr. Jeff Fisher back
15	to the stand, please.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You need to pick up the
17	microphone. You've been previously sworn in; is that
18	correct?
19	DR. FISHER: That's correct.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're still under oath,
21	then.
22	DR. FISHER: Yes.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You may proceed.
24	MR. FISHER: Can you hear me okay? Better?
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.

```
1
                           DR. JEFFREY FISHER, having been previously
           duly sworn upon oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as
           follows:
3
 5
                               DIRECT EXAMINATION
6
    BY MR. PLAUCHE:
7
           Okay, Dr. Fisher. You went over your qualifications in our
           case in chief, back on November 1st and 2nd, so I'm not
8
9
           going to go over that again. Were you in attendance both
10
           days of the hearing, on November 1st and 2nd?
          I was here.
11
    Α
12
    Q
          And you were here for the Interveners' witness testimony
13
           during those days?
          Yes, I was.
14
    Α
15
    Q
          And have you also been in the hearing since it reconvened
16
           this morning?
17
           Yes, I have.
18
                     THE CLERK: You might need to hold it up, because
19
           I'm not picking up very well.
20
                     THE WITNESS: Okay.
21
    Q
          There's a couple of issues that I'd like to go over with
           you, just in response to some of the testimony that we
22
23
           heard from the Intervener, particularly Mr. Daley, their
24
           expert on fisheries. I'd like to first just focus a little
           bit on the effect of cultivation gear, shellfish
25
```

```
1
           cultivation gear, on fish habitat and fish presence.
                You testified to this in our case in chief, and can
 2 .
3
           you just briefly summarize what your testimony was in that?
4
           Yeah. I brought forward half a dozen different papers that
 5
           reflected the role of aquaculture gear in providing
 6
           structured habitat that essentially served to attract or
7
           increase the biodiversity around the gear. The papers that
8
           I cited were primarily focused on oyster-culture studies.
9
           But they were done in sand-flat-type habitats, where the
10
           same type of controlling factors as is seen on the Foss
           site would be exhibited.
11
12
    0
          And you heard Mr. Daley's testimony to the effect that
13
           those studies that you're referring to -- that you somehow
           inappropriately relied on those, or it was inappropriate to
14
15
           rely on the conclusions of those studies. Can you address
16
           that?
17
           Yeah. First, I would disagree. Essentially, as I just
18
           alluded to, these studies were all conducted in sand-flat-
           type environments. While the geography may have been
19
20
           different, the types of physical-habitat elements were very
21
           similar. And so the controlling factors that lead to the
           creation of a sand-flat type of environment, be they at
22
23
           Foss or in Rhode Island, are -- can be considered to be
24
           fairly similar.
25
                The species may change, but the type of thing that
```

```
1
           you're going to -- the type of response that you would see
           in response to the installation of aquaculture gear in this
3
           case, I think, would be fairly analogous.
4
           Okay. And then you referenced those were different
 5
           species, and that does not change the applicability of
           these studies?
6
7
           Not in my opinion, no.
           Okay. And can you describe, briefly, for the Examiner,
8
     Q
9
           what a mesocosm study is?
10
           Sure. Mesocosm is essentially a type of some
11
           semi-controlled study by which you're able to control some
12
           of the environmental variables that you might not otherwise
13
           be able to control in a broad -- in a study that's
           conducted just in situ, or out in the environment, like on
14
15
           the Foss site per se.
16
                The one mesocosm study I did cite was conducted in a
17
           zone where they had some hydraulic control into the lagoon
18
           environment.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead.
19
20
                     THE WITNESS: Sorry. Okay.
21
     Q
           And you heard Mr. Daley's testimony, that the aquaculture
           gear at the Foss site was -- I think he said "totally
22
23
           unnatural and something salmon would avoid." Do you
24
           disagree with that conclusion?
           Yeah. I disagree with the conclusion. I think, as has
25
```

1

2 .

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

been demonstrated in some of the exhibits, showing the algae over the nets, when -- at high tide, that algae raises up in the water column and simulates an eelgrass bed.

We have very strong evidence of the use of eelgrass beds by juvenile salmonids. It's not the type of structure that is a vertical bulkhead or a wall or an overhanging -- or an overwater structure that creates shade. These are the kinds of environmental factors that had been documented to influence juvenile salmonid behavior.

This is the type of situation where, essentially, you are slightly raising above the bed, with the netting over the tubes, and creating a structure upon which there's extensive settling of other marine organisms that wouldn't be able to persist in a sand-flat environment that rapidly turns over.

So essentially, you have a bit of a smorgasbord that serves more as an attraction device, a fish attraction -- fish aggregating device. And that's the theory behind the creation of artificial reefs, and those are well-documented in providing that function in Puget Sound.

- 22 Q Now, are you a fisherman?
- 23 A I am.
- 24 Q And do you fish in Puget Sound?
- 25 A I do. Not that effectively, but I do.

```
1
                           (Laughter.)
2
           And do you recall Mr. Daley's testimony that he would not
     0
3
           fish on the Foss site because of the geoduck farm?
4
     Α
           I do.
           And would you reach the same conclusion?
 5
     Q
           He's probably a less-effective fisherman than I am.
6
7
                           (Laughter.)
8
                     THE WITNESS: I -- no. Again, this type of
9
           structure would serve as an aggregating device for fish, I
10
           think, as I, in my reconnaissance survey or in a kayak,
           paddling over the beds, visually observed that. I can't
11
12
           give you any numbers there. We haven't had a chance to do
13
           those studies yet. But, yeah, I would generally disagree
           with that.
14
                In an otherwise relatively featureless sand flat, as
15
16
           Mr. Paradise had indicated, off of Joemma Park, for example,
17
           where you have structure, you will tend to get a
           congregation of fish, and that's generally where most
18
19
           fishermen would try to concentrate their efforts.
20
           And do you recall Mr. Daley's testimony regarding
     Q
21
           Ms. Bindal-Young's work on environmental effects of
           shellfish culture?
22
23
           I do.
     Α
24
           And do you agree with Mr. Daley's interpretation of that
           work, to the effect that shellfish farms create a, quote,
25
```

```
1
           unquote, "monoculture"?
 2
           well, a couple of comments to that effect. I mean, it is
3
           farming. They are planting a particular species. And I
4
           think it's certainly predictable that, in a farmed
 5
           environment, the species that you're planting will be more
6
           abundant. But there's a big difference between abundance
7
           and diversity.
8
                And I think, even in Ms. Bindal-Young's paper, her
9
           subsequent paper that she published this year, in fact, she
10
           found no significant difference in the biodiversity of
           bivalves and other infauna in clam beds, up in British
11
12
           Columbia, that were netted.
13
                So in her earlier study, she did find some difference
           in diversity relative to the reference site. In the most
14
15
           recent one, she found no difference.
16
                And again, that's a different kind of physical habitat
17
           than what we're looking at here. Those are gravel beds as
18
           opposed to sand flats.
          And I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit
19
    Q
20
           142. And is that the paper from Ms. Bindal-Young that you
21
           just referenced in your testimony, the subsequent paper
           that came out this year?
22
23
           Yeah. This is the more-recent one, with coauthor Jonathan
24
           whiteley. It's entitled "Ecological Implications of
           Intertidal Mariculture, Observed Differences In Bivalve
25
```

```
1
           Community Structure Between Farm and Reference Sites."
                     MR. PLAUCHE: I'd move to admit Exhibit 142.
3
                     MR. BRICKLIN: No objection.
4
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibit 142 will be admitted
           into evidence.
 5
6
    Q
           Now, do you recall Mr. Daley's testimony relating to
7
           shellfish farming or geoduck farming as a stressor to
           salmon?
8
9
           I do.
10
    Q
           And have you reviewed the literature on stressors to salmon
           populations in Puget Sound?
11
12
           I have. I've reviewed many. I can't say I've reviewed
13
           every single document, but many of those which have been
           produced by the Puget Sound Partnership.
14
15
    Q
           And do any of those documents, to your knowledge, identify
           geoduck culture as an identified stressor to salmon?
16
17
           They do not.
    Α
18
    0
           Okay. Now, I want to move now to some of Mr. Daley's
19
           testimony regarding the potential impacts of the geoduck
20
           farm at the Foss site on sand lance. In your opinion, does
21
           the Foss farming operation affect sand lance spawning
           habitat?
22
23
    Α
           No.
24
    Q
          And could you explain why? Why not?
           I may have referenced it before, but sand lance and surf
25
```

```
1
           smelt are spawning from a tidal elevation of about plus 5
 2 .
           up to, you know, plus 10, or the upper level of the high
3
           tides. As had been testified by Mr. Phipps, the culture
4
           operations are between about minus 2 and plus 2, and the
 5
           lateral distance between those elevations is quite
           substantial on the beach. It's a pretty flat beach.
6
7
                So there's simply no spacial overlap to the
8
           operations. And even at the time at which they're
9
           harvesting, the sand which is disturbed essentially is
10
           moving down current and falls out in a very short order.
           And Mr. Daley also testified to potential effects on sand
11
     Q
12
           lance rearing habitat. Do you recall that?
13
           I do.
     Α
           And how would you go about analyzing the effects of the
14
     Q
15
           Foss farm on the sand lance rearing habitat?
16
           Well, that -- that's more challenging. To my knowledge,
17
           while the -- while the intertidal habitat is recognized --
18
           we operate on tidal habitats recognized as spawning
           habitat, and that is a limiting factor, per se, in Puget
19
20
           Sound.
21
                Rearing habitat has not been documented, to the best
           of my knowledge, as a limiting factor to the viability of
22
23
           those species. So, you know, in looking at salmonid
24
           biology, we could do these things called limiting factors
25
           analyses. That's prescribed under House Bill 2514, and on
```

1 a watershed basis. 2 So in that case, you're looking at what are the 3 habitat factors that are limiting to the viability of the 4 population. You could take that same kind of an analysis 5 and apply that to sand lance and/or surf smelt, and, to the 6 very best of my knowledge, that has not yet been done in 7 Puget Sound. But clearly the type of habitat where the 8 farm is located is certainly not limited within the range of -- including Case Inlet. 9 10 Q Okay. So that means, even if there was some effect on sand lance rearing habitat, from the geoduck farm, that may or 11 12 may not be a significant effect in light of the fact that 13 there's a lot of that habitat? That's correct. And further, I don't necessarily ascribe 14 Α 15 to Mr. Daley's position that the netting precludes the use 16 of the habitat. Sand lance are long, skinny fish. The 17 mesh size, diameter, is large enough for the fish to go in 18 and out. I've developed subtidal eelgrass transplant beds where 19 20 they're rapidly colonized by sand lance, and I've seen them 21 burrow into the sand, pop back when you're doing dive surveys and that kind of thing. So we haven't yet 22 23 confirmed that, one way or another, but if you look at the 24 diameter of the netting that Taylor has used over those beds and the -- the girth, if you will, of the sand lance, 25

1 there would be no physical -- necessarily, physical obstruction to them going through the netting, provided 3 that, of course, the net wasn't so encrusted with algae 4 that it was simply occluded. 5 Okay. Now, moving off the sand lance now, I want to talk a Q little bit about the environmental effects of the 6 7 harvesting at the Foss site. And you heard Dr. Parsons' 8 testimony related to -- at least what he testified was a 9 harvest area at the Foss site and the condition of that 10 area. Were you here for that testimony? I was. 11 Α 12 Q Okay. And do you recall how he characterized that area? Roughly, "bombed out," or something. I forget the specific 13 language, but I think that's what he described it as. 14 15 Q And are you familiar with any studies looking at the effects of the geoduck harvest environmentally? 16 Yes. I'm familiar with several. 17 18 Q Okay. Can you describe that briefly, please? I think, as I related, some of the studies in my last 19 20 testimony -- Chris Pierce, with the Department of Fisheries 21 and Ocean, from Canada, has ongoing studies that they began last year. They collected a fair bit of data looking at 22 23 benthic infauna diversity, before seeding, after seeding, 24 after harvest. They looked at a variety of sediment chemistry elements; you know, grain size, redox potential, 25

```
1
           stuff like that.
                we -- my team has looked -- my former associates, with
3
           my previous firm, have looked at -- looked at some of those
4
           same issues. We looked at epifauna--that's the critters
 5
           that you can see on top of the sand, not the stuff
 6
           inside--at three different farm sites. And, of course, I
7
           have looked at some of these same kinds of metrics as well
8
           at the Foss site.
9
           So just focusing for a moment, briefly, on Dr. Pierce's
10
           work -- have you spoken to Dr. Pierce? Do you know where
           he is in that work and whether there's any data available?
11
12
           Yeah. I've spoken to him several times. I think I
13
           related, the last testimony, that he had recently given a
           paper at a conference in British Columbia, and they had set
14
15
           up, essentially, a test plot, to look at and compare
16
           biodiversity in the test plot. And, Mr. Examiner, tell me
17
           if I get into too many details. I don't want to get into
18
           too many details, okay?
19
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
20
                     THE WITNESS: They set up the test plot, 20 meters
21
           by three meters, and three reference plots that were all
           sort of adjacent to that test plot, to look at these
22
23
           sediment characteristics and the biota characteristics.
24
                At this point, they've got quite a bit of the sediment
           data characterized. They've simulated a harvest with the
25
```

1 same stinger apparatus that's used down here. And the benthic infauna data are in on diversity, and 3 they found no significant difference in the infaunal 4 diversity preceding -- before they put any seeds and tubes 5 in versus post -- immediately post harvest. 6 The rest of the data are still coming in. The sediment 7 grain size, I think, I've alluded to before, too. They 8 found a slight increase in the sediment grain size, 9 actually, in the harvested zone relative to reference zones 10 after harvest. But otherwise, they found, primarily, nothing significant between the seeded and unseeded zones. 11 12 0 Okay. And you mentioned that you had done some similar 13 work out on the Foss site. Did you have similar conclusions, or reach similar conclusions? 14 15 To some degree. I think we've done some things that --16 should I show this figure? 17 Sure. I think it's the last, that shows -- this is a 18 previously admitted exhibit, and Mr. Phipps testified that they located, with a GPS, the core samples that Dr. Fisher 19 20 took. 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: The record should reflect you're looking at Exhibit 154. 22 23 MR. PLAUCHE: Thank you. 24 THE WITNESS: So we set up -- wherever you see dots, we took core samples. 25

1		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
2 -		THE WITNESS: There's also two lines here that are
3		visible: here and here (indicating). This is this line
4		reflects a zone that had previously been harvested I
5		forget which is which. One of these is a harvest zone; one
6		is a nonharvest zone. Is it yellow?
7	Q	Yeah, that's the harvest zone.
8	Α	That's the harvest zone. So this transect passed through
9		the harvested zone. This transect, 50-meter transectand
10		we selected pinpoints on this transact in a stratified,
11		random regimeis in the previously harvested zone at the
12		end of August, I believe.
13		We also had a reference plot that doesn't show here,
14		which is north, just off of the property, doing the same
15		applying the same technique. And what we found was: In
16		the zone that had yet to be harvested, that we found the
17		highest diversity.
18		We're still analyzing down to the lowest axis, so
19		these are preliminary. We found the highest diversity of
20		animals the highest concentration of flatworms. In the
21		harvested zone, we found the highest concentration of
22		juvenile sand dollars that were recruiting to the zone;
23		significantly higher.
24		The control zone, down below here, was somewhat
25		intermediate in diversity, but not significantly different

```
1
           from the -- the harvested zone.
                we didn't see any evidence, thus far, of a complete
3
           absence of species in either the harvest, control, or
4
           previously harvested -- or nonharvested zone yet that would
 5
           suggest there was a substantial or complete depopulation.
 6
           And this was taking 10 cores along -- along -- at each
7
           zone.
8
                we also looked at the ghost shrimp bed, and we found
9
           no ghost shrimp in any of the cores in either the harvest,
10
           the control, or the nonharvested zone, and an average of, I
           think, 2.3 ghost shrimp per core in the ghost shrimp bed.
11
12
    Q
           Okay. Thank you. And I'm going to hand you what's been
13
           marked Exhibit 91. Now, you referenced some previous work
           you had done with your colleagues on three sites,
14
15
           evaluating some of these -- I think you said the epifauna.
16
           Right.
    Α
           Is that study, Exhibit 91 -- does that recount the results
17
     Q
18
           of that investigation?
           It does. And I want to clarify that this was -- the actual
19
20
           work here was done by associates. I was on sabbatical at
21
           the time, and I designed the study and then said, "See you
           later, guys. Good luck."
22
23
                So, essentially, what -- this was three different
24
           sites that were examined: Hunter Point, North Bay, and
           Stretch Island, all established geoduck farms. And we --
25
```

```
1
           these were dive surveys over tube fields at high tide. And
           essentially, in all three locations, they had the highest
           abundance in the tube fields relative to the control; and,
3
4
           in two of the three, they had higher species diversities
 5
           than in the control that was adjacent. And then, in the
6
           third, there was no difference in diversity.
7
    Q
           Thank you.
                     MR. PLAUCHE: And I would move to admit Exhibit 91.
8
9
    Q
           Can you read the title of that for the reporter, so that
10
           she's got it?
           Yeah. This is a "Draft Programmatic Biological Evaluation
11
           of Potential Impacts of Intertidal Geoduck Culture
12
           Facilities on Endangered Species and Essential Fish
13
           Habitat." Good thing it's a draft, because there's a typo
14
15
           on the title page.
16
                      (Laughter.)
17
                     THE WITNESS: So, to clarify, I think this was done
18
           in anticipation of unknown federal regulatory components to
           this. So that's -- you know, it still remains as a draft.
19
20
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Again, I move to admit Exhibit 91.
21
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's admitted.
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Thank you.
22
23
           Okay. I want to now move to some of Dr. Parsons' testimony
24
           regarding this unconsolidated state of the area that he
           testified was a harvest area. Have you done any compaction
25
```

```
1
           analyses of the sediment at the Foss site?
           we have.
    Α
 3
    Q
           Okay. And can you describe for the Examiner what that
4
           analyzed and what the results were?
 5
           Yeah. We use an apparatus called a penetrometer
 6
           (laughing). And, essentially, this is a methodology that
7
           was published by Gingrich and Pemberton, to look at
8
           sediment compaction. And, essentially, you're dropping a
9
           lead ball down onto the sediment, and then you're measuring
10
           the radius and then calculating out the sediment firmness.
                we did those same measurements along these transects,
11
12
           but, while the figure only represents where we took cores,
13
           we took, actually, 25 measurements along each transect, for
           sediment compaction. We found no difference in sediment
14
15
           compaction between the harvested and unharvested zone, and
16
           a significant difference between the control zone and the
17
           harvested and unharvested zone, where, in fact, the control
18
           zone was significantly less firm that the other zones. And
           I'm not exactly sure why that is yet, but that's what we
19
20
           found.
21
     Q
           Okay. And did you do any compaction analysis of the ghost
           shrimp bed?
22
23
           We attempted to do some in the ghost shrimp bed, but it was
24
           just simply too fluidized; and when you drop the measure in
           there, you need an imprint such that you can measure the
25
```

```
1
           diameter. You're measuring this with a micrometer, and it
           just filled up and it was not a suitable technique in that
3
           zone.
4
    Q
          And the second system, with compaction analysis, you've
 5
           done at other geoduck harvest sites?
 6
    Α
           It is. We're -- you know, we're building our database
7
           there. We applied this technique at another site on
           another island.
8
9
           Okay. Now, on that ghost shrimp bed, you testified that
10
           you did some core analyses in there and found ghost shrimp
           in that area. Can you testify as to what the effect of
11
12
           ghost shrimp are on sediment cohesion?
13
           Sure. I mean, ghost shrimp can be considered as the
           ecosystem engineers in their own locale where you'll find
14
15
           them. They tunnel. They're like -- you know, they're like
16
           a beach mole, and they make, what I've read sort of
17
           described as, a swiss cheese of the sediment.
18
                They're detritivores, so they're eating bacteria that
           they graze through their burrowing habits. And so, as a
19
20
           consequence of all those tunnels, you have all this
21
           increase in interstitial space that fills with water. As a
           consequence, it's very fluid, and you step in there, and
22
23
          you're up to your shins.
24
           Is that what you experienced at the ghost shrimp bed on the
           Foss site?
25
```

1 It is. Α Okay. Could that fluidity, or that lack of cohesion within Q the sediments there in that area, have been caused by the 3 water jets that are used to harvest the area, kind of down 4 tidal elevation from there? 5 6 Α In my opinion, absolutely not. I mean, I'm not a 7 physicist, but unless water is in a siphon, I don't think 8 it travels uphill, so... 9 Now, I've got just a couple of miscellaneous things I want 10 to go over with you. Can you estimate how many hours, roughly, you spent studying environmental effects of 11 12 geoduck farming? 13 Several hundred and counting. (Laughter.) 14 15 Q How many different geoduck farms have you visited during that time? 16 Probably -- you know, depending on if you're talking just a 17 18 simple reconnaissance or actual data collection. At a minimum, half a dozen, and probably more in the range of 10 19 20 or 12. 21 Q And how many times have you been out on the Foss farm? Three times. 22 Α 23 Okay. So kind of on an overall basis, based on your Q 24 investigations and the information you've reviewed in the course of your studying these issues, do you see 25

```
1
           significant adverse environmental effects from geoduck
 2 .
           cultivating and harvesting?
3
           Not at the scale it's being practiced right now.
4
           Essentially, what they do, as Mr. Phipps testified, is they
 5
           can only do a certain portion at a time. It creates a bit
6
           of a mosaic, if you will. And the intertidal habitat is
7
           really no different than a terrestrial environment in terms
           of what we would call "the edge effect." And so I think
8
9
           that's why you're seeing some of these increases in
10
           diversity.
                And so I've not seen anything, either at this farm or
11
12
           the other farm, that looked -- and for that matter, that
13
           have raised -- risen to the level of environmental
           significance that -- that certainly would have any effect
14
15
           -- any negative effect on the vitality of Puget Sound.
16
           Okay. Now, what is your master's degree in, Dr. Fisher?
    Q
           Fish and shellfish pathology.
17
18
    Q
           And can you describe what that is: Fish and shellfish --
           It's the diagnosis and treatment of fish and shellfish
19
    Α
20
           disease.
21
           I want to just show you, briefly, a couple of pictures from
           Ms. Luedtke's testimony, and the first is Exhibit 152.
22
23
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: 52?
24
                     MR. PLAUCHE: 152. It's this (indicating).
25
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
```

```
1
           Now, Ms. Luedtke identified that as a dead moon snail.
     O
 2 .
           you determine if that moon snail is dead or alive?
3
           In my opinion, the moon snail is a very much alive. The
4
           muscle -- the muscular foot is turgid. And when they are
 5
           inverted, they -- this is a behavior that you will see as
6
           they try to reestablish vertical orientation, where the
7
           shell is up.
                So I think further evidence that it's alive is
8
9
           indicated by the pigmentation that is collected in certain
10
           pockets. Hematavores (as pronounced) are concentrated. If
           it was dead, I think what you would see is a very flaccid
11
12
           muscular foot on the snail.
13
           Now I'm going to hand you Exhibit 150. Actually, I'll give
     Q
           you all three of these 150 numbers. It's Number 37, Number
14
15
           8, and Number 34.
16
                Now, on Exhibit 150, Number 37, that was identified,
17
           by Ms. Luedtke, as a dead crab under a net. Can you
           determine whether or not that crab is dead or alive?
18
           At this oblique angle of the photograph, I would be really
19
20
           reluctant to say one way or another.
21
    Q
           And on 150, Number 8, again, that's a crab under a net at
           the Foss farm that Ms. Luedtke testified was a dead crab.
22
23
           Can you determine whether that crab is dead?
24
           It would appear to be alive, based on coloration, but crabs
25
           -- if you walk the beach and find them peeking under a net,
```

```
1
           or not under a net -- at low tide, oftentimes, you need to
 2 .
           pick the animal up and see: Is there gill movement? Is it
 3
           exhibiting signs of life?
 4
                Lots of times, if they -- they hunker down in the
 5
           intertidal zone, they're going through a process called
 6
           ecdysis, which is when they molt, and they lose their
 7
           shell, and they aren't very motile at that time period.
 8
                So you see that both under nets and outside the nets.
 9
           And so I'm -- I'd be reluctant to say alive or dead unless
10
           I was right on top of the --
           Fair enough. Let's go to 150, Number 34, which I think is
11
     Q
12
           a pretty dead fish.
13
           I would agree.
                           (Laughter.)
14
15
     Q
           Okay. And can you ascertain whether that fish's death was
16
           brought on by the predator net on which it lies?
17
           well, it's lying on top of the predator net, so I would
18
           have to say that it's pretty unlikely that the predator net
           had anything to do with the viability of this particular
19
20
           fish.
21
                Further, if you look closely at this fish, it appears
           to be a bait fish of some kind. It's out of focus, so I
22
23
           can't really get a -- it's either a surf perch or maybe a
24
           herring. I can't get a really good identification on it,
           but the belly appears to be slit, and the lower jaw, and
25
```

1 the animal is eviscerated. So to me, it looks like it was a bait fish that may have washed up on top of the beach and ended up on the net. Really hard to say. 3 4 Q Okay. 5 But it's clearly a dead fish. 6 (Laughter.) 7 Q Now I'm going to go to Exhibit Number 150, Photo Number 49. It's the brown scum. Now, do you recall the testimony 8 9 regarding that photograph? 10 Α I do. Okay. Can you tell what is depicted on that photograph? 11 Q 12 what I see on the photograph is a placid condition of the water. I see bands of drift that have settled on the 13 beach. I don't know what they are. 14 What are some of the possibilities? 15 Q Could be detritus. There could have been a storm the last 16 17 couple of days and now it's settled out, so you get the fine drift cells and this stuff deposits. I would have had 18 to have been there at the time that the photograph was 19 20 taken to give a more informed opinion. 21 Q Okay. Staying with this settlement issue for a moment, you heard Mr. Paradise's testimony this morning, did you not? 22 23 ∪h-huh. Α 24 Q And do you recall his testimony related to the -- I believe he said the water was less clear in the area that he dove 25

```
1
           north of the Foss farm than it was in the area over by
 2
           Joemma State Park.
 3
     Α
           Uh-huh.
 4
     Q
           Based on your experience on geoduck farms and the analyses
 5
           you've conducted, is that an effect that can be caused by
 6
           geoduck harvest or geoduck farming?
 7
           I -- well, first of all, let's recognize that this is a
 8
           shallow-sloping beach and, if he's diving this beach, he's
 9
           going to be significantly offshore. Where we've waded
10
           through here and taken measurements of turbidity, we have
           not been able to discern a significant difference between
11
12
           the turbidity between roughly just about 25 feet offshore
13
           down-gradient of a harvest site and that up-gradient of a
           harvest site.
14
15
                And even right adjacent to the shore, when you get
16
           about 100 feet down, we looked at it on a much windier day.
17
           Or maybe it wasn't that much windier, actually. But you're
18
           basically declining down to the background condition again.
           So it's not propagating substantially offshore, and,
19
20
           clearly, in the course of the harvest, which takes about
21
           three, four hours at a max, that turbidity doesn't persist
           but for, you know, a very short period of time.
22
23
           Did you hear Mr. Phipps' testimony, that the harvests at
24
           that site were two weeks before and two weeks after.
           roughly, the time that Mr. Paradise testified he'd gone?
25
```

```
1
           Yeah. And I don't know if this photo was taken -- it's
     Α
 2 .
           February 8th, and it appears to be at daylight, and it
           appears to be low tide, and low tides don't really happen
3
4
           in daylight in February, so -- curious.
 5
           I want to go now to Exhibit 26, that was admitted this
     Q
           morning. I'm sorry. Yeah, this is 26. Now, that's the
6
7
           exhibit that was admitted this morning, dealing with the
8
           amount of the dump-truck loads of sediment that come off
9
           the site. Have you reviewed those calculations?
10
     Α
           I have.
           Okay. And do you agree, or disagree, with those
11
     Q
12
           calculations there, in terms of total amount moved off of
13
           the site?
           Well, I disagree. I think they've taken the information
14
15
           that is in the Environmental Code of Practices very, very
           literally in the context where it's mentioned that there
16
17
           could be beach lowering. I think that's a mistake of ECOP,
18
           frankly, in describing that, because it's understandable
           that one would read that and -- not having firsthand
19
20
           observation of a harvest, and conclude that this is
21
           possible.
                But, in reality, as has been described by many people,
22
23
           you get small, little divots that rapidly fill in. And
24
           further, this doesn't, as I had reported before, reflect
           the actual volume of the biomass of the geoduck themselves
25
```

- 1 that's removed from the beach.
- 2 Q And would that biomass be significant, in your opinion?
- 3 A Yeah. I mean, dependent upon the survival that's -- that
- 4 an individual bed gets, it could be somewhere between 60
- and 80 cubic yards of biomass removed from an acre of --
- from an acre of beach.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A And harvest, obviously.
- 9 Q Okay. I'm going to now ask you to take a look at Exhibit
- 10 52-L, which I believe has already been admitted.
- 11 A (Witness complies.) Okay.
- 12 Q Do you recognize that photograph?
- 13 A I do.
- 14 Q Okay. Is that you in that kayak?
- 15 A That's me in that kayak.
- 16 Q Okay. So you've been in a kayak at the Foss site?
- 17 A I have.
- 18 Q And have you kayaked over the tube field?
- 19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. Exhibit 52?
- MR. PLAUCHE: 52-L.
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: L. That helps. Okay.
- Q Have you kayaked over the tube fields at the Foss property?
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 Q And has the aquaculture gear at the Foss property impaired,
- in any way, your ability to kayak at the site?

```
1
    Α
          No.
2 .
                    MR. PLAUCHE: I have nothing further for this
          witness.
3
4
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you kayak over the area
5
          where there were nets?
                    THE WITNESS: I did. And in this particular
6
7
          photograph, the tide is low. When the tide came back in, I
8
          kayaked over that area. That's where I saw, you know,
9
          visually, with polarized glasses, a pretty high abundance of
10
          tubes.
11
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: How did you paddle?
12
                    THE WITNESS: How did I paddle?
13
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh. How much water did
          you have?
14
15
                    THE WITNESS: I think, by the time I paddled over
16
          that, there was probably a couple feet; two, three feet of
17
          water over the tube field.
18
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: So there was enough depth
19
          there for you to paddle?
20
                    THE WITNESS: Sure. I mean, the kayaks don't have
21
          deep drafts.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: I know they don't, but the
22
23
          paddle goes down a little further. Okay. Thank you. I
24
          didn't mean to interrupt. Go ahead.
25
                    MR. PLAUCHE: That's all I have.
```

```
1
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anybody else?
 2
                     MR. BRICKLIN: (Raises hand.)
3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
 5
                                CROSS-EXAMINATION
6
    BY MR. BRICKLIN:
7
    Q
           Mr. Fisher, you started out by talking about --
8
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Dr. Fisher?
9
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Excuse me. Dr. Fisher.
10
    Q
           Doctor?
          Uh-huh.
11
     Α
12
    Q
          You started out by discussing studies that had looked at
13
           oyster aquaculture structures and the impacts they had on
           the environment and the reasonableness of analogizing from
14
15
           those to the structures that are employed with regard to
16
           geoducks; is that right?
17
          That's correct. Right.
           And, you know, I want to have you tell me a little about --
18
    0
           describe the types of structures that are used in the
19
20
           oyster aquaculture and then compare those to the structures
21
           that are used in the geoduck setting.
22
           Okay. Well, there are stake structures. There are bag
    Α
23
           units used in oyster culture. There's netting used, as
24
           well, in oyster culture. I think one of the studies I
           cited actually had bat-ray netting, to prevent bat rays
25
```

```
1
           from getting into an oyster reef zone that they had
           planted. So there's a variety of different kinds of
 3
           structures that are used in an oyster-culture operation.
 4
                The oysters themselves, as well, in creating a
 5
           vertical-reef type of structure, are structuring it on
 6
           themselves. That is one of the differences, obviously,
 7
           between an oyster and a geoduck, which is an infauna. And
 8
           so essentially, without the structure, a geoduck, as you
 9
           may have seen walking the beach, where the tubes and the
10
           nets are removed -- there's not a whole lot of structure
           there. It's just simply a flat, sandy beach where the
11
12
           geoduck shells are visible.
13
           Before the netting and the tubes are removed, what is the
     Q
           structure that exists on a geoduck beach? Is it the tubes
14
15
           and netting themselves?
16
           It's the tubes and the netting themselves.
     Α
           That is the structure?
17
     Q
18
     Α
           That is the structure that I'm referencing.
19
     Q
           Okay.
20
           And it's a surface area, essentially, for colonization.
21
           And I think that's the analogy, is that it's a surface area
           that is slightly three-dimensional, because it rises up
22
23
           above the sediment surface; in this case, a couple of --
24
           you know, two to four inches or what have you.
           How far above this sediment surface do the oyster
25
```

- 1 structures rise up?
- 2 A That's highly variable on location. You know, it can be
- 3 two to four inches. You know, it could be a couple of
- 4 feet, depending upon the reef structure and dynamics that
- 5 the farmer uses.
- 6 Q And do you know, in those studies that you're using, by
- 7 analogy, what the height of those structures were?
- 8 A Off the top -- I can't remember, off the top of my head.
- 9 I'd have to go review that again.
- 10 Q So, as you stand here today, you don't know whether they
- were an analogous height or not?
- 12 A If you're speaking to whether or not the difference
- between, say, a four-inch vertical relief versus a one-foot
- vertical relief could have a difference in the measurements
- 15 you take in an intertidal zone, perhaps.
- 16 Q And you said "a couple."
- 17 A It could be a couple of feet. I know, out in the
- 18 Chesapeake, historically, they are very large, high reefs.
- 19 Q Right.
- 20 A It would be, you know, likely, a matter of degree. But,
- 21 you know, it's -- I think you're still going to see the
- same type of relationship.
- 23 Q All right. You testified about a study that was, what,
- 24 Exhibit 142, authored, in part, by Bindal-Young.
- 25 **A Uh-huh.**

```
1
           And you referred to an earlier study by Bindal-Young. Let
    Q
           me hand you a copy of Exhibit 9, to see if that is the
3
           earlier study that you were referring to.
4
           I think it's the one that you asked me about before.
 5
          Uh-huh.
6
    Q
           All right. I just wanted to make sure we were talking
           about the same thing. And if that's not been introduced,
7
8
           Mr. Examiner, we'd like to have --
9
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is it? What number?
10
                     MR. BRICKLIN: It's Exhibit 9.
                                (Discussion off the record.)
11
12
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are you moving to admit it
13
           now?
14
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Yes.
15
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: There was an issue as to
           whether or not it should be admitted, so...
16
17
                     MR. PLAUCHE: I'm sorry. I believe we objected on
18
           relevance grounds, but I think we've gone into this now, at
19
           some length, in Mr. Bricklin's case, and now we're
20
           responding to that, so I would withdraw that relevance
21
          objection.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. It will be admitted
22
23
          into evidence.
24
                     MR. PLAUCHE: (Produces document to witness.)
          And in this Exhibit 9, in the summary there, on the first
25
```

```
1
           page, the author says, about halfway down through that
2 .
           summary, "The intertidal regions that have been used for
3
           farming for three and five years have lower species
4
           richness, different bivalve composition, abundance, and
 5
           distributions, and a foreshore community dominated by
6
           bivalves as compared to the intertidal region, where no
           active farms occurred." Do you see that?
7
8
          I do, indeed.
    Α
9
           And then it went on to say, "Beaches that were actively
10
           farmed also had greater accumulation of organic matter and
           silt." See that?
11
12
    Α
          Uh-huh. Yes.
13
          And then it goes on, "Simplification of the intertidal
     Q
           benthic community coupled with accumulations of organic
14
15
           matter and increased siltation may have altered the ecology
16
           of the foreshore region use for intense shellfish
           harvesting." Did I read that all correctly?
17
18
    Α
           Yes.
          Yes?
19
    Q
20
    Α
          Yes.
21
     Q
          And all that is consistent with Mr. Daley's testimony,
          correct?
22
23
           Well, I -- you'd have to be more specific on the points in
24
           Mr. Daley's testimony. And, also, there's a lot of
           speculation in that comment. And on the other paper, it
25
```

- reads, "Bivalves species composition was not significantly
- different between farm and reference sites."
- 3 Q Are you talking about Exhibit 9?
- 4 A No, I'm talking about --
- 5 Q My question is about -- we'll get to the other one in a
- 6 second. Don't worry. Exhibit 9: Those statements that we
- just read there, in the summary, are certainly consistent
- 8 with Mr. Daley's testimony, aren't they?
- 9 A Mr. Daley referenced this paper, I believe. And again, in
- 10 a gravel beach environment.
- 11 Q Okay. So let's turn to the exhibit that you were referring
- 12 to today. Is that 142?
- 13 A Uh-huh.
- 14 Q And in this study, there was an analysis of a variety of
- 15 farms sites and comparing those to unfarmed sites, right?
- 16 A Uh-huh.
- 17 Q None of the farmed sites were nearly as large as the
- 18 project site that's at issue here, were they?
- 19 A I'd have to refresh my memory on what the size of the farm
- 20 sites were.
- 21 Q Why don't you take a look on Page 497 of that article,
- 22 Table 1. You see the chart there of the sizes of the
- 23 farms?
- 24 A Uh-huh.
- 25 Q And they're in square meters. So to convert to square

- feet, you'd have to, what, multiply by nine; is that right,
- 2 sir?
- 3 **A Hmm?**
- 4 Q To convert square meters to square feet, you'd have to
- 5 multiply by nine?
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q All right. And so these sites that were reviewed -- 500
- square meters, that's like 4500, roughly, square feet,
- 9 right?
- 10 A Yeah. So roughly the size of roughly an acre, for example.
- 11 Q How many square feet in an acre?
- 12 A About 42,485 or something like that.
- 13 Q Right. So wouldn't this be a tenth of an acre?
- 14 A Well, actually, this is square meters. So multiply -- take
- 15 the first one, so --
- 16 **Q** 500.
- 17 A 500 times nine. So 4500.
- 18 **Q** Not 45,000.
- 19 A I understand, but --
- 20 Q One at a time here.
- 21 A Sure.
- 22 Q So that's a tenth of an acre, right?
- 23 A That one's roughly about a tenth of an acre.
- 24 Q Okay. Next one is 3600, right?
- 25 **A** Yep.

1 So that's still not an acre, right? Q 2 . (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Α 3 Q Is that right? 4 Α Yeah. 5 1400; not even an acre. 1100; not an acre. 7900; not an Q 6 acre, right? 7 Α Sure. 8 None of the farm sites were even an acre in size, were Q 9 they? 10 And I don't believe, as was demonstrated earlier, that 11 they're actively -- you know, have the entire site 12 dominated with active farming at any one time here either, 13 so --Is the author --14 Q They use the mosaic and triangulation, and that's a very 15 big difference between the type of farming that's being 16 done here. This is -- this is farming where you're using 17 Manila -- these are Manila clams. They're occupying the 18 very narrow sediment horizon, okay, in a gravel matrix. 19 20 That's not what we're talking about here. 21 Q Well, I'm sorry. I thought you were relying on Exhibit 142 to support your testimony. You're now telling me that this 22 23 is not a reliable study? 24 No. I think the only reason I brought this up is, essentially, that her most recent paper brings forward 25

```
1
           contradictory evidence, that was presented by counsel at
           the previous testimony, in terms of the species-composition
3
           element. I'm not trying to make the argument, frankly,
           that it's the same habitat.
4
 5
          You read this paper in its entirety?
    Q
6
    Α
          Yeah, at some point in time. Yes, I have.
7
    Q
           Do you recall the author's conclusions that -- that the
8
           conclusions that were reached were specific to farming
           parcels of this small size and they could not be
10
           extrapolated to farming larger sites? Do you recall that?
           Yeah. I do recall that.
11
    Α
12
    Q
          Right.
           So then, why would you bring it forward as evidence --
13
           Could you turn to Page 503, under the "Conclusions and
14
    Q
           Recommendations"?
15
16
           which one are we looking at? The first one, or the second
17
           one?
           No, the one you cited today, 142, Page 503, under the
18
           heading "Conclusions."
19
20
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: What page again, please?
21
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Exhibit 142, Page 503.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Page 5- -- okay.
22
23
                     MR. BRICKLIN: Very near the end.
24
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead.
           Under the heading "Conclusions and Recommendations," the
25
```

1 author says, "Seeding and netting appear to affect bivalve 2 . communities at a regionally spatial scale larger than any single site in this study." Do you see that? 3 I do. I don't believe she should be able to draw that 4 conclusion from the data that were represented in the 5 6 study. 7 Q And she goes on to say, "If clam farming is a homogenizing 8 force at large scales, then the greatest impact of clam 9 aquaculture may result from cumulative impacts of several 10 tenures within a given geographical area." Right? I can read, yes. 11 Α 12 0 And that would describe exactly what we're dealing with 13 here, where we have multiple planting and harvesting regimes in a contiguous 12-acre plot over a number of 14 15 years. And then, once you get done, you start again and do 16 it again, right? 17 That's essentially pretty accurate, but... Α 18 Q Thank you. It's accurate in terms of the operation, but I wouldn't --19 20 she used small-sized farms, as you pointed out. Therefore, 21 how could one draw that conclusion from the data she put forward in the paper? 22 23 You said that -- and I'm not sure, now, if it was this Q 24 study or one of the other ones -- that the study documented a slight increase in the grain size of the sediments on the 25

- site. Was it this study, or a different one?
- 2 A No. No. Chris Pierce's work, in that intermediate -- in
- an intermediate, at 63 microns, at 500-micron-size class.
- 4 Q And so doesn't that indicate that the smaller grain sizes,
- 5 when they're disturbed during the harvesting, are drifting
- away, and that's why you end up, after harvesting, with a
- 7 greater quantity of the larger grain sizes?
- 8 A They did not find a difference in the smaller grain sizes.
- 9 They did not find a significant difference in the smaller
- grain sizes. They just found a significant increase in the
- larger grain sizes, so I can't really speak to that yet.
- 12 Q Okay. You referred to Exhibit 91, which is this industry
- document, the "Programmatic Biological Evaluation,"
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 16 Q And this was prepared for Taylor and a couple of other
- 17 geoduck companies?
- 18 A Correct. Correct.
- 19 Q And one of the authors is Mr. -- I'm not sure how you
- pronounce the name. Greg Roibe (phonetic), is that?
- 21 A Roibe, Greg Roibe.
- 22 Q And he actually is a geoduck farmer himself, correct?
- 23 A Mr. Roibe has a small farm that he started in 2005. That
- is after -- I think, well over a year after this document
- was produced.

```
1
           And that's not a peer-reviewed document, is it?
     0
 2 .
    Α
           No. Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, this is
3
           the kind of documentation that's required for the
4
           production for submittal to the federal services. So it's
 5
           -- no BA is intended to be considered a peer-reviewed
6
           document. The basis of -- in fact, the basis of the
7
           information presented is to be based on best available
           science.
8
9
           You talked briefly about the calculation of the amount of
10
           material removed from these beaches and made reference to
11
           the number of -- you know, the equivalence to the number of
12
           dump trucks. And part of your testimony there was
13
           regarding, I guess, the volume of the biomass removed
           during harvest. Is that correct?
14
15
           I think that's one component that may not have been
           considered in the Interveners' calculations.
16
17
           I see. Okay. You weren't denying that there's a loss of
18
           material as a result of the sediment drift during these
19
           operations?
20
           I think my testimony spoke to the fact that there was a
21
           very literal interpretation of the one to two inches of
           sediment that is lost. And I have also testified, earlier,
22
23
           that, during the course of the culture practice, there is a
24
           very measurable accretion as a result of the tube field.
25
                So I don't know if they balance out in the course of a
```

```
1
           culture operation or not. But -- and further, when we
           speak to loss, that would assume that there's a complete
3
           loss from the whole geographic area. I've not seen that.
4
           Not based upon what we measured in suspended sediment
 5
           concentrations and the distance that they travel during a
           harvest. I've not seen that. I don't see how it could be
 6
7
           that we're yielding that -- whatever -- the 13 dump trucks
8
           or what have you.
9
           Well, you saw the photograph last time, with the harvesters
10
           in the water and the sediment plume visible right in the
           water, right?
11
12
           I've measured it at three or four different sites.
13
           Right. And you heard, today, Ms. Pinneo's testimony about
     Q
           her beach accreting sand in the last few years, since these
14
15
           operations have come into effect?
16
           I've heard her testimony, and --
    Α
           To the extent of burying the sand-dollar beds on her beach,
17
     Q
18
           right?
           I can't refute what she's saying in terms of her testimony.
19
20
           That's what she testified to. At the same time, there's a
21
           feeder bluff that's adjacent to her property. And I don't
           know if you've been here over the past several winters.
22
23
           There's also been some extreme storm events. These recruit
24
           sand. That's why it's a good geoduck beach. That's why
           it's a good sand-dollar beach. So I don't think you can
25
```

```
1
           draw those types of conclusions, personally.
           All right. The pictures of those sediment plumes, Ms.
    Q
3
           Pinneo's testimony -- that's all consistent with the
4
           calculations that were done to quantify the volume of
           sediment being removed from this beach, right?
 5
6
    Α
           I'm not sure I understand the question.
          Isn't Ms. Pinneo's testimony and the photographic evidence
7
    Q
           of those sediment plumes consistent with the calculations
8
9
           that were done to demonstrate the quantity of material
10
           removed?
           I would disagree. I think, essentially, there is sediment
11
           that's disturbed--nobody is denying that--during the
12
           harvest process. If you're saying "consistent" means this
13
           supports the 13 dump trucks, I'd have to disagree.
14
15
                Again, as I've said, we've measured the settling-out
16
           distance. We've measured the turbidity. We've looked at
           it in -- the duration that that persists, and I -- you
17
18
           know, I can't agree with that statement.
                     MR. BRICKLIN: All right. That's all I have for
19
20
          this witness.
21
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anybody else?
                     MR. PLAUCHE: I just have a couple of questions on
22
23
           redirect.
24
      ///
       ///
25
```

1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 .	BY MR	. PLAUCHE:
3	Q	Mr. Bricklin asked you a couple of questions there about
4		the structure and the geoduck structure, and I just want
5		to be clear, because structure obviously is important, and
6		the testimony here is it's an important word under the
7		Shoreline Management Act here.
8		When you used the term "structure," does that have
9		is that in a regulatory sense, or in a biological sense?
10		Can you describe that a little bit?
11	A	No. It's in a biological sense. I've used it if I've
12		used it in the context, I'm using it as a structured
13		habitat, in the same manner that it's been reported in many
14		of the papers that I referenced last time and is in the
15		same manner that eelgrass beds and macroalgae beds are
16		considered structured habitat.
17	Q	Thank you. And just on the Bindal-Young studies, the two
18		studies there that you and Mr. Bricklin spent some time
19		talking about, you didn't base your opinion on those
20		studies, did you?
21	A	No, not at all.
22	Q	Okay. And why not?
23	A	Again, because they were conducted in a different kind of
24		physical habitat. And, you know, further, she has
25		contradictory evidence between the two papers.

```
1
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Okay. I have nothing further.
 2
3
                              VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
4
    BY THE HEARING EXAMINER:
 5
           when you're talking about oysters and raising oysters, the
           net that they use for oysters isn't similar to the net
6
7
           they're using at all in the geoducks; is that correct?
8
           That is -- you know, that's correct. We use bags, yeah,
9
           and use smaller mesh.
10
    Q
          Very small mesh, and it's more metal, more structure, than
           the net that they're using on geoducks?
11
12
          That's a fair interpretation. I can't say I know every
13
           kind of net that's being used in oyster culture but, from
          what I've seen.
14
15
    Q
          And it's just a small bag-like operation as opposed to a
16
           great big net that they're using here?
17
           This is true. However, there's many bags.
18
    Q
          True.
          In context.
19
    Α
20
          And that's about a quarter-of-an-inch opening?
    Q
21
          Usually.
22
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Thank you.
23
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Can I ask one follow-up question on
24
          that line of questioning?
25
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
```

1	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 -	BY MR. PLAUCHE:
3	Q There was a study by a Powers, I believe it was, that we
4	introduced last time. We talked about effects of netting.
5	Can you describe that netting and how it compares and
6	contrasts?
7	A I can't recall the mesh size, but they were using bags in
8	that context, and they were using there was also
9	predator-exclusion netting at one of the sites. So I think
10	they had two different kinds of netting, essentially. The
11	predator-exclusion netting was to keep the rays out. And,
12	in that context, I don't know if they had the bags in there
13	as well. I think sorry. I'd have to review the paper
14	again.
15	MR. PLAUCHE: Thank you. I have nothing further.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Doctor. I
17	appreciate your testimony. Next witness.
18	MR. PLAUCHE: Dr. Jonathan Davis.
19	
20	DR. JONATHAN P. DAVIS, having been first duly
21	sworn upon oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as
22	follows:
23	
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: State your name for the
25	record, please.

```
1
                     DR. DAVIS: Jonathan P. Davis.
2
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: D-a-v-i-s?
3
                     DR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. D-a-v-i-s.
4
                     MR. PLAUCHE: And I'd like to start Dr. Davis's
5
           testimony with introducing his curriculum vitae, which is
           Exhibit 145.
6
7
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: It will be admitted into
           evidence.
8
9
10
                               DIRECT EXAMINATION
11
     BY MR. PLAUCHE:
12
     Q
           Dr. Davis, can you discuss, briefly, your educational
13
           background?
           Yes. I have a BA and a master's in environmental studies,
14
15
           and a Ph.D. in fisheries science from the University of
16
           Washington.
          And do you have a current association with the University
17
18
           of Washington?
19
           I do. I'm currently an affiliate faculty member with the
20
           university, and, in that context, I manage graduate
21
           students. I'm on a committee -- I'm on a couple
           committees, and I have daily interactions, literally, with
22
23
           some researchers at the university, and faculty, and taught
24
           there.
25
                Not for the last few years, but several years ago, I
```

1 taught a course in sustainable aquaculture; and courses, 2 . before that, in molluskan biology. 3 Q And are you currently also employed by Taylor Shellfish? 4 I am. I've been employed by Taylor Shellfish for the last 5 eleven and a half years or so. And, in that employment, I lead their -- I'm a lead researcher in their research and 6 7 development program, mainly focused on hatchery development 8 of shellfish and -- as well as, more recently, getting 9 involved in the environmental issues associated with 10 shellfish culture. Do you also own your own company? 11 Q 12 I do. I have a shellfish farm on Hood Canal and, on that 13 farm, we raise Pacific oysters, Manila clams, and geoducks. And do you do consulting work as well? 14 Q I do. I do a variety of consulting work in the shellfish 15 16 industry. I also -- for example, I've got a couple 17 projects right now: One with the Hood Canal Salmon 18 Enhancement Group, where we're looking at geoduck filtration rates at Hood Canal; looking at the feeding 19 20 behavior of geoducks. And I'm also working with the Puget 21 Sound Restoration Fund on a variety of projects, mainly focused on restoration of native oysters. 22 23 And I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 24 114. Could you read the title of that, please? It's entitled "Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group 25

```
1
           Molluskan Study, Final Report, 10/30/2006."
2
           And does that represent some of the work that you've been
     0
3
           doing in reference --
           Yeah. We're working on a variety of different things. The
4
 5
           part that I'm most focused on is looking at in situ, or
6
           feeding behavior as you would see it in the field, for
7
           geoducks. They're interested in finding out relationships
8
           between geoduck's physiology and a low oxygen situation
9
           they have in Hood Canal, and they want to know how geoduck
10
           might interact with the environment at that level.
11
                My interest has been that and focused on looking at
12
           the physiology of geoducks, especially focused on their
13
           feeding behavior in areas that are intertidal and subtidal.
           And were you here in the hearing room on November 1st and
14
     Q
15
           2nd?
16
     Α
           I was.
           And were you here for Mr. Daley's testimony--
17
     Q
18
     Α
           I was.
           --specifically? Okay. Mr. Daley alluded to potential
19
     Q
20
           environmental effects of the biomass of geoduck being
21
           cultured at Foss geoduck farm. Do you recall that?
           I do.
22
     Α
           And as I recall, there were two different aspects of that
23
24
           that he alluded to. One was sort of overeating, I guess
           you'd call it--
25
```

1 Α Correct. 2 --where they were filtering all of the food out so that Q 3 other critters couldn't rely on it. And then the one was -- he described it as the waste volumes. 4 Correct. 5 Α 6 Q Let's start with, kind of, the eating end of things. 7 Α Uh-huh. You testified that you've done some work related to 8 Q filtration rates of geoduck. 9 10 Correct. And have you done any work that compares filtration of 11 Q 12 geoduck -- the filtration rates of geoduck that you would 13 see, for example, at Foss farm with filtration rates from other shellfish aquaculture? 14 15 I have. To the extent that you can extrapolate, which, of 16 course, is always -- can be dangerous. But what I have 17 done is, I have looked at filtration rates of oysters, filtration rates of geoducks under field conditions, 18 natural conditions. 19 20 So the first comparison is, in general, at harvest, 21 geoducks will filter about three times the volume of water that a harvestable oyster will filter. But, of course, 22 23 they're much bigger, so that makes sense. 24 What I did was, I established -- I went through the literature and went to my own data and looked at filtration 25

2 .

rates that you'd expect to see for both oysters and geoducks and then applied those rates to farms, on a peracre basis, that held harvestable numbers of oysters and geoducks.

And the results of that are interesting in that, if you look at a typical oyster farm culturing single oysters, you have approximately 240,000 individual oysters on an acre. They have a biomass of about 36,000 pounds. Their collective filtration over a day, on a per-acre basis, is somewhere in the vicinity of around 17 million liters per acre per day.

If you look at the more typical oyster-culture operations in southern Puget Sound that are based on clusters, essentially, the density of oysters is about five times that—and this has been a practice that, of course, has been going on for about 80, 90 years—you can extrapolate again; and, again, with all the warnings of extrapolation.

But, again, based on reasonable levels of filtration rate at around three liters per hour, you can see that about 86 million liters per acre per day can be extracted with an oyster farm.

Now, if you take the values that I have just concluded looking at with geoduck filtration, they filter around -- between four and 12 liters an hour, which is about -- as I

```
1
           said, it's about -- let's take -- they're about three times
 2 .
           the rate that oysters will.
3
                However, the numbers of geoduck on a typical farm--and
           I'll use the Foss numbers, for example--is about 70,000
4
 5
           individuals per acre on that farm, and their biomass is
6
           approximately 119,000 pounds at harvest.
7
                So, at harvest, you could expect these geoducks to
8
           filter, at nine liters an hour, somewhere around 13- to 14
9
           million liters per hour per -- I'm sorry. 13 million
10
           liters per day per acre.
                So they're equivalent to about the number of -- the
11
12
           equivalent to the filtration rate for an acre of single
13
           oysters, the less-intensive type of oyster culture we see.
           And how do they compare to a clustered oyster culture?
14
     Q
           About five times less.
15
     Α
16
           And now let's go to the other end of the animal.
     Q
           Sure.
17
     Α
18
           That's the --
     Q
           Bio deposits.
19
     Α
20
           You say "the bio deposits." Mr. Daley said "waste."
     Q
           "bio deposits" the more-accurate term?
21
           It is. In suspension-feeding bivalves, like oysters, like
22
23
           geoducks, they actually -- they filter the overlying water.
24
           They remove the particulate materials, and some of those
           are -- they feed -- not feed. Some of those that they feed
25
```

1 on pass through the body and are excreted as feces. But a 2 . good proportion of them are let go prior to ingestion as something called pseudo feces. Bio deposits is the 3 collective term for that function in a mollusk. 4 5 So, now, have you done similar comparisons of the rate of Q 6 bio deposition, I guess, at the Foss farm to a typical 7 oyster bed? 8 I did. And I based it -- again, I should mention that, for 9 the feeding studies for both oysters and geoducks, the way 10 we do these is, we actually measure the rate of bio deposit production, and that's the metric that we're using. 11 12 So, on that basis, it's not unsurprising to see that 13 geoducks produce about three times the bio deposit volume as oysters do. But because there's far fewer of them, on 14 15 an individual basis, per acre, it turns out that the large 16 cluster, high-density-cluster farm that would be typical of 17 southern Puget Sound produces about 192 kilograms per acre 18 per day of bio deposit compared to an oyster farm, singles 19 oyster farm, which is around 38 kilograms per day per acre. 20 And it turns out, then, because of the much-lower 21 number of individual geoducks, at 70,000--you will see 70,000 per acre, typically, at harvest, on this site--the 22 23 actual bio deposit production is around 42 kilograms per-24 acre per-day production. 25 So, again, it's about five times less than what you

- 1 would see in a typical on-bottom aquaculture production
- based on clustered oysters.
- 3 Q Okay. And you said that's something that's been happening
- 4 in Puget Sound for 80, 90 years?
- 5 A The Pacific oyster was introduced into Puget Sound,
- originally, in 1905. It failed miserably until it was
- finally successfully introduced in about 1919. And since
- 8 that time, the industry has been based largely on Pacific
- 9 **oysters**.
- 10 Q And are you aware of any studies that have identified
- 11 adverse environmental effects from that filtration and bio
- deposition of a typical oyster bed?
- 13 A Not in Puget Sound, no.
- 14 Q And now, are you aware of Dr. Newell's work related to the
- interactions of shellfish culture?
- 16 A I am.
- 17 Q Okay. And do you recall Mr. Daley's testimony that talked
- 18 about the potential for shellfish culture to overload the
- 19 sediments with organic matter and result in environmental
- 20 impacts?
- 21 A I recall that.
- 22 Q Okay. Is that overloading with organic matter something we
- should be concerned with with regard to geoduck culture?
- 24 A In my opinion, no. And the major reason is that, in Dr.
- Newell's work, he mainly considered suspension culture.

```
1
           And that's where shellfish are cultured in three
           dimensions, not just two, as you would see in the
           intertidal.
3
                with three-dimensional shellfish culture, you can get
 5
           buildups of organic debris below the farms in situations
 6
           where low flow or other parameters result in the
7
           accumulation of bio deposits below the farms. This is not
8
           the case, typically, in an intertidal farm, where you have
9
           wave action, current flow. It's a higher-energy situation,
10
           so that, most of the time, bio deposits are simply flushed
11
           away.
12
    Q
           And has Dr. Newell also identified any positive interaction
           between shellfish and the environment?
13
           He has. There's a number of them. I think I alluded to
14
    Α
15
           one already. The filtration capacity of shellfish is
16
           renowned. It's been well-known for many, many decades.
17
           That, at the end, results in increased filtration and
18
           reduced turbidity by reducing the cestode or planktonic
19
           load in the water.
20
                That can result in increased light attenuation,
21
           resulting in increased benefit to eelgrass, if it's
           present, and certainly will almost always result in an
22
23
           increase in the phytomicrobenthos, which are benthic plants
           that live on the surface of the sediments. With more
24
25
           light, they do better.
```

```
1
    Q
           Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibits
 2 .
           126 and 127. Are you familiar with those two papers?
3
    Α
           I am, yes.
4
    Q
           I'm just going to ask you to wait a second.
 5
           Sure.
6
    Q
           And when you referenced some of Dr. Newell's work on
7
           positive environmental interactions, are those represented
           in these studies?
8
           They are. They are. Dr. Newell is a friend of mine. He's
9
           done lots of work in this field. And essentially, what
10
           he's done is look at shellfish culture within the context
11
           of looking at a carrying capacity. And so what he does is,
12
13
           he goes through--and I'm referring to the paper; I guess
           it's Exhibit 127--and he talks about how shellfish culture
14
15
           interacts with the environment on a variety of different
16
           scales.
17
                     MR. PLAUCHE: And I would move to admit Exhibit 126
18
           and 127.
19
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: They will be admitted into
20
           evidence.
21
     Q
           Okay. Now I'd like to move and talk briefly about some of
           the concerns Mr. Daley identified about genetics, genetic
22
23
           concerns related to geoduck farming. And again, I'd ask
24
           you: Is this an area that we should be paying attention
25
           to?
```

```
1
           It's an area that's been listed of concern because of the
 2
           potential interactions of cultured organisms, engrained
 3
           organisms with wild organisms of the same species in that
4
           area, yes.
 5
           Okay. And now, as I understand it, you're involved with
    Q
           the management of Taylor's hatchery. Is that correct?
6
7
    Α
           I certainly lend a hand as much as possible, yes.
           And are there protocols in place at the hatchery to address
8
9
           this concern about genetic interaction with wild stuff?
10
           Yeah. The worst-case scenario is, if you have an in-bred
11
           line of geoducks, for example, in this particular case, and
12
           you're pumping out seed that are all brothers and sisters
13
           of each other, for example, and those animals could
           interact with wild geoduck in an adverse manner.
14
15
                what we do, as a matter of course and policy -- and
16
           it's reflected in our best management practices, is we
17
           rotate breed stock annually, at least twice, sometimes
           more. We use multiple females, multiple males in all of
18
           our spawnings. And these represent animals of different
19
20
           ages. And we ensure adequate mixing of all the gametes
21
           that are produced, so that we try to produce as diverse a
           genetic product as possible when we produce the seed. And
22
23
           I believe that these practices are -- essentially eliminate
24
           significant risk to wild populations when those seeds are
25
           planted out.
```

1 Q Okay. Now, you were also here for Mr. Daley's testimony about his -- that he would be hesitant to fish at the Foss 3 site. Α I did hear that. 5 Q And I'll ask you, because you all seem to be: Are you a fly-fisherman as well? 6 7 Α I am. You fish in Puget Sound? 8 Q 9 I do. 10 Q Do you have similar concerns about fishing over a geoduck 11 farm? 12 I don't. I target the cutthroats, just as, I guess, Mr. Daley does, and I find that they actually congregate over 13 places that might have predator protection or shellfish 14 15 growing gear that I have. So I -- I haven't seen that 16 problem. 17 And you actually have a geoduck farm? Q 18 Α I do, yes. Have you fished at that farm? 19 Q 20 I have, yeah. Α 21 MR. PLAUCHE: I have nothing further for this 22 witness. 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anything further for 24 anybody? 25 MR. BRICKLIN: (Raises hand.)

1		CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 .	BY	MR. BRICKLIN:
3	Q	Mr. Davis, this Exhibit 127, the Newell study, he was
4		trying to figure out the ecological carrying capacity?
5	A	Right.
6	Q	In other words, how many of these bivalves you could get to
7		grow in a given area?
8	Α	Correct.
9	Q	How densely you can get them to grow?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	And he proposes in there he says, the existing models
12		aren't really adequate and he proposes a new model?
13	Α	He's trying to bring a lot of information from a lot of
14		diverse sources into a kind of contextual look at
15		aquaculture, yes.
16	Q	And on Page 45 of this, he says that, in these productive
17		locations where the bivalves are concentrated
18		THE HEARING EXAMINER: What exhibit?
19		MR. BRICKLIN: This is 127, Page 45, in the
20		left-hand column.
21	Q	He says, "Unfortunately, in these productive locations,
22		bivalves can be stocked at such high densities that their
23		bio deposits are focused on a small area of sediments,"
24		right?
25	Α	I'm not seeing where you are. I'm not highlighted here,

1 but I'll believe you. Oh, I see it. Yeah. I've got you. 2 . A little less than halfway down. Q 3 Α Yeah. 4 THE CLERK: Can you speak up, Mr. Bricklin, please? 5 MR. BRICKLIN: Yes. I'm sorry. 6 Q He goes on to say, "When receiving sediments become 7 overloaded with organic material, the resulting bacterial 8 respiration can consume oxygen at rates faster than it can be resupplied by diffusion," right? 9 10 Α Correct. The study that you cited from the Hood Canal group --11 Q 12 Α Yes. 13 -- the Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group--Q ∪h-huh. 14 Α 15 Q --that's actually just a Phase One report, isn't it, of a multi-step study? 16 Yes, it is. 17 Α 18 Q And basically, what this report is doing is identifying 19 where there are data gaps and how they're going to go out 20 and get more information to fill those gaps, right? 21 Α That's correct. And the gaps that they identified are listed there, on the 22 Q 23 bottom of Page 14 and the top of 15, and include the 24 sedimentation rate: A comparison between areas populated by bivalves and not. 25

- 1 A Uh-huh.
- 2 Q Rates of denitrification, oxygen consumption, chemical
- constituents in the bio deposits. These are all things,
- 4 and others, listed there that this report says are not
- 5 **currently known, right?**
- 6 A They were certainly identifying those as areas that they
- 7 were interested in, yes.
- 8 Q As data gaps?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q So you're not relying on this report to suggest that any of
- these issues are not still areas of concern regarding these
- 12 kinds of geoduck aquaculture operations, right?
- 13 A No. I would not connect them to geoduck aquaculture at
- 14 all. I don't think that that's stated there. The bottom
- 15 line is, the -- of course, you can always learn more. And
- 16 these -- this is a typical example of a group that has
- obtained a nice amount of funding, and they're interested
- in extending the knowledge base on what they don't know.
- 19 Q You think this is just an academic pursuit, or is this--
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q --information that they identified as important to
- 22 determining the impacts of these operations on salmon?
- 23 A Well, I don't think --
- 24 Q An endangered species.
- 25 A I don't see -- I don't see here where it says anything

- 1 about geoduck aquaculture.
- 2 Q I'm sorry. I thought you cited this report to support your
- 3 testimony about a lack of impacts from geoduck aquaculture.
- 4 A I cited my involvement with the Hood Canal Salmon
- 5 Enhancement Group for doing work with them on geoduck
- filtration. That's what I cited. That's why I cited it.
- 7 Q So there's nothing in the substance of this report that the
- 8 Examiner ought to consider, then? Nothing relevant? You
- 9 don't need to look at your counsel for the answer.
- 10 A Well, the -- the basis of the Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement
- 11 Group Molluskan Study was really focused on Hood Canal and
- had nothing substantively to do, I don't believe, with
- geoduck aquaculture, specifically, at all.
- 14 Q So my question is: The Examiner need not concern himself
- with the substance of this document?
- 16 A Perhaps not. Not within the context of geoduck
- 17 aquaculture, no.
- 18 Q Thank you. Just a second here. Are you familiar with the
- 19 National Shellfisheries Association?
- 20 A I am.
- 21 Q What is that organization?
- 22 A It's an international organization comprised of shellfish
- biologists, academia, focused -- generally interested in
- research on suspension of bivalves, crustaceans, other
- 25 commonly -- other critters commonly referred to as

1 shellfish. And do you have any involvement with that group? Q 3 Α I do. 4 Q And what is that? I'm currently president-elect **(Is this guy an 5 6 overacheiver or what?). 7 Q All right. Does the National Shellfisheries Association put out a newsletter? 8 9 It does. 10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: 155. Handing you a copy of what's been marked for identification 11 Q 12 as Exhibit 155. Do you recognize this as the newsletter of 13 the group of which you're the president-elect? I do. 14 Α 15 Q All right. On the first page there, where the little 16 squiggle line is, in the margins--17 Yes. Α 18 Q --there's a reference to the nationwide permit program of the Army Corps of Engineers. Do you see that? 19 20 Correct. Yes, I do. Α 21 Q And it says that "nationwide permits are a type of general permit issued by the Chief of Engineers and are designed to 22 23 regulate what little, if any, delay or paperwork." Do you 24 see that? MR. PLAUCHE: I'm going to object. I've not seen 25

1	this document before, and I'm not sure what the relevance is
2 -	of the nationwide permit.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not either.
4	MR. BRICKLIN: I believe the Petitioner has claimed
5	that regulation of the industry via Shoreline Substantial
6	Permits is unnecessary because, among other things, they're
7	regulated through the Army Corps program. And given that
8	the Army among other things, we believe the Army Corps
9	review of aquaculture is very limited; as is stated here,
10	"little, if any, paperwork." And that is why we were
11	seeking to have this testimony come in.
12	MR. PLAUCHE: I think our position is that the Foss
13	farm is not regulated under the Shoreline Management Act as
14	a development. It does not require a Substantial
15	Development Permit because it doesn't meet the definition of
16	"development." I don't know what this has to do with that.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to sustain the
18	objection, and we're going to take an afternoon break. And
19	how many more witnesses are we going to do today?
20	MR. PLAUCHE: Just one more after Dr. Davis.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And that's it?
22	MR. PLAUCHE: Yes.
23	MR. BRICKLIN: I think we're on track.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: We'll be in recess for 15
25	minutes. I'm hoping it will be a little bit earlier.

```
1
                                (Recess taken from 2:36 p.m. to
 2
                                2:51 p.m.)
 3
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: The hearing on Taylor
 4
           Shellfish, AA16-07, will be reconvened. Doctor, you're
           still under oath.
 5
 6
 7
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION - (continuing)
 8
     BY MR. BRICKLIN:
 9
           Thank you. You testified, I believe, that the Newell
10
           study, where it talked about the organic reissue, that it
           could be distinguished because the aquaculture at issue
11
           there was above the bed. And if I heard you right, as the
12
13
           debris settled down in the, sort of, low-flush areas, the
           accumulation that -- you wouldn't have in this setting?
14
15
     Α
           As I read his paper, that's the scenario I was
16
           interpreting, yes.
           Now, you have seen those pictures of the nets with the
17
18
           algae draped over them, right?
           You mean the nets, at low-water, with the algae draped over
19
20
           them?
21
     Q
           Right.
           I have seen those, yes.
22
     Α
           And more generally, the Puget Sound in particular is
23
     Q
24
           considered a low-flushing area; isn't that right?
           No. I wouldn't agree with that at all.
25
```

```
1
           You wouldn't?
     Q
 2
     Α
           No.
3
           Let me ask you this: It is your testimony that geoduck
     Q
4
           aquaculture of the type at issue here has no adverse
 5
           impacts on environment? Is that what your testimony is?
6
           My testimony is that, at the intensity that it's practiced,
     Α
7
           I think that the environmental interactions are minimally
           invasive, yes. That's -- I would agree with that.
8
9
     Q
           And you believe that there's scientific studies in
10
           existence that are adequate to support that?
           There's very little written about geoduck specifically. I
11
12
           think you've heard about that already, through other
13
           testimony. But when we look at the fact that geoducks are
           clams, they're suspension feeding, they have a lower
14
15
           intensity overall than animals that are smaller than them,
16
           in the sense of on a weight-specific basis, like -- we'll
17
           get into that if you wish -- that geoduck aquaculture, as
18
           it's practiced, is minimally invasive.
           You agree with the Sea Grant analysis, that there are
19
     Q
20
           actually many areas of concern that have not been
21
           adequately studied?
           It's a great question. Frankly, I was anticipating it.
22
23
           The Sea Grant studies that have just gone out for full
24
           proposal are certainly looking at areas that are important
           within the overall context of shellfish aquaculture,
25
```

```
1
           including geoducks.
                But I also think it's just as important to state that
3
           there's a great deal that's known about the effects of
           shellfish culture on the environment. And while I agree
4
 5
           that there are things we don't know, I don't think that
 6
           they are to such a degree out of whack with what we already
7
           understand about shellfish culture that it will result in
8
           any significant adverse effects coming from this
9
           aquaculture.
           Well, these studies that you rely on when you say "what we
10
    Q
           do know is adequate for my conclusions" -- the studies that
11
12
           evaluate impacts associated with growing oysters in the
13
           Chesapeake Bay -- those don't shed an insight on the
           impacts associated with liquifying the beach during
14
15
           harvesting of a geoduck operation here in Puget Sound, does
16
           it?
           Not specifically, no.
17
     Α
18
    0
           There's nothing -- I mean, none of the other aquacultures,
           of oysters or other clams, involve anything like that, do
19
20
           they?
21
           Well, certainly they do. Manila clam culture involves
           turning over the sediment every year when you harvest it.
22
23
           The clams are all in the substrate, and they're dug up.
24
           Very similar operation that way. Perhaps not to the depth
           of geoduck, no. Of course not. But the same idea.
25
```

```
1
           You would agree that no analysis, scientific analysis, has
     Q
 2 .
           been done of the geoduck operations of that sort, right?
 3
           No specific research has been done yet on harvest effects,
 4
           to my knowledge. They certainly haven't been published.
                     MR. BRICKLIN: All right. That's all I have for
 5
 6
           this witness, Your Honor. Thank you.
 7
 8
                              REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
     BY MR. PLAUCHE:
10
           I just have one question on redirect. With regard to the
           Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group study--
11
12
     Α
           Yes.
           --could you describe again -- I offered that as an exhibit
13
     Q
           in response to some of your testimony, and what were you
14
15
           relying on that for?
16
           Only to demonstrate that they have an interest in
           evaluating different components of the ecology of the
17
18
           geoducks. And my own interactions with the Hood Canal
           Salmon Enhancement Group has been focused solely on
19
20
           establishing studies to evaluate filtering behavior.
21
     Q
           So was that filtration-rate information that you then
           testified about with comparison to oyster culture -- you
22
23
           derived that information as part of your work with the Hood
24
           Canal Salmon Group?
           In part, but not completely. I'm also funded by the
25
```

```
1
          National Marine Aquaculture Initiative, which is not
          well-funded -- grants to look at similar -- similar
          activities on intertidal farms.
3
                    MR. PLAUCHE: I have nothing further.
 5
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Doctor--
6
                    THE WITNESS: Yes.
7
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: --you're not saying that
          this approach to raising geoducks wouldn't interfere with
8
          bottom fishing, are you?
10
                    THE WITNESS: I don't know. I think that the -- I
          don't know the answer to that. But I do know that the
11
          structure -- the structured habitat associated with the
12
13
          geoduck farms -- essentially, the predator protection serves
          as an attractant to many --
14
15
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm talking about just
16
          fishing.
17
                    THE WITNESS: Oh, fishing. If I were
18
          bottom-fishing. You could catch your sinker hook in that,
19
          yeah.
20
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: And some --
21
                    THE WITNESS: Even more than fly-fishing.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, you'd have the
22
23
          potential of doing that.
24
                    THE WITNESS: I'm not that skilled a fly-fisherman,
          and I don't use netting the same way that --
25
```

```
1
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: That they do?
2 .
                    THE WITNESS: That they do, but --
3
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: How do you use netting?
                    THE WITNESS: I use the -- I currently am using the
4
         small, individual nets.
5
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: But that would still have
6
          the same effect.
7
                    THE WITNESS: It would. It would.
8
9
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Doctor.
                    MR. PLAUCHE: I'd like to call Lynn Goodwin,
10
11
          please.
12
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm timing you.
13
                    MR. PLAUCHE: The last one today, and I promise
          it's going to be short.
14
15
16
                          LYNN GOODWIN, having been first duly sworn
          upon oath by the Hearing Examiner, testified as follows:
17
18
19
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you state your name
20
         for the record, please?
21
                    MR. GOODWIN: Lynn Goodwin, G-o-o-d-w-i-n.
22
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
23
    ///
24
      ///
25
      ///
```

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 .	BY MF	R. PLAUCHE:
3	Q	Okay, Mr. Goodwin, I want to spend just a little time
4		and as Mr. Bricklin was instructing his witnesses, I'll ask
5		you the question, but you should respond to the Examiner.
6		Mr. Goodwin, I want to spend a little time just
7		talking about your background, particularly as it relates
8		to geoduck populations in the Puget Sound. Can you
9		describe your educational background briefly?
10	Α	I have a BS in zoology
11	Q	I think you're going to have to move that closer.
12	A	Right here? Is that okay?
13		THE CLERK: The closer the better.
14		THE WITNESS: I have a BS in zoology from Idaho
15		State University, an MS in fisheries science from Oregon
16		State University.
17	Q	And after you received your master's, where did you start
18		working?
19	A	I started working for the State of Washington, as a
20		fisheries biologist at the shellfish lab on Hood Canal, in
21		1966, and I retired there in 1994. And I was a shellfish
22		biologist, and I dealt with the subtidal shellfish
23		fisheries in Puget Sound, including the subtidal geoduck
24		fishery.
25		My duties were primarily to conduct scuba surveys in

```
1
           Puget Sound, to map the subtidal geoduck resources, and to
           do research on the life history of the various species,
3
           including geoducks.
4
    Q
           And geoducks. And have you published any work related to
 5
           geoduck?
 6
    Α
           Yes. I published eight primary papers that I coauthored or
7
           authored, and these are peer-reviewed papers, including one
8
           dealing with the relationship of the environmental
9
           parameters in Puget Sound to geoduck size and density. And
10
           I've authored or coauthored many technical reports,
           including the 1985 Environmental Impact Statement that
11
12
           looked at the geoduck fishery.
13
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Was that for the State of
           Washington?
14
15
                     THE WITNESS: That's for the State of Washington.
16
           And since you retired from WDFW in 1994, how have you been
    Q
17
           employed?
           I've been a private marine consultant, and I've done a lot
18
19
           of work for the intertidal geoduck aquaculture business,
20
           and also performing subtidal surveys with respect to marine
21
           construction projects: docks and bulkheads. And I've done
           the underwater biological evaluations for those projects
22
23
           before they are issued permits.
                     MR. PLAUCHE: And I should have done this at the
24
25
           outset, but I'd like to introduce Exhibit Number 18, which
```

1 is Mr. Goodwin's resume. 2 . THE HEARING EXAMINER: That will be admitted into 3 evidence. 4 Now, Mr. Goodwin, I have just a few questions I want to 5 talk to you about regarding the standing crop of geoduck in 6 Puget Sound. But let me ask you first: How many hours 7 would you estimate you've spent, over the course of your 8 career, evaluating or looking at the standing crop of wild 9 geoduck in Puget Sound, biomass? 10 I've never tallied up the numbers exactly, but I've spent in the lower thousands of hours, starting in 1967. 11 12 Q Okay. 13 And still going on right now. Okay. And were you here earlier today for Mr. Phipps' 14 Q 15 testimony related to the standing crop of geoduck at the Foss farm? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 Q You heard him testify that his calculations put the standing crop between 1.1 pounds per square foot and 1.3 19 20 pounds per square foot, depending on how you measured that? 21 Α Yes. Okay. Is that density at the Foss site within the range of 22 Q 23 densities you've seen in the wild geoduck beds? 24 The range in our thousands and thousands of transects that we've taken throughout Puget Sound -- they range from zero, 25

1 of course, where there is no geoducks, up to as high as 4 pounds per square foot. And the average of all these 3 thousands of samples was about a third of a pound per 4 square foot. 5 Okay. And the density range that Brian testified to at the Q 6 Foss site -- have you seen that in, sort of, larger beds, 7 larger congregations -- in comparable congregations, like 8 in a 10-, 20-acre range, in the wild, in Puget Sound? 9 Yes. I've been involved in surveying three areas; two in South Sound and one in Central Sound, that range in size 10 from 14 to 104 acres. And the site near Des Moines 11 12 averaged 1.1 pounds per square foot. At Heron Island, the 13 average there was 1.06 pounds per square foot. And at Duvall Point, it averaged 1.04 pounds per square foot. So 14 15 close to the -- to the standing crops that are at the Foss 16 site. 17 And what is the highest density you've actually measured, Q 18 yourself, in the wild? Four pounds per square foot. 19 Α 20 Okay. That was the four. Final question. In your Q 21 experience with wild geoduck biomass, where are the largest congregations of biomass in Puget Sound? 22 23 They're more concentrated in the South Sound, and the 24 animals are larger there than the other four sections of 25 Puget Sound.

```
1
                     MR. PLAUCHE: Okay. I have nothing further for
2 .
           this witness.
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any further questions?
3
4
                     MR. BRICKLIN: (Raises hand.) Has Exhibit --
5
                     THE CLERK: You need to speak into the microphone,
6
           please.
7
                     MR. BRICKLIN: I'm sorry. Has Exhibit 88 been
           admitted?
8
9
                     THE HEARING EXAMINER:
                                            No.
10
                     MR. BRICKLIN: No. All right.
11
12
                                CROSS-EXAMINATION
13
     BY MR. BRICKLIN:
           Good afternoon, Mr. Goodwin. You said that, currently,
14
     Q
15
           you're doing some consulting work for the intertidal
16
           geoduck industry?
17
     Α
           Yes.
18
     Q
           Who is that? Who is employing you in that way?
           Primarily, Seattle Shellfish.
19
     Α
20
           Uh-huh. Are you also employed by Taylor?
     Q
21
     Α
           No.
           Would you agree that the wild-stock fishery, that is, the
22
     Q
23
           subtidal fishery, is the most dramatically different from
24
           the intertidal geoduck aquaculture?
           It's different in certain ways and, in other ways, it's
25
```

```
1
           very similar. I don't know whether I would characterize it
 2 .
           as being dramatically different.
3
     Q
           In what ways do you think it's similar?
4
     Α
           Well, we're dealing with the same animal.
           Same animal, for sure.
 5
     Q
6
           And it's primarily barren sand flats, whether it's subtidal
7
           or whether it's intertidal. The intertidal is -- as other
8
           people have mentioned, it's a lot more energetic area, with
9
           wave action and along-shore drift.
10
                The deeper you go in Puget Sound, the more apt the
           particle size will be smaller. In other words, it becomes
11
12
           silkier as you go deeper, in general. So there can be
13
           differences in the amount of material that's put into
           suspension and be floating downstream as plumes in the
14
15
           deeper water compared to the intertidal.
16
           In the subtidal fishery, there's not planting activity
     Q
17
           involved, is there? They're natural or wild stock that
18
           you're going after?
           There's no planting of hatchery seed.
19
     Α
20
           In the subtidal?
     Q
21
           There's none being done now. However, back in the early
           years, when I worked at the shellfish lab, we conducted 100
22
23
           different experiments and planted 18 million geoduck seed,
24
           trying to enhance the fished-out subtidal beds. But that's
           not going on now.
25
```

1 Now, when we talk about the subtidal fishery, it's Q 2 . dissimilar from the intertidal fishery in that way, right? 3 Α Yes. 4 Q And the subtidal doesn't involve liquification of entire 5 beaches, does it? 6 Α The -- normally, there would be animals that are harvested 7 one at a time. In other words, the diver would see a 8 geoduck, insert the stinger, and remove the geoduck from 9 the substrate, put it in his collection bag, and then move 10 on to the next geoduck. And the ecosystems are different in those two areas very 11 Q 12 greatly, right, in terms of -- you know, the intertidal 13 area, you've got forage-fish-habitat concerns, juvenilesalmon-habitat concerns, a whole variety of different wave 14 15 actions that you were talking about; a whole variety of 16 differences between that and the subtidal fishery, right? There's differences, and there's also similarities. You'll 17 18 find some plants and animals that live both intertidally and also subtidally. And, on the other hand, you'll find 19 20 some plants and animals that are restricted either to the 21 subtidal or the intertidal. They don't go across the boundaries. 22 23 You said, in the data that you've reviewed, that the 24 average density of the geoducks in the wild is a third of a pound per square foot--25

1 Α Yes. --in areas where they're located? And so that would be, Q what, about one-fourth, 25 percent, of the calculation that 3 4 the prior witness did for the density here at the Foss property, right? 5 6 Α That's correct. Close -- close to that. 7 Q Right. You don't have any opinion, do you, regarding the 8 environmental impacts associated with densities on the 9 order of 1.2 pounds per square foot in the intertidal 10 region, do you? Would you --11 Α 12 Q Sure. If I understood you right, you testified that you 13 are familiar with some subtidal areas, a couple, certainly not typical, where densities were 1.1, 1.06; not too 14 15 different than the subtidal density at Foss, right? The intertidal. 16 Α Right. Excuse me, intertidal at the Foss farm. But none 17 18 of those wild geoduck beds, where you've done that survey or had that information -- none of those are in an 19 20 intertidal area, right? 21 Α That's correct. You're not expressing any opinion regarding the 22 Q 23 environmental impacts of having densities of that magnitude 24 in the intertidal zone, are you? I -- I've been associated with the intertidal culture 25

```
1
           business since 1997, and I've looked at hundreds of farm
           sites. And I've watched the harvest going on, and I've
3
           surveyed geoduck populations in many of those beds. So I
4
           know what's going on, basically, in the intertidal area
 5
           also.
6
    Q
           But my question is: You're pointing to the density in the
7
           subtidal area, these maximum densities. That doesn't
8
           reflect -- or inform your opinion regarding the impacts
           that would occur in the subtidal area if similar densities
9
10
           were found there, does it? It's a different environment,
           right?
11
12
           If a person was harvesting a subtidal bed of equal density
13
           to the Foss farm and they were doing this at high tide by
           divers, then I would expect the environmental effects of
14
15
           both situations would be quite similar. But I would expect
16
           there to be greater fines in the subtidal for the reasons I
17
           just got through going through. And I'd expect the plumes
18
           to be bigger, and the plumes would last longer in the
19
           subtidal situation versus the intertidal.
20
           Okay. But we're trying to talk about the density of the
     0
21
           animals, the pounds-per-square-foot issue. And to the
           extent that impacts the ecosystem, the impacts would not
22
23
           necessarily be the same in the subtidal ecosystem as they
24
           are in the intertidal ecosystem. Isn't that true?
           That's correct. Not in all situations.
25
```

```
1
    Q
          All right.
                    MR. BRICKLIN: Thank you very much. That's all I
3
          have.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anything further?
                    MR. PLAUCHE: I have nothing further for this
5
6
          witness.
7
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: You may be excused. Thank
          you. They sell for $10 a pound; is that right? Geoducks?
8
9
                    MR. PLAUCHE: I haven't sold them or bought them.
          I can ask one of my clients.
10
                    MR. BRICKLIN: There was testimony about that.
11
12
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: It was $10 a pound.
13
                    MR. PLAUCHE: It depends on the grade, it depends
          on the market conditions.
14
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, don't I have testimony
15
          that they sell them at $10 a pound?
16
17
                    MR. PLAUCHE: 10, $11 a pound.
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do some of them sell for a
18
19
          lot more than that?
20
                    MR. GOODWIN: No. That's the average price.
21
                    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Average price. Thank you.
                    MR. PLAUCHE: My only witness remaining is the
22
23
          witness that I've got tomorrow morning, and I do appreciate
24
          both counsel and your indulgence in coming back tomorrow
25
          morning for that witness.
```

```
1
                      THE HEARING EXAMINER: The hearing will be
 2 .
           adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8:30.
 3
                        (The hearing adjourned at 3:15 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                                   CERTIFICATE
2
       STATE OF WASHINGTON )
                           )
3
       COUNTY OF KING
                           )
                      I, LINDA M. GROTEFENDT, a Certified Shorthand
4
           Reporter and Notary Public in and for King County,
5
           Washington, do hereby certify that I reported in machine
6
7
           shorthand the above hearing; that the foregoing transcript
           was prepared under my personal supervision and constitutes
8
9
           a true record of the above hearing.
10
                      I further certify that I am not an attorney or
11
           counsel of any parties, nor a relative or employee of any
12
           attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor
13
           financially interested in the action.
                      WITNESS my hand and seal in Renton, County of
14
           King, State of Washington, this 19th day of December, 2007.
15
16
17
18
19
                                      Notary public in and for the
                                      State of Washington, residing
20
                                      at Renton.
21
       My commission expires 3-10-08.
22
23
24
25
```